

# INVERSION OF AN INTEGRAL TRANSFORM<sup>1</sup>

HARRY POLLARD AND HAROLD WIDOM

**1. Introduction.** It is the object of this note to give an inversion formula for the integral transform,

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} k(y)\phi(x+y)dy, \quad x > 0$$

under the assumptions:

- (i)  $k \in L(0, \infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $k \neq 0$  in the neighborhood of zero;
- (iii) the Laplace transform

$$K(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx}k(x)dx$$

has no zeros in the closed right half-plane;

- (iv)  $\phi \in L_p(0, \infty)$  for some  $p$  in  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ .

Formally such a formula can be obtained as follows. Define  $\phi$  and  $k$  to be zero for negative values of the argument, and define  $f$  by the equation in (1) for all real  $x$ . If we denote Fourier transformation by a circumflex, i.e.

$$\hat{g}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx}g(x)dx$$

it follows from (1) that

$$\hat{f}(t) = \hat{k}(-t)\hat{\phi}(t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \hat{\phi}(t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \frac{\hat{f}(t)}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \frac{dt}{\hat{k}(-t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itv} f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{itv}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

---

Presented to the Society April 18, 1958 under the title *Inversion of a class of convolutions*; received by the editors January 2, 1958 and, in revised form, February 17, 1958.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the United States Air Force under Contract No. Af18(600)-685 monitored by the Office of Scientific Research.

This last formula is clearly unsatisfactory since  $f(x)$  is given only for  $x > 0$ . However under our assumptions on  $k$  it happens that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt = 0, \quad y < 0,$$

so the formula becomes

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt.$$

The actual results which we shall prove, motivated by this formula, are these

**THEOREM 1.** *Under hypotheses (i)–(iv) the equation (1) is inverted by*

$$\phi(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it(y-\delta)-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Under hypotheses (i)–(iv) the equation (1) is inverted by*

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{-i\delta t}}{i\delta t} \frac{e^{ity-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt,$$

for almost all  $x$ , and at all points of right-continuity of  $\phi$ .

An alternative technique, suggested by Sparenberg [3], seems difficult to apply.

**2. Lemmas.** We need Hayman's extension [1, Theorem 2] of the Ahlfors-Heins principle.<sup>2</sup>

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $u(z)$  is subharmonic in the half-plane  $y > 0$  and if*

$$(a) \quad \limsup_{z \rightarrow x} u(z) \leq 0,$$

$$\alpha = \sup_{y > 0} \frac{u(x + iy)}{y}$$

$$(b) \quad \alpha_0 = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \sup_{|z|=r; y>0} u(z) < \infty$$

then  $\alpha_0 = \max(\alpha, 0)$  and  $\lim u(re^{i\theta})/r = \alpha \sin \theta$  uniformly for  $0 < \theta < \pi$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  omitting an  $r$ -set of finite logarithmic length (i.e., a set  $E$  with  $\int_E r^{-1} dr < \infty$ ).

<sup>2</sup> The authors are indebted to Professor W. H. J. Fuchs for calling their attention to this lemma, basic for their results.

LEMMA 2. *Under the hypotheses (i)–(iii) imposed on  $k$  the function  $1/\hat{k}(z)$  has the property*

$$\frac{1}{\hat{k}(z)} = O(e^{\epsilon|z|}), \quad \epsilon > 0$$

on a sequence of semi-circular arcs  $|z| = r_n$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ , with  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that  $\hat{k}(z) = K(-iz)$  so that  $1/\hat{k}(z) \neq 0$  for  $y \geq 0$ . We may suppose that  $|\hat{k}(z)| \leq 1$  for  $y \geq 0$ .

It follows from hypotheses (i)–(iii) that  $\hat{k}$  has the representation

$$u(z) = \log |\hat{k}(x + iy)| = \frac{y}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log |\hat{k}(v)|}{y^2 + (x - v)^2} dv$$

for  $y > 0$ . See, for example, Nyman [2, pp. 13, 29].

Since  $\log |\hat{k}(v)| \leq 0$  it follows from the representation that  $u(z) \leq 0$  and also that  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} u(z)/y = 0$ . This confirms hypothesis (a) of Lemma 1 and shows that  $\alpha = 0$ . (b) is also a consequence of the representation.

Hence

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(re^{i\theta})}{r} = 0$$

with the prescribed uniformity. This establishes the lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Under the hypotheses (i)–(iii)  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \log |\hat{k}(iu)|/u = 0$ .*

This is an immediate consequence of the representation of  $u(z)$ .

**3. The inversion formulas.** It follows from (1) and the hypotheses (i), (iv) that

$$\hat{f}(t) = \hat{k}(-t)\hat{\phi}(t)$$

where the Fourier transforms are taken in the appropriate sense. Therefore (by imitating the proof of Theorem 59 in Titchmarsh [4]) we have

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \phi_\epsilon(x) \quad \text{p.p.}$$

where

$$\phi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} e^{-\epsilon|t|} \frac{\hat{f}(t)}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_\epsilon(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \stackrel{(q)}{\text{l.i.m.}} \int_{-\infty}^a e^{ity} f(y) dy \\
(2) \quad &= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-itx} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \int_{-a}^a e^{ity} f(y) dy \\
(3) \quad &= \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^a f(y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it(y-x)} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{k(-t)} dt \\
(4) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity} e^{-\epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $q = p/(p-1)$ . (2) is justified since  $e^{-\epsilon|t|}/\hat{k}(-t)$  is in  $L_p(-\infty, \infty)$ , (3) by Fubini's theorem, and (4) because the inner integral as a function of  $y$  is in  $L_q(-\infty, \infty)$ .

Now let

$$R_\epsilon(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{iyw-\epsilon w}}{\hat{k}(-w)} dw$$

and consider the integral of

$$\frac{e^{iyw-\epsilon w}}{\hat{k}(-w)},$$

as a function of  $w$ , over the contour consisting of the intervals  $(0, R)$  and  $(0, -iR)$  and the quadrant of the circle joining  $R$  and  $-iR$ . If  $y < 0$  it follows by Lemma 2 that the integral over the quadrant approaches zero as  $R = r_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{ity-\epsilon t}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{e^{uy+ieu}}{\hat{k}(iu)} du.$$

Similarly

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{ity+\epsilon t}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{e^{uy-ie u}}{\hat{k}(iu)} du.$$

Thus for  $y < 0$

$$R_\epsilon(y) = 2i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_n} \frac{e^{uy} \sin \epsilon u}{\hat{k}(iu)} du = 2i \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{uy} \sin \epsilon u}{\hat{k}(iu)} du.$$

The last step is justified by Lemma 3 which enables us to conclude also that

$$\stackrel{(q)}{\text{l.i.m.}}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} R_\epsilon(y) = 0 \quad \text{on } (-\infty, -\delta)$$

for each  $\delta > 0$ . According to (4)

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x+y) R_{\epsilon}(y) dy$$

and so

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\infty} f(x+y) R_{\epsilon}(y) dy \quad \text{p.p.}$$

for each  $\delta > 0$ . Consequently for each  $\delta > 0$

$$(5) \quad \phi(x+\delta) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) R_{\epsilon}(y-\delta) dy$$

and Theorem 1 follows.

We turn to the proof of Theorem 2. We have (by imitating the proof of Theorem 19 of Titchmarsh [4])  $\phi(x) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+}^{(p)} \phi_{\epsilon}(x)$ , so for any fixed  $x$ ,  $\phi(x+h) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+}^{(p)} \phi_{\epsilon}(x+h)$  over any  $h$ -interval  $(0, \delta)$ . Therefore, by the argument leading to (5),

$$\phi(x+h) = \text{l.i.m.}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+}^{(1)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) R_{\epsilon}(y-h) dy,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\delta} \phi(x+h) dh &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\delta} dh \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) R_{\epsilon}(y-h) dy \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_0^{\delta} R_{\epsilon}(y-h) dh \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(x+y) dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1 - e^{i\delta t}}{i\delta t} \frac{e^{ity - \epsilon|t|}}{\hat{k}(-t)} dt \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 2 follows.

## REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, *Questions of regularity connected with the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle*, J. Math. Pures Appl. vol. 35 (1956) pp. 115-126.
2. B. Nyman, *On the one-dimensional translation group and semi-group in certain function spaces*, Upsala, 1950.
3. J. A. Sparenberg, *Application of the theory of sectionally holomorphic functions to Wiener-Hopf type integral equations*, Nederl. Akademie Wetensch. Proceedings Ser. A. vol. 59 (1956) pp. 29-34.
4. E. C. Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, Oxford, 1937