

# ON INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS<sup>1</sup>

A. DEVINATZ

1. Suppose that  $f(x)$  is an infinitely differentiable positive definite function. That is to say

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d\alpha(t),$$

where  $d\alpha(t)$  is a bounded non-negative measure. Since  $f(x)$  is infinitely differentiable, it is well known (cf. C.-G. Esseen [4, p. 24]) that

$$f^{(n)}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i^n t^n e^{itx} d\alpha(t).$$

Therefore, the sequence  $\{(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0)\}_0^{\infty}$  represents a Hamburger moment sequence. Again, if  $\{\xi_k\}_0^n$  is an arbitrary finite set of complex numbers and  $m$  is any non-negative integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k (-i)^k f^{(k+m)}(x) \right|^2 &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^m e^{itx} \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k t^k d\alpha(t) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^{2m} d\alpha(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k t^k \right|^2 d\alpha(t) \\ &= M_m \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^n \xi_r \bar{\xi}_s (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_m = (-i)^{2m} f^{(2m)}(0)$ .

It turns out that if we add to these two necessary conditions a third condition, namely that  $\{(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0)\}$  is a *determined* Hamburger moment sequence,<sup>2</sup> then these three conditions are sufficient for an infinitely differentiable function to have the representation (1). However, even more is true. If  $f(x)$  is defined and infinitely differentiable on some open interval containing the origin and satisfies the above conditions, then it has the representation (1); i.e. it can be extended to be a positive definite function. Since the Hamburger moment sequence is determined the extension is clearly unique (cf.

---

Received by the editors January 11, 1956 and, in revised form, February 15, 1956.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the United States Air Force, through the Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command.

<sup>2</sup> By this we mean that there exists a *unique* non-negative measure  $d\alpha(t)$  such that  $(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n d\alpha(t)$ .

Esseen [4, pp. 24–25]). Moreover, we shall show that if the Hamburger sequence is not determined, the first two necessary conditions are not sufficient. On the other hand the fact that the Hamburger sequence  $\{(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0)\}$  is determined is in general not a necessary condition.

The theorem we shall prove in this note is as follows:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $f(x)$  be an infinitely differentiable function defined on the open interval  $(-a, b)$  where  $a, b > 0$ . If*

- (a)  $\{(-i)^k f^{(k)}(0)\}_0^\infty$  *is a determined Hamburger moment sequence and*
- (b) *for every non-negative integer  $m$  there exists an  $M_m > 0$  such that for every  $x \in (-a, b)$  and every finite set  $\{\xi_k\}_0^n$  of complex numbers*

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k (-i)^k f^{(k+m)}(x) \right|^2 \leq M_m \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^n \xi_r \bar{\xi}_s (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0),$$

*then there exists a bounded non-negative measure  $d\alpha(t)$  such that*

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itz} d\alpha(t).$$

As a tool in the proof of this theorem we shall use the theory of operators in Hilbert space. This theorem was inspired by a recent result of A. P. Calderon and A. Devinatz [2; 3] when we noticed, that after some preliminary work, the same methods as used in [2] and [3] could be used to obtain our more general result.

2. In this section we shall construct the requisite tool which we shall use in the proof of our theorem, namely a Hilbert space. To do this we consider the class  $\mathfrak{F}'$  of functions of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x).$$

If  $h(x)$  is another element of  $\mathfrak{F}'$ , namely

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \eta_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x),$$

we shall construct an inner product in  $\mathfrak{F}'$  by the formula

$$(2) \quad (g, h) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^m \xi_r \bar{\eta}_s (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0).$$

To show that this is a well defined function, suppose that  $g$  and  $h$  have different representations; i.e.

$$g(x) = \sum_0^n \xi_k'(-i)^k f^{(k)}(x), \quad h(x) = \sum_0^{m'} \eta_k'(-i)^k f^{(k)}(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=0}^{n'} \sum_{s=0}^{m'} \xi_r' \bar{\eta}_s' (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0) &= \sum_{r=0}^{n'} \xi_r' (i)^r \bar{h}^{(r)}(0) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n'} \sum_{s=0}^m \xi_r' \bar{\eta}_s' (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0) = \sum_{s=0}^m \bar{\eta}_s' (-i)^s g^{(s)}(0) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^m \xi_r \bar{\eta}_s (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the bilinear function in (2) is well defined. Moreover, since  $\{(-i)^{nf(n)}(0)\}$  is a moment sequence,  $(g, g) \geq 0$  and by condition (b) of the theorem if  $(g, g) = 0$ , then  $g(x) \equiv 0$ . Conversely if  $g(x) \equiv 0$ ,  $(g, g) = 0$ . Therefore, the bilinear function defined in (2) is an actual inner product on  $\mathfrak{F}'$ .

In general,  $\mathfrak{F}'$  is not complete with respect to this norm. We shall show that it can be completed to a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{F}$  of functions on  $(-a, b)$ . Suppose then that  $\{g_n\}_1^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathfrak{F}'$ . That is to say  $\|g_n - g_m\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . By condition (b) of the theorem  $|g_n(x) - g_m(x)|$  goes uniformly to zero as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, there exists a continuous function  $g(x)$  defined on  $(-a, b)$  such that  $|g_n(x) - g(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This extended class of functions, which we get as pointwise limits of Cauchy sequences in  $\mathfrak{F}'$ , we shall designate by  $\mathfrak{F}$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a linear space over the complex number field. It remains to extend the inner product from  $\mathfrak{F}'$  to  $\mathfrak{F}$  so that  $\mathfrak{F}$  becomes a Hilbert space. If  $g, h \in \mathfrak{F}$ , there exist Cauchy sequences  $\{g_n\}, \{h_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}'$  such that  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  and  $h_n(x) \rightarrow h(x)$ . We shall define

$$(3) \quad (g, h) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, h_n).$$

That this limit exists is clear since

$$|(g_n, h_n) - (g_m, h_m)| \leq \|g_n - g_m\| \|h_n\| + \|g_m\| \|h_n - h_m\|.$$

The quantities  $\|h_n\|$  and  $\|g_m\|$  are uniformly bounded and therefore  $\{(g_n, h_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. We must show yet that (3) is a well defined function.

Suppose that  $\{\tilde{g}_n\}, \{\tilde{h}_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}'$  are Cauchy sequences such that  $\tilde{g}_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  and  $\tilde{h}_n(x) \rightarrow h(x)$  uniformly in  $(-a, b)$ . Condition (b) of the theorem tells us that for any  $m$ ,  $\tilde{g}_n^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow g^{(m)}(x)$  and  $\tilde{h}_n^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow h^{(m)}(x)$  uniformly in  $(-a, b)$  and therefore, in particular,  $\tilde{g}_n^{(m)}(0)$

$\rightarrow g^{(m)}(0)$  and  $\tilde{h}_n^{(m)}(0) \rightarrow h^{(m)}(0)$ . Now, clearly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, h_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, h_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, h_m).$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, h_m) &= \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{g}_n, \tilde{h}_m) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n - \tilde{g}_n, h_m) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{g}_n, h_m - \tilde{h}_m). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$h_m(x) = \sum_k \xi_{k,m}(-i)^k f^{(k)}(x)$$

and

$$\tilde{g}_n(x) = \sum_k \eta_{k,n}(-i)^k f^{(k)}(x).$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n - \tilde{g}_n, h_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k \xi_{k,m}(-i)^k [g_n^{(k)}(0) - \tilde{g}_n^{(k)}(0)] = 0$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{g}_n, h_m - \tilde{h}_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k \eta_{k,n}(i)^k [\tilde{h}_m^{(k)}(0) - h_m^{(k)}(0)] = 0.$$

This shows that the function defined in (3) is indeed well defined.

To show that this bilinear function is an inner product we first note that for every  $g$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  there exists a Cauchy sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}'$  such that

$$(g, g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, g_n) \geq 0.$$

Again, suppose that for  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $(g, g) = 0$ . Suppose  $\{g_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}'$  is a Cauchy sequence such that  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  and  $(g, g) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n, g_n) = 0$ . Then since

$$|g_n(x)|^2 = \left| \sum_k \xi_{k,n}(-i)^k f^{(k)}(x) \right|^2 \leq M_0 \|g_n\|^2$$

we have that  $g_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ , which shows that  $g(x) \equiv 0$ . On the other hand if  $g(x) \equiv 0$  on  $(-a, b)$  then  $g \in \mathfrak{F}'$  and  $(g, g) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F}$  forms a linear space with an inner product. The proof of the fact that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is complete uses standard arguments and we leave this to the reader.

An important fact that we shall need in the future, a fact used

previously in connection with the space  $\mathfrak{F}'$ , is that for any  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$

$$(4) \quad (-i)^n g^{(n)}(0) = (g(x), (-i)^n f^{(n)}(x)).$$

This can be easily proved by taking a Cauchy sequence  $\{g_n(x)\} \subset \mathfrak{F}'$  such that  $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ , noting that (4) is true for every  $g_n$  in this sequence and then passing to the limit.

3. Now that we have constructed the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{F}$  we can proceed with the proof of our theorem. First we wish to set up a conjugation operator on  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Consider first an element  $g \in \mathfrak{F}'$ ; i.e.  $g(x) = \sum_0^n \xi_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x)$ . Define

$$Jg(x) = \sum_0^n \bar{\xi}_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x).$$

Now,  $J^2 g(x) = g(x)$  and if  $h(x) = \sum_0^m \eta_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x)$ , then

$$(Jg, Jh) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^m \bar{\xi}_r \eta_s (-i)^{r+s} f^{(r+s)}(0) = (h, g).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{F}'$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $J$  can be extended to all of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and is a conjugation operator.

Define an operator  $D$ , with domain  $\mathfrak{F}'$ , by the relation

$$Dg(x) = -idg(x)/dx.$$

In other words, if  $g(x) = \sum_0^n \xi_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x)$ , then

$$Dg(x) = \sum_0^n \xi_k (-i)^{k+1} f^{(k+1)}(x).$$

If  $h(x) = \sum_0^m \eta_k (-i)^k f^{(k)}(x)$ , then

$$(Dg, h) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{s=0}^m \bar{\xi}_r \eta_s (-i)^{r+s+1} f^{(r+s+1)}(0) = (g, Dh).$$

Therefore,  $D$  is a symmetric operator. Further, since it clearly permutes with  $J$ , it has a self-adjoint extension. We shall show that the self-adjoint extension is unique and is therefore the closure of  $D$ .

Suppose  $H$  is any self-adjoint extension of  $D$ , and  $dE(t)$  its canonical resolution of the identity. If  $f_0(x) = f(x)$ , then

$$(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0) = (H^n f_0, f_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n d(E(t)f_0, f_0).$$

Since by hypothesis  $\{(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0)\}$  is a uniquely determined Hamburger moment sequence, the measure  $d(E(t)f_0, f_0)$  is uniquely deter-

mined. Suppose we let  $f_n(x) = (-i)^n f^{(n)}(x)$ . The linear manifold generated by this class of elements is dense in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Now,

$$(E(\lambda)f_n, f_m) = (E(\lambda)H^{n+m}f_0, f_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} t^{n+m} d(E(t)f_0, f_0).$$

Therefore, for any  $n$  and  $m$ ,  $(E(\lambda)f_n, f_m)$  is uniquely determined in the sense that if  $H_1$  is another self-adjoint extension of  $D$ ,  $dE_1(t)$  its canonical spectral measure, then  $(E_1(\lambda)f_n, f_m) = (E(\lambda)f_n, f_m)$ . This means however that  $D$  has only one self-adjoint extension, namely its closure.

What we have just proved means that  $D^*$ , the adjoint of  $D$ , is self-adjoint and is the closure of  $D$ . Therefore  $g$  is in the domain of  $D^*$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{g_n\} \subset \mathfrak{F}'$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow g$  and  $Dg_n \rightarrow D^*g$  in the strong topology of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . This implies uniform pointwise convergence and therefore,

$$D^*g(x) = -idg(x)/dx.$$

Let us consider the group of unitary operators

$$U_x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itz} dE(t),$$

where  $dE(t)$  is the canonical spectral measure of  $D^*$ . Let  $g \in \mathfrak{F}$  be such that

$$U_x g = \int_{-c}^c e^{itz} dE(t)g,$$

where  $c$  is a positive finite number. It is clear that any such element belongs to the domain of  $D^*$ . Let us expand  $e^{itz}$ , as a function of  $t$ , in its Taylor series about the origin. Since this Taylor series is uniformly convergent in any finite interval we have for every  $x$

$$\begin{aligned} U_x g &= \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \int_{-c}^c i^n t^n dE(t)g \\ &= \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} i^n D^{*n}g, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence is in the strong topology of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . But since convergence in the strong topology implies pointwise convergence we have for every  $y \in (-a, b)$

$$U_x g(y) = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{g^{(n)}(y)}{n!} x^n.$$

Since this series has an infinite radius of convergence for every  $y \in (-a, b)$  it is well known (cf. R. P. Boas [1]) that  $g(y)$  is analytic. Therefore if  $x+y \in (-a, b)$

$$(5) \quad U_x g(y) = g(y+x).$$

Since the class of elements for which (5) holds is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ , (5) must hold for every element of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If again we set  $f_0(x) = f(x)$  then by means of the relationship (4) we get

$$f(x) = U_x f(0) = (U_x f_0, f_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d(E(t)f_0, f_0).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. In this section we shall show that there exist functions  $f(x)$  such that  $\{(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0)\}$  is an *undetermined* Hamburger moment sequence and which satisfy condition (b) of our theorem but which are not positive definite. This is essentially the same example as given in [3].

Let  $\{\mu_n\}_0^\infty$  be any undetermined Hamburger moment sequence. Then there exist two different bounded non-negative measures  $d\alpha_1(t)$  and  $d\alpha_2(t)$  such that

$$\mu_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n d\alpha_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^n d\alpha_2(t).$$

Let

$$f_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d\alpha_1(t),$$

$$f_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} d\alpha_2(t).$$

Further, let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) & \text{for } x \geq 0, \\ f_2(x) & \text{for } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $(-i)^n f^{(n)}(0) = \mu_n$  and condition (b) of the theorem is clearly satisfied. However,  $f(x)$  cannot be a positive definite function since a positive definite function must satisfy the relation

$$f(x) = \bar{f}(-x).$$

However, we would get in this case

$$f_1(x) = \bar{f}_1(-x) = \bar{f}_2(-x) = f_2(x)$$

which would mean  $d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, *A theorem on analytic functions of a real variable*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 41 (1935) pp. 233-236.
2. Alberto Calderon and Allen Devinatz, *Sur certaines courbes dans l'espace de Hilbert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 241 (1955) pp. 539-541.
3. ———, *Sur certaines courbes à courbure constante dans l'espace de Hilbert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris vol. 241 (1955) pp. 586-587.
4. Carl-Gustave Esseen, *Fourier analysis of distribution functions*, Acta Math. vol. 77 (1945) pp. 1-125.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT AND  
WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

## ON A SERIES OF RAINVILLE INVOLVING LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS

B. R. BHONSLE

1. The object of this paper is to obtain some relations involving Legendre polynomials with the help of a series given by E. D. Rainville. The results are believed to be new.

2. We start with the series given by E. D. Rainville

$$(2.1) \quad P_n(\cos \alpha) = \left( \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k} \left[ \frac{\sin(\beta - \alpha)}{\sin \alpha} \right]^{n-k} P_k(\cos \beta).$$

Putting  $\beta = 2\alpha$  and  $\cos 2\alpha = x$ , we get

$$(2.2) \quad 2^{n/2}(1+x)^{n/2}P_n\left(\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{1/2}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{n,k}P_k(x).$$

From (2.2) and the orthogonal property

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{n/2} P_r(x) P_n\left(\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{1/2}\right) dx = \frac{c_{n,\gamma}}{2^{n/2-1}(2\gamma+1)}, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq n, \\ = 0, \quad r > n.$$

Using (2.3) with Adams' expansion (*Modern analysis*, p. 331) for

Received by the editors October 2, 1955 and, in revised form, March 26, 1956.