References

1. A. B. Simon, *Vanishing algebras*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
2. E. J. McShane, *Images of sets satisfying the condition of Baire*, Ann. of Math. vol. 51 (1950) pp. 380–386.
3. B. J. Pettis, *On continuity and openness of homomorphisms in topological groups*, Ann. of Math. vol. 52 (1950) pp. 293–308.
4. ———, *Remarks on a theorem of E. J. McShane*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 2 (1951) pp. 166–171.
5. Fred B. Wright, *Semigroups in compact groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 7 (1956) pp. 309–311.

Tulane University

SIMPLE NODAL NONCOMMUTATIVE JORDAN ALGEBRAS

LOUIS A. KOKORIS

1. Introduction. Nodal algebras were defined by R. D. Schafer [4] and have also been studied by the author [2; 3]. A noncommutative Jordan algebra is an algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ over a field $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfying (1) the flexible law $(xy)x=x(yx)$ and (2) the condition that $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is a Jordan algebra. That is, $\mathfrak{A}^+$ satisfies the identity $(x^2\cdot y)\cdot x=x^2\cdot(y\cdot x)$ where we have used the dot to indicate the product of $\mathfrak{A}^+$. The algebra $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is defined to be the same vector space as $\mathfrak{A}$ but with product $x\cdot y=(xy+yx)/2$ where xy and yx are products in $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is called nodal if it is finite dimensional, if $\mathfrak{A}$ has identity element 1, if $\mathfrak{A}$ can be written as a vector space direct sum $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{F}1+\mathfrak{N}$ where $\mathfrak{N}$ is the subspace of nilpotent elements of $\mathfrak{A}$, and if $\mathfrak{N}$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Every known nodal algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ has the property that $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is an associative algebra. The flexible algebras with $\mathfrak{A}^+$ associative have been described in [3]. In this paper we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a simple nodal noncommutative Jordan algebra of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is associative.*

Define $\mathfrak{B}$ to be the subspace of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by all the associators in $\mathfrak{N}^+$. That is, $\mathfrak{B}$ is generated by elements of the form $(x\cdot y)\cdot z-x\cdot(y\cdot z)$ with x, y, z in $\mathfrak{N}$. The proof of the theorem will be made by showing that the ideal $\mathfrak{C}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\mathfrak{B}$ is not all of $\mathfrak{A}$ and since $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple it will follow that $\mathfrak{C}=0$ and $\mathfrak{B}=0$. This is the desired result.

The original proof was not valid when the characteristic is 3. The author thanks Professor R. D. Schafer for suggesting a modification

Presented to the Society, January 28, 1958; received by the editors January 13, 1958.

which makes the proof simpler and also valid when the characteristic is 3.

2. **The proof.** Let x_1, x_2, x_3, and y be any elements of $\mathfrak{N}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is nodal we have:

$$x_i y = \lambda_i 1 + z_i. \tag{1}$$

The proof will depend on relation (8) of Schafer's paper [**4**] which is

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 \cdot x_2)y = {} & \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_1 + x_1 \cdot z_2 + x_2 \cdot z_1 \\ & - (x_1 \cdot y) \cdot x_2 - (x_2 \cdot y) \cdot x_1 + (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot y.\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In the proof it will also be necessary to use the fact that $\mathfrak{N}^+$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}^+$ [**1**].

By (2) $(x_1 \cdot x_2)y$ is in $\mathfrak{N}$ and it follows from (2) that $[(x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3]y = \lambda_3 x_1 \cdot x_2 + (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot z_3 + x_3 \cdot [\lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_1 + x_1 \cdot z_2 + x_2 \cdot z_1 - (x_1 \cdot y) \cdot x_2 - (x_2 \cdot y) \cdot x_1 + (x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot y] - [(x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot y] \cdot x_3 - (x_3 \cdot y) \cdot (x_1 \cdot x_2) + [(x_1 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_3] \cdot y$. Without bothering to simplify interchange subscripts 1 and 3 to get $[x_1 \cdot (x_2 \cdot x_3)]y = \lambda_1 x_3 \cdot x_2 + (x_3 \cdot x_2) \cdot z_1 + x_1 \cdot [\lambda_3 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_3 + x_3 \cdot z_2 + x_2 \cdot z_3 - (x_3 \cdot y) \cdot x_2 - (x_2 \cdot y) \cdot x_3 - (x_1 \cdot y) \cdot (x_3 \cdot x_2) + [(x_3 \cdot x_2) \cdot x_1] \cdot y$. Using the notation $(a, b, c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c - a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for the associator of a, b, c in $\mathfrak{A}^+$ we have, upon subtracting the second relation from the first, $(x_1, x_2, x_3)y = (x_1, x_2, z_3) + (x_1, z_2, x_3) + (z_1, x_2, x_3) - (x_1 \cdot y, x_2, x_3) - (x_1, x_2 \cdot y, x_3) + (x_3 \cdot y, x_2, x_1) + (x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot y$.

Now define the set $\mathfrak{B}$ to be the subspace of $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by the associators (a, b, c) with a, b, c in $\mathfrak{N}$ and using the product of $\mathfrak{N}^+$. We have proved the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a nodal noncommutative Jordan algebra whose characteristic is not* 2. *Then* $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{N}$. *Also* $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{N}$.

The last statement follows from the fact that if b is in $\mathfrak{B}$, n in $\mathfrak{N}$, then $nb = 2b \cdot n - bn$.

Let $\mathfrak{C}_0 = \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathfrak{C}_1 = \mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{C}_0 + \mathfrak{C}_0 \cdot \mathfrak{N}$, and in general $\mathfrak{C}_{i+1} = \mathfrak{C}_i + \mathfrak{C}_i \cdot \mathfrak{N}$. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{C}_{i+1} = \mathfrak{C}_i + \mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^{i+1}$.

LEMMA 2. *The product* $(\mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{N})\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_2$ *and* $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{B} \cdot \mathfrak{N}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_2$. *It follows that* $\mathfrak{C}_1\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_2$, $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{C}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_2$.

The proof follows from the flexible law as does (2) which was proved by Schafer. The linearized form of the flexible identity is

$$(xy)z + (zy)x = x(yz) + z(yx). \tag{3}$$

Add $(yx)z + (yz)x$ to both sides of (3) to obtain the equivalent relation

$$(x \cdot y)z + (y \cdot z)x = yz \cdot x + yx \cdot z. \tag{4}$$

If x is in $\mathfrak{B}$, y, z in $\mathfrak{N}$, then $(y\cdot z)x$ is in $(\mathfrak{N}\cdot\mathfrak{N})\mathfrak{B}\subseteq\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{B}$. By Lemma 1, $(y\cdot z)x$ is in $\mathfrak{C}_1$. The product yz is in $\mathfrak{F}1+\mathfrak{N}$ so $yz\cdot x$ is in $\mathfrak{B}+\mathfrak{N}\cdot\mathfrak{B}=\mathfrak{C}_1$. And $yx\cdot z$ is in $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{B}\cdot\mathfrak{N}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_2$. Therefore, $(x\cdot y)z$ is in $\mathfrak{C}_2$ as desired.

LEMMA 3. *The product* $[\mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^i]\mathfrak{N}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$ *and* $\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^i]\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$. *Or, equivalently,* $\mathfrak{C}_i\mathfrak{N}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$, $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{C}_i\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$.

Assume that $[\mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^{i-1}]\mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^{i-1}]$ are in $\mathfrak{C}_i$. Take x in (4) to be in $\mathfrak{H}=\mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^{i-1}$, and y, z to be in $\mathfrak{N}$. Then $(y\cdot z)x$ is in $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{H}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_i$, $yz\cdot x$ is in $\mathfrak{H}+\mathfrak{N}\cdot\mathfrak{H}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_i$, and $yx\cdot z$ is in $(\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{H})\cdot\mathfrak{N}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$. Thus $(x\cdot y)z$ is in $\mathfrak{C}_{i+1}$.

LEMMA 4. *There exists a positive integer k such that $\mathfrak{C}_k=\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_k$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$.*

The set $\mathfrak{B}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{N}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is a Jordan algebra, $\mathfrak{N}^+$ is nilpotent. Consequently, $\mathfrak{B}(R^+_{\mathfrak{N}})^{k+1}=0$ for some k. For this k, $\mathfrak{C}_k=\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}$. By Lemma 3 $\mathfrak{C}_k\mathfrak{N}\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}=\mathfrak{C}_k$ and $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{C}_k\subseteq\mathfrak{C}_k$.

The ideal $\mathfrak{C}_k$ is contained in $\mathfrak{N}\cdot\mathfrak{N}\cdot\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{N}_3$. Since $\mathfrak{N}^+$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{A}^+$, $\mathfrak{N}_3\subset\mathfrak{N}$ and so $\mathfrak{C}_k\subset\mathfrak{N}$. If $\mathfrak{A}$ is a simple algebra, $\mathfrak{C}_k=0$ and thus $\mathfrak{B}=0$. This says that every associator in $\mathfrak{N}^+$ is zero. Now if a, b, c are any elements in $\mathfrak{A}$, $a=\alpha 1+x$, $b=\beta 1+y$, $c=\gamma 1+z$ with x, y, z in $\mathfrak{N}$. Then $(a\cdot b)\cdot c-a\cdot(b\cdot c)=(x\cdot y)\cdot z-x\cdot(y\cdot z)$ so every associator in $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is an associator in $\mathfrak{N}^+$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Any ideal properly contained in $\mathfrak{A}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{N}$, hence is a nilideal and is contained in the radical of $\mathfrak{A}$. This implies the corollary which we state below.

COROLLARY. *Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a semisimple nodal noncommutative Jordan algebra of characteristic $\neq 2$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is simple and $\mathfrak{A}^+$ is associative.*

REFERENCES

1. N. Jacobson, *A theorem on the structure of Jordan algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 42 (1956) pp. 140–147.

2. L. A. Kokoris, *Some nodal noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1958) pp. 164–166.

3. ———, *Nodal noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Canadian J. Math. (to appear).

4. R. D. Schafer, *On noncommutative Jordan algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 9 (1958) pp. 110–117.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY