## ON THE IMBEDDING OF A RIGHT COMPLEMENTED ALGEBRA INTO AMBROSE'S H\*-ALGEBRA

## PARFENY P. SAWOROTNOW

Let A be a Banach algebra with a Hilbert space norm (norm defined by a scalar product). We shall call A a right complemented algebra if it has the property that the orthogonal complement of a right ideal is again a right ideal. This notion was introduced in the author's doctoral thesis [5]. It was proved that under certain additional assumptions every right complemented algebra is left complemented. We shall prove this theorem for a general right complemented algebra. We shall also show that the most general simple right (left) complemented algebra is of the following form.

EXAMPLE. Let  $\alpha$  be a (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint linear operator with domain dense in a Hilbert space H and the range being a subset of H. Let A be the algebra of all linear operators a of the Hilbert Schmidt type on H such that  $|a\alpha| < \infty$ , where  $| \ |$  is the trace norm of an operator:  $|a|^2 = \text{tr } (a^*a)$ . Then A is a right (as well as left) complemented algebra in the scalar product  $(a, b) = [a\alpha, b\alpha] = \text{tr } (a\alpha(b\alpha)^*)$ .

We shall use the following terminology (see [5]). A Banach algebra shall be called simple if it is semi-simple and has no proper two-sided ideals except those which are dense in whole algebra. We shall say that  $x^{l}$  is the left adjoint of x if  $(xy, z) = (y, x^{l}z)$  holds for all y, z in the algebra. A left projection e is a left self-adjoint (nonzero) idempotent; a primitive left projection is a left projection which cannot be written as a sum of two doubly orthogonal left projections (compare with W. Ambrose [1]). The orthogonal complement of an ideal I will be denoted by  $I^{p}$ .

We have proved in [5] that every simple right complemented algebra has a primitive left projection. So we begin by proving:

THEOREM 1. Let A be a simple right complemented algebra and let e be a primitive left projection in A. Then every element in eA has a left adjoint.

PROOF. Let  $a \in eA$ ; then ea = a. We may assume that  $ae \neq 0$  (otherwise we consider b = a + e for which  $be \neq 0$ ). Then  $a^2 = eaea = \lambda a$ , i.e., a is a multiple of some idempotent f. Consider the closed regular right ideal  $Q = \{z - fz \mid z \in A\}$ , f is a relative identity of Q. We write

Presented to the Society, June 18, 1955; received by the editors February 17, 1956.

THEOREM 2. The set of elements in a simple right complemented algebra A having left adjoint is dense in A.

PROOF. Let  $F = \{e_i\}$  be the family of all primitive left projections in A. Let R be the closed right ideal generalized by F, i.e., R is the closure of the linear space spanned by all elements of the form  $e_i x$ ,  $e_i \in F$ ,  $x \in A$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that the set of elements in R having a left adjoint is dense in R. It remains to show that R = A. Suppose  $R \neq A$ , then  $R^p \neq (0)$ . Let  $a \in R^p$  be an element which does not have a right quasi-inverse. Consider the right regular ideal  $Q = \text{closure of } \{ax + x \mid x \in A\}$  for which -a is relative identity. We write -a = e + u with  $e \in Q^p$ ,  $u \in Q$ . Then it is easy to see that e is a left projection (of course  $e \neq 0$ ) such that eu = 0 (compare with [5, Lemma 2]). Thus  $e \in F$  and hence (ea, ea) = (ea, a) = 0, ea = 0. But on the other hand -ea = e(e + u) = e, which is a contradiction. Thus R = A.

COROLLARY. Every semi-simple right complemented algebra A is a left complemented algebra; the set of elements in A having right adjoint is dense in A.

From now on we may refer to a semi-simple right complemented algebra simply as a "complemented algebra."

Now we proceed with the second part of our paper. Let A be a simple complemented algebra and let e be a primitive left projection in A. We consider the ideals L = Ae and R = eA. Every element in R has a left adjoint while L has a dense subset of elements having left adjoint. We shall show that A is a dense subalgebra of a suitably constructed  $H^*$ -algebra. It will be done by proving a series of lemmas in which A, e (and hence L and R) are fixed once and for all.

LEMMA 1. If  $x_1, x_2 \in L$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in R$ , then  $(x_1y_1, x_2y_2) = \omega^{-2}(x_1, x_2) \cdot (y_1, y_2)$  where  $\omega = ||e||$ .

PROOF. Since  $x_2^l x_1 \subseteq eAe$  we have  $x_2^l x_1 = \lambda e$  for some complex  $\lambda(eAe)$  is isomorphic to the complex field [5, Lemma 7]). Then  $(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2 e) = (x_2^l x_1, e) = (\lambda e, e) = \lambda ||e||^2 = \lambda \omega^2$  and  $(x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2) = (x_2^l x_1 y_1, y_2) = (\lambda y_1, y_2) = \lambda(y_1, y_2) = \omega^{-2}(x_1, x_2)(y_1, y_2)$ .

COROLLARY. If  $x \in L$  and  $y \in R$  then  $||xy|| = \omega^{-1}||x|| ||y||$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $x \in R$  then  $||x^l|| \le \omega ||x||$ .

PROOF. If  $x \in R$ , then  $xx^{l} = \lambda e$  for some positive  $\lambda$  (we again use the

fact that eAe is isomorphic to the complex field). So we have:

$$||x^{l}||^{2} = (x^{l}e, x^{l}e) = (xx^{l}, e) = \lambda(e, e) = \lambda||e|| \cdot ||e|| = ||\lambda e|| \cdot ||e||$$
$$= ||xx^{l}|| \cdot ||e|| \le ||x|| \cdot ||x^{l}|| ||e||$$

or  $||x^{l}|| \le ||x|| \cdot ||e|| = \omega ||x||$ .

LEMMA 3. If an element has the form  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$  with  $x_i \in L$ ,  $y_i \in R$ , then  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  can be so chosen in L that  $(x_i, x_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ ; also  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  can be so chosen in R that  $(y_i^l, y_j^l) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

PROOF. The lemma is easily proved by induction.

Now consider S = LR = AeA. We define the function [, ] on  $S \times S$  by setting

$$[x_1x_1, y_2y_2] = \frac{1}{\omega^4}(x_1, x_2)(y_2, y_1^l)$$
 where  $\omega = ||e||$ .

(It is understood that  $x_1, x_2 \in L, y_1, y_2 \in R$ .)

LEMMA 4. The function [,] is independent of the choice of the primitive left projection e.

PROOF. Let  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  be any two primitive left projections. Suppose  $z_i = x_i y_i$ , i = 1, 2, with  $x_i \in Ae_1$  and  $y_i \in e_1 A$ . Then  $[x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2]_1 = 1/\omega_1^4(x_1, x_2)(y_2^i, y_1^i)$ , where  $\omega_1 = ||e_1||$ . We shall show that  $z_i \in Ae_2 A$  and that  $[z_1, z_2]_1 = [z_1, z_2]_2$ , where  $[,]_2$  is the above function defined with respect to  $e_2$ .

It can be easily shown that there are elements  $e_{12}$  and  $e_{21}$  in A such that  $e_{12}^l = e_{21}$ ,  $e_{12}e_{21} = e_1$ ,  $e_{21}e_{12} = e_2$ ,  $e_{12}e_{22}e_{21} = e_1$  and  $e_{21}e_{12} = e_2$ . Then  $\mathbf{z}_i = x_i \mathbf{y}_i = x_i e_1 \mathbf{y}_i = x_i e_{12} e_2 e_{21} \mathbf{y}_i$  and hence  $\mathbf{z}_i \in A e_2 A$ . Also

$$\begin{split} [z_1, z_2]_2 &= \frac{1}{\|e_2\|^4} (x_1 e_{12}, x_2 e_{12}) (y_2^l e_{12}, y_1^l e_{12}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(e_{21} e_{12}, e_{21} e_{12})^2} \cdot \frac{(x_1, x_2) (e_{12}, e_{12})}{\omega_1^2} \cdot \frac{(y_2^l, y_1^l) (e_{12}, e_{12})}{\omega_1^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(e_{12}, e_{12})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_1^4} (x_1, x_2) (y_2^l, y_1^l) (e_{12}, e_{12})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_1^4} (x_1, x_2) (y_2^l, y_1^l) = [z_1, z_2]_1. \end{split}$$

LEMMA 5. The function [,] has the following properties:

- (a)  $[\lambda x, y] = \lambda [x, y]$
- (b) [x, y] = complex conjugate of [y, x].
- (c)  $[x, x] \ge 0$  and [x, x] = 0 if and only if x = 0.
- (d)  $\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}, z\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[z_{i}, z\right]$ , provided  $z_{i}, z \in S$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} \in S$ .

PROOF. (a)–(c) are easily verified. We shall prove (d). Since  $z_i, z \in S$  we have  $z_i = x_i y_i$ , z = xy and also  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i = vw$  with  $x_i, x, v \in L$ ,  $y_i, y, w \in R$ . Let us assume that  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, x$  are fixed while y is variable. We have:  $(u, z) = (vw, xy) = \omega^{-2}(v, x)(w, y)$  or  $(v, x)(w, y) = \omega^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i y_i, xy) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i, x)(y_i, y)$ . Now let us assume that  $(v, x) \neq 0$ . This can be done without loss of generality. Then we can write  $(x_i, x) = \lambda_i(v, x)$  for some complex  $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and so we have:

$$(v, x)(w, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i(v, x)(y_i, y) = (v, x) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i y_i, y)$$

or  $(w, y) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i, y)$ . It can be written  $(w - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i, y) = 0$ , where y is an arbitrary element in R. This simply means that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i y_i$  (note that  $w, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Now let us take y so that z = xy. Then we have:

$$[u, z] = [vw, xy] = \frac{1}{\omega^4} (v, x) (y^l, w^l) = \frac{1}{\omega^4} (v, x) \left( y^l, \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\lambda}_i y_i^l \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (v, x) (y^l, y^l_i) = \frac{1}{\omega^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i, x) (y^l, y^l_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n [x_i, y_i xy] = \sum_{i=1}^n [z_i, z].$$

Now let I be the set of all finite sums of elements in S, i.e., I is the set of all elements of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$  with  $x_i \in L$ ,  $y_i \in R$ . It is easy to see that I is a two-sided ideal dense in A.

LEMMA 6. The function [,] has a unique extension to I, which has the properties of a scalar product.

PROOF. If  $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$  and  $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_j$  with  $z_i \in S$ ,  $u_j \in S$ , then we define  $[z, u] = \sum_{i,j} [u_i, z_j]$ . It is easy to verify that [,] is a scalar product, using Lemma 5. The uniqueness of [,] follows from (d).

LEMMA 7. If  $u, v \in I$  then  $|uv| \le |u| |v|$ , where |uv| = 0 denotes the corresponding to |uv| = 0, |uv| = 0

PROOF. (a) We first take  $u, v \in S$ , then  $u = x_1y_1, v = x_2y_2$  with  $x_i \in L$ ,  $y_i \in R$ . Then  $uv = x_1y_1x_2y_2 = \lambda x_1ey_2$ , since  $y_1x_2 = \lambda e$  for some  $\lambda$ , and

$$|uv| = |\lambda| |x_1| y_2| = \frac{1}{\omega^2} |\lambda| \cdot ||x_1|| \cdot ||y_2||.$$

But  $|\lambda|\omega^2 = |\lambda|(e, e) = |(\lambda e, e)| = |(y_1x_2, e)| = |(x_2, y_1^l)| \le ||x_2|| ||y_1^l||$ , i.e.,  $|\lambda| \le \omega^{-2} ||x_2|| \cdot ||y_1^l||$ .

Hence

$$|uv| \le \frac{1}{\omega^2} ||x_1|| \cdot ||y_1^l|| \frac{1}{\omega^2} ||x_2|| \cdot ||y_2^l|| = |u| |v|.$$

(b) Suppose that  $u \in I$  and  $v \in S$ ; then  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$ . We may assume that  $(x_i, x_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $[x_i y_i, x_j y_j] = 0$  and  $[x_i y_i v, x_j y_j v] = 0$  and hence  $|u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i y_i|^2$  and  $|uv|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i y_i v|^2$ . Thus:

$$|uv|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i y_i|^2 |v|^2 = |u|^2 |v|^2.$$

(c) If  $u \in I$  and  $v \in I$  we write  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$  so that  $(y_i^l, y_j^l) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and apply the technique of the previous paragraph.

LEMMA 8. If  $u \in I$  then  $|u| \leq ||u||$ .

PROOF. If  $u \in S$ , then u = xy,  $x \in L$ ,  $y \in R$  and  $|u| = \omega^{-2}||x|| \cdot ||y^i|| \le \omega^{-1}||x|| \cdot ||y|| = ||u||$  since  $||y^i|| \le \omega||y||$  (Lemma 2). If  $u \in I$ , then  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ ; we may assume that  $(x_i, x_j) = 0$  for  $i \ne j$ , then  $(u_i, u_j) = 0$  and also  $[u_i, u_j] = 0$  for  $i \ne j$  and hence  $|u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |u_i|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^n ||u_i||^2 = ||u||^2$ .

COROLLARY. If  $u, v \in I$  then  $[u, v] \leq ||u|| \cdot ||v||$ .

Thus the scalar product  $[\ ,\ ]$  is continuous in the original topology; hence can be extended to whole A. In general A is not complete in the new scalar product, so let  $\tilde{A}$  be the completion of A with respect to  $[\ ,\ ]$ . Let us extend continuously the algebraic operations of A (including the involution) to  $\tilde{A}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\tilde{A}$  is an  $H^*$ -algebra.

Indeed let x be an element in A having left adjoint  $x^l$  in A, then if z,  $u \in S$  we have  $z = x_1y_1$ ,  $u = x_2y_2$ ,  $x_i \in L$ ,  $y_i \in R$ , i = 1, 2, and so

$$[zx, u] = [x_1y_1x, x_2y_2] = \frac{1}{\omega^4}(x_1, x_2)(y_2^l, x^l y_1^l) = \frac{1}{\omega^4}(x_1, x_2)(xy_2^l, y_1^l)$$
$$= [x_1y_1, x_2y_2x^l] = [z, ux^l].$$

From this it is easy to verify that  $[yx, z] = [y, zx^i]$  for all  $y, z \in A$ . Similarly  $[xy, z] = [y, x^iz]$  for all  $y, z \in A$  and it is easy to show that  $|x^i| = |x|$  for all  $x \in A$  having left adjoint, from which it follows that

the involution  $x \to x^i$  can be uniquely extended to whole  $\tilde{A}$  in such a manner that  $[xy, z] = [y, x^i z]$  and  $[yx, z] = [y, zx^i]$  hold for all y, z in  $\tilde{A}$ .

Now we are in a position to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. Every simple complemented algebra A is isomorphic to an algebra of operators a of the Hilbert Schmidt type on a Hilbert space such that  $\operatorname{tr}((a\alpha)^*a\alpha) < \infty$  where  $\alpha$  is some (unbounded) self-adjoint operator with the domain dense in the Hilbert space.

PROOF. Above we constructed the  $H^*$ -algebra  $\tilde{A}$  in which A is dense.  $\tilde{A}$  is isomorphic to the algebra of operators of the Hilbert Schmidt type on some Hilbert space H (it is easy to verify that  $\tilde{A}$  is simple). In particular we may take H to be the closed ideal  $e\tilde{A}$ , where e is the above considered primitive left projection. The isomorphism is set up as follows: if  $a \in \tilde{A}$  corresponds to the operator T and  $x \in e\tilde{A}$ , then T(x) = xa.

Now let us consider eA and  $e\tilde{A}$ . Since the scalar product  $[\ ,\ ]$  of  $\tilde{A}$  restricted to eA is continuous with respect to the original norm there exists a bounded self-adjoint operator  $\beta$  defined on eA such that  $[a,b]=(\beta(a),\beta(b))$  holds for every  $a,b\in eA$ . One can easily see that  $\beta$  is also continuous with respect to  $|\ |\ -\text{norm}$  (corresponding to  $[\ ,\ ])\colon |\beta(a)|=||\beta^2(a)||\leq ||\beta||\, ||\beta(a)||=||\beta||\, |a|$ . Thus  $\beta$  can be extended to whole  $e\tilde{A}$ .

Snce the mapping  $a oup a^l$  is 1-1 (follows from the fact that A is semi-simple),  $\beta$  is 1-1 also (note that  $(\beta(a), \beta(b)) = [a, b] = \omega^{-4}(b^l, a^l)$ ). Since  $\beta$  is also self-adjoint the range of  $\beta$  (even if  $\beta$  is restricted to eA) is dense in eA. Now let x be any member of eA and let  $x_n$  be a sequence of elements in the range of  $\beta$  approaching x in  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm. Then  $x_n oup x$  also in  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm. Let  $y_n$  be the sequence such that  $\beta(y_n) = x_n$ . Then  $\|y_n - y_m\| = \|\beta(y_n) - \beta(y_m)\| = \|x_n - x_m\|$ , i.e.  $y_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore there is an element y in  $e\tilde{A}$  such that  $y_n oup y$  in  $\|\cdot\|$ -norm. Then we have  $x = \beta(y)$  and so the range of  $\beta$  extended to  $e\tilde{A}$  is entire eA. Hence there exists an (unbounded) operator  $\alpha$  with the domain dense in  $e\tilde{A}$  such that  $(a, b) = [\alpha(a), \alpha(b)]$  holds for every  $a, b \in eA$ .

Let us show that  $\alpha(a) = a\alpha$  for every  $a \in eA$  where  $a\alpha$  means operator defined by  $\alpha(a(x))$  (x is an element in the Hilbert space). But a(x) = xa if  $x \in e\tilde{A}$ . So it is sufficient to show that  $\alpha(xa) = x(\alpha(a))$ . But it follows from the fact that  $x \in e\tilde{A}$ ,  $a \in e\tilde{A}$  and  $\alpha(a) \in e\tilde{A}$ :  $\alpha(xa) = \alpha(exea) = \alpha(\lambda ea) = \lambda \alpha(ea) = \lambda e\alpha(ea) = exe\alpha(a) = x\alpha(a)$ , where  $\lambda$  is some scalar such that  $exe = \lambda e$ .

Thus we have  $(a, b) = [a\alpha, b\alpha] = \text{tr } ((b\alpha)*a\alpha)$  for every  $a, b \in eA$ . One can quite easily show (using Lemma 1) that this is true for every  $a, b \in A$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. W. Ambrose, Structure theorem for a special class of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 57 (1945) pp. 364-386.
- 2. N. Jacobson, The radical and semi-simplicity for arbitrary rings, Amer. J. Math. vol. 67 (1945) pp. 300-320.
  - 3. I. Kaplansky, Dual rings, Ann. of Math. vol. 49 (1948) pp. 689-701.
- 4. L. H. Loomis, An introduction to harmonic analysis, New York, Van Nostrand, 1953, pp. 100-106.
- 5. P. P. Saworotnow, On a generalization of the notion of H\*-algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 8 (1957) pp. 49-55.
  - 6. M. F. Smiley, Right H\*-algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 1-4

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA

## A BOUNDARY LAYER PROBLEM FOR AN ELLIPTIC EQUATION IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF A SINGULAR POINT<sup>1</sup>

VICTOR J. MIZEL

We consider the first boundary value problem for

$$Lu = \epsilon \Delta u + A(x, y)u_x + B(x, y)u_y + C(x, y)u = D(x, y)$$

on a region R under the following hypotheses

- I. R is an open simply- or multiply-connected region in the (x, y) plane whose boundary S consists of a finite number of simple closed curves, and R+S is contained in an open connected region  $R_0$  throughout which A(x, y), B(x, y), C(x, y), and D(x, y) are of class  $C^6$ .
- II. Along each closed curve of S the functions giving x, y, and the boundary value  $\bar{u}$  in terms of arclength are of class  $C^6$ .
  - III. C(x, y) < 0 on  $R_0$ .
- IV. The system (for characteristics of the abridged ( $\epsilon = 0$ ) equation)

(1) 
$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -A(x, y), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -B(x, y)$$

has as its singularities on R+S a finite number of stable attractors  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ .

Received by the editors February 14, 1956.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The author wishes at this point to express his gratitude to Professor N. Levinson who originally suggested the problem to him and who gave him encouragement throughout.