

# AUTOMORPHISMS OF PRODUCTS OF MEASURE SPACES

DOROTHY MAHARAM

1. **Introduction.** Let  $S$  be the measure-theoretic product of a (not necessarily countable) family of unit intervals,  $S = \prod I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . In this paper we shall prove that  $S$  has the following "realization" property: every "set automorphism"  $\phi$  of  $S$  may be induced by some "point automorphism"  $T$  of  $S$ . (For the terms used, see below.) The particular case of this theorem in which  $\phi$  is measure-preserving shows that  $S$  has "sufficiently many measure-preserving transformations" in the terminology of Halmos and von Neumann [1, p. 340].

When the number of factors  $I_\alpha$  is countable, this theorem reduces, in the measure-preserving case at least, to a known property of normal measure spaces [2, p. 582]. The method of proof of the general theorem will apply, more generally, to any product of measure spaces in which (a) each factor has a separating sequence, and is of total measure 1, (b) every sub-product of countably many factors has the "realization" property itself. Thus, for example, any product of 2-point factors (of measure 1) will also have the realization property. We could even allow a finite number of the factors to have infinite (but  $\sigma$ -finite) measure, for the transformations considered need not preserve measure. However, we shall restrict attention to the theorem as first stated.

One feature needs remark. When the number of factors is countable,  $T$  is uniquely determined by  $\phi$ , in the sense that if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are point-automorphisms which induce the same set-automorphism  $\phi$ , then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  can differ on a null set at most. But when  $S = \prod I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , where  $A$  is uncountable,  $T$  is by no means unique in this sense. For example, if  $T_1$  is the identity transformation on  $S$  and  $T_2$  the transformation which interchanges the coordinate values 0 and 1 wherever they occur, then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  both induce the identity set-automorphism. But the set on which  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  differ can be shown to be nonmeasurable, having outer measure 1 and inner measure 0.

2. **Notation.** Let  $S$  be any measure space, and  $E$  its algebra of measurable sets modulo null sets. Thus if  $X$  is a measurable subset of  $S$ , its class modulo null sets, denoted by  $\{X\}$  or  $x$ , is a typical member of  $E$ . If  $S'$  is another measure space, with  $E'$  as its measure algebra,

---

Presented to the Society, April 6, 1957 under the title *The realization of set-isomorphisms*; received by the editors March 3, 1958.

a *point isomorphism*<sup>1</sup>  $T$  from  $S$  to  $S'$  is a 1-1 mapping of  $S$  onto  $S'$  such that both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  take (i) measurable sets into measurable sets, (ii) null sets into null sets. (In the cases we are mainly concerned with, (ii) is a consequence of (i).) A *set isomorphism*<sup>1</sup>  $\phi$  from  $S$  to  $S'$  is simply an isomorphism from  $E$  to  $E'$ , that is, a 1-1 mapping of  $E$  onto  $E'$  which preserves suprema and complements, but not necessarily measure. Thus every  $T$  induces a  $\phi$  by the rule  $\phi(x) = \{T(X)\}$ ,  $X \in x$ . When  $S = S'$  and  $E = E'$  we speak of point and set *automorphisms*.

Throughout what follows, we assume  $S = \prod I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , where each  $I_\alpha$  is a unit interval of real numbers. For each nonempty  $B \subset A$ , we write  $S(B)$  for the partial product  $\prod I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in B$ , using  $S(B)$  to denote both the product *set* and the measure space on it. For simplicity of notation, we also disregard the order of the factors, writing e.g.  $S = S(A) = S(B) \times S(A - B)$ .  $\emptyset$  is used for the empty set, and we assume throughout that  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $C \subset B \subset A$ , the "projection"  $\pi_{BC}: S(B) \rightarrow S(C)$  is defined as usual by  $\pi_{BC}(p) = q$ , where  $p \in S(B)$  and the  $\alpha$ th coordinate  $q_\alpha$  of  $q$  is  $p_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in C$ . When  $B = A$ ,  $\pi_{AC}$  is abbreviated to  $\pi_C$ .

$S^B$  denotes the family of "cylinders" on the measurable subsets of  $S(B)$ , i.e., of sets  $\pi_B^{-1}(X) = X \times S(A - B)$  where  $X$  is a measurable subset of  $S(B)$ . The algebra of measurable sets modulo null sets of  $S(B)$  will be written  $E(B)$ , and that of  $S^B$  (modulo null sets of  $S(A)$ ) will be written  $E^B$ . It is well known (but not completely trivial) that  $\pi_B$  induces a measure-preserving isomorphism, which we denote by  $\pi_B$  also, from  $E^B$  to  $E(B)$ .

### 3. Some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $E_1, E_2$  be the measure algebras of two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces  $S_1, S_2$ , and let  $E_3$  be the measure algebra of  $S_1 \times S_2$ . Then, given automorphisms  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  of  $E_1, E_2$ , there is a unique automorphism  $\psi_3$  of  $E_3$  such that*

$$\psi_3(x \times y) = \psi_1(x) \times \psi_2(y) \quad (x \in E_1, y \in E_2).$$

The units  $e_1, e_2$ , of  $E_1, E_2$ , may be partitioned into disjoint elements  $a_{1n} \in E_1, a_{2n} \in E_2$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), of finite measure, such that whenever  $y \leq a_{in}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) we have  $(1/n) \text{ meas } y \leq \text{meas } \psi_i(y) \leq n \text{ meas } y$ . It is a routine matter to extend the correspondence

$$\psi_3(x \times y) = \psi_1(x) \times \psi_2(y) \quad (x \leq a_{1n}, y \leq a_{2n})$$

---

<sup>1</sup> This terminology differs from that in [1], where isomorphisms are required to be measure-preserving.

to an isomorphism between the ideals  $A_{mn}$  and  $B_{mn}$  of  $E_3$ , where  $A_{mn}$  consists of all elements  $\leq a_{1m} \times a_{2n}$  and  $B_{mn}$  of all elements  $\leq \psi_1(a_{1m}) \times \psi_2(a_{2n})$ , and thence to extend  $\psi_3$  to all of  $E_3$ . The proof that  $\psi_3$  has the stated property, and of its uniqueness, presents no difficulty.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $\phi$  be a set automorphism of  $S = \prod I_\alpha$ , and let  $T$  be a 1-1 mapping of  $S$  onto itself such that, for each finite set  $C$  of suffixes  $\alpha$ , and for each measurable set  $K$  of  $S^C$ ,  $T(K) \in \phi\{K\}$  and  $T^{-1}(K) \in \phi^{-1}\{K\}$ . Then  $T$  is a point automorphism of  $S$ , and induces  $\phi$ .*

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the Borel field generated by all sets of the form  $K$ , i.e., by all cylinder sets which are based on measurable sets in finite products of  $I_\alpha$ 's. We recall that  $\mathfrak{B}$  generates  $S$  in the following sense: (1) each measurable subset of  $S$  differs from some set in  $\mathfrak{B}$  by a null set, (2) each null subset of  $S$  is contained in some null set in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Now it is easy to see that the measurable subsets  $X$  of  $S$  which have the property:

$$(3) \quad T(X) \in \phi\{X\} \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}(X) \in \phi^{-1}\{X\},$$

form a Borel field. Hence every set in  $\mathfrak{B}$  has this property. From (2) it follows that  $T(X)$  and  $T^{-1}(X)$  are null whenever  $X$  is null, and hence (1) shows that every measurable  $X$  has the property. In particular,  $T(X)$  and  $T^{-1}(X)$  are measurable if  $X$  is, so  $T$  is a point automorphism of  $S$ ; and clearly  $T$  induces  $\phi$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $\phi$  be a given automorphism of  $E$ . A set  $B \subset A$  will be called "invariant" (under  $\phi$ ) if  $\phi(E^B) = E^B$ . Restricted to  $E^B$ ,  $\phi$  will then be an automorphism of  $E^B$ .

LEMMA 3. *Each countable set  $B \subset A$  is contained in some countable subset  $\bar{B}$  of  $A$  which is invariant.<sup>2</sup>*

Let  $B_0 = B$ , and take a countable basis  $b_{0m}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , for  $E^{B_0}$  (apply  $\pi_B^{-1}$  to a countable basis for  $E(B)$ ). Consider the elements  $\phi^n(b_{0m})$  ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ ). The properties (1) and (2) stated at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 2, show that each of these measure classes contains a set which is a cylinder on only countably many coordinates; hence there is a countable set  $B_1 \subset A$  such that every  $\phi^n(b_{0m})$  is in  $E^{B_1}$ . Take a countable basis  $b_{1m}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , for  $E^{B_1}$ , and repeat the process, obtaining a countable set  $B_2$ ; and so on. Then  $\bigcup B_k$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) is the countable invariant set required.

LEMMA 4. *Every set-automorphism of the unit interval can be induced by a point-automorphism.*

<sup>2</sup> More generally, each  $B \subset A$  is contained in an invariant set  $\bar{B} \subset A$  of cardinal  $\leq \max(\aleph_0, |B|)$ . It can be shown that, given  $B$  ( $\neq \emptyset$ ), there is a smallest  $\bar{B}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a set-automorphism of the unit interval  $I$ , and for each  $t \in I$  let  $I_t$  denote the interval from 0 to  $t$ . The mapping  $U$  defined by  $U(t) = \text{meas } \phi\{I_t\}$  is a point-automorphism of  $I$ , and induces a set-automorphism  $\psi$ . Since  $U$  maps  $I_t$  onto the interval from 0 to  $U(t)$ , we have  $\text{meas } \psi\{I_t\} = \text{meas } \phi\{I_t\}$  for each  $t \in I$ ; it follows that  $\psi(x)$  and  $\phi(x)$  have the same measure for each class  $x$  in the measure algebra of  $I$ . Thus  $\psi^{-1}\phi$  is a *measure-preserving* set-automorphism of  $I$ , and [2] there is a point-automorphism  $V$  of  $I$  which induces  $\psi^{-1}\phi$ . Then  $UV$  is a point-automorphism of  $I$  which induces  $\phi$ .

LEMMA 5. *Let  $S = \prod I_\alpha, \alpha \in A$ , and let  $B$  be any subset of  $A$  for which  $A - B$  is countable. Suppose  $\phi$  is a set-automorphism of  $S$  which, restricted to  $E^B$ , is the identity mapping of  $E^B$ . Then there exists a point-automorphism  $T$  of  $S$  which induces  $\phi$ , and which satisfies  $\pi_B T = \pi_B$ .*

Note that the hypothesis on  $\phi$  implies that  $B$  is invariant.

Write  $C = A - B$ ; by Lemma 3,  $C \subset \bar{C} \subset A$  where  $\bar{C}$  is countable and invariant. Writing  $D = \bar{C} - C$ , we have  $D \subset B$ . Now, since  $\phi(E^{\bar{C}}) = E^{\bar{C}}$ ,  $\phi$  induces an automorphism  $\phi_1 = \pi_{\bar{C}} \phi \pi_{\bar{C}}^{-1}$  on  $E(\bar{C})$ . And, because  $\bar{C}$  is countable,  $S(\bar{C})$  is isomorphic, under a measure-preserving point-isomorphism, to  $I$ . Hence, by Lemma 4, there exists a point-automorphism  $T_1$  of  $S(\bar{C})$  which induces  $\phi_1$ .

Suppose first that  $D \neq \emptyset$ . Then we can regard  $S(\bar{C})$  as  $S(D) \times S(C)$ , and have (because  $\phi$  is the identity on  $E^B$ )

$\phi(e(A - \bar{C}) \times x \times e(C)) = [e(A - \bar{C}) \times x] \times e(C)$  for each  $x \in E(D)$ ,  $e(A - \bar{C})$  and  $e(C)$  denoting the unit elements of  $E(A - \bar{C})$ ,  $E(C)$ . Hence  $\phi_1(x \times e(C)) = \pi_{\bar{C}} \phi \pi_{\bar{C}}^{-1}(x \times e(C)) = x \times e(C)$  for each  $x \in E(D)$ . Thus, for each measurable subset  $X$  of  $S(D)$ ,  $T_1(X \times S(C))$  differs from  $X \times S(C)$  by a null set. Apply this to the sets  $T_1^i(X_n)$  ( $i = 0, \pm 1, \dots, n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) in turn, where  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , forms a separating sequence of measurable sets in  $S(D)$ ; we obtain countably many null sets with union  $N$ , say. Then  $N$  is null,  $T_1(N) = N = T_1^{-1}(N)$ , and

$$T_1[(X_n \times S(C)) - N] = (X_n \times S(C)) - N \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Define a transformation  $T_2$  on  $S(\bar{C})$  by:

$$T_2(p) = T_1(p) \text{ if } p \in S(\bar{C}) - N; \quad T_2(p) = p \text{ if } p \in N.$$

Clearly  $T_2$  is another point-automorphism of  $S(\bar{C})$  which also induces  $\phi_1$ ; and we now have

$$T_2(X_n \times S(C)) = X_n \times S(C) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

As  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ , is a separating sequence, it follows that

$$T_2(p \times S(C)) = p \times S(C) \quad (p \in S(D)),$$

and hence that

$$T_2(X \times S(C)) = X \times S(C) \quad \text{for every } X \subset S(D).$$

Finally we define  $T$  by

$$T(p \times q) = p \times T_2(q) \quad \text{where } p \in S(A - \bar{C}) \quad \text{and } q \in \bar{C}.$$

Then  $T$  is clearly a point-automorphism of  $S$ , and it is easily seen that  $\pi_B T = \pi_B$ . To show that  $T$  induces  $\phi$ , it is enough to prove (by a double application of Lemma 1) that if  $X \in x \in E(A - \bar{C})$ ,  $Y \in y \in E(D)$ ,  $Z \in z \in E(C)$ , then  $T(X \times Y \times Z) \in \phi(x \times y \times z)$ , and this can be done by a straightforward calculation.

If  $D = \emptyset$ , we define  $T_2 = T_1$  on  $S(\bar{C}) = S(C)$ , and define  $T$  as before; only minor (simplifying) adjustments are needed in the argument.

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $S = \prod I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , and let  $B$  be any subset of  $A$  for which  $A - B$  is countable. Suppose  $\phi$  is a set-automorphism of  $S$ , and that  $B$  is invariant under  $\phi$ , so that  $\phi$  restricted to  $E^B$  induces an automorphism  $\phi'$  of  $E(B)$ . Then, given any point-automorphism  $T'$  of  $S(B)$  which induces  $\phi'$ , there exists a point-automorphism  $T$  of  $S$  which induces  $\phi$ , and which satisfies  $\pi_B T = T' \pi_B$ .*

Lemma 5 is the special case when  $T' = \text{identity}$ , and we reduce the general case to the special one. By Lemma 1, there exists an automorphism  $\psi$  of  $E$  such that

$$\psi(x \times y) = \phi'(x) \times y, \quad x \in E(B), y \in E(A - B).$$

Then  $\theta = \phi\psi^{-1}$  is also an automorphism of  $E$ ; and, using the fact that  $\phi' = \pi_B \phi \pi_B^{-1}$ , it is easy to see that  $\theta$  is the identity mapping on  $E^B$ . By Lemma 5, there exists a point-automorphism  $T^*$  of  $S$  which induces  $\theta$  on  $E$ , and which satisfies  $\pi_B T^* = \pi_B$ . Define

$$T(p \times q) = T^*(T'(p) \times q), \quad p \in S(B), q \in S(A - B).$$

Then  $T$  is clearly a point-automorphism of  $S$ , and it is a straightforward matter to verify that  $T$  has the desired properties.

**4. THEOREM.** *Let  $S = \prod I_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , and let  $\phi$  be a set-automorphism of  $S$ . Then there exists a point-automorphism  $T$  of  $S$  which induces  $\phi$ .*

Consider the family of ordered pairs  $(B_\lambda, T_\lambda)$  where (i)  $B_\lambda$  is a subset of  $A$  which is invariant under  $\phi$ , (ii)  $T_\lambda$  is a point-automorphism of  $S(B_\lambda)$ , and (iii) the automorphisms of  $E(B_\lambda)$  induced by  $\phi$  restricted to  $E^{B_\lambda}$  (i.e.,  $\pi_{B_\lambda} \phi \pi_{B_\lambda}^{-1}$ ), and by  $T_\lambda$ , are the same. Say that  $(B_\lambda, T_\lambda) < (B_\mu, T_\mu)$  provided that  $B_\lambda \subset B_\mu$  and  $\pi_{\mu\lambda} T_\mu = T_\lambda \pi_{\mu\lambda}$  on  $S(B_\mu)$ .

Here  $\pi_{\mu\lambda}$  is used as an abbreviation for  $\pi_{B_\mu B_\lambda}$ ; similarly we shall abbreviate  $\pi_{B_\lambda}$  to  $\pi_\lambda$ . The partial ordering so defined is clearly transitive. Further, every linearly ordered subfamily  $\{(B_\mu, T_\mu), \mu \in M\}$  has an upper bound in the family. To see this, define  $B' = \cup B_\mu$ ; this is an invariant subset of  $A$  (under  $\phi$ ). Given  $p \in S(B')$  and  $\alpha \in B'$ , pick any  $B_\mu \ni \alpha$ , and let  $q_\alpha$  be the  $\alpha$ th coordinate of  $T_\mu(\pi_\mu(p))$ . It is easy to see that  $q_\alpha$  is independent of the choice of  $\mu$ , and we define  $T'(p)$  to be the point of  $S(B')$  having  $\alpha$ th coordinate  $q_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in B'$ ). A straightforward calculation, using Lemma 2, shows that  $(B', T')$  is a member of our family, and that  $(B_\mu, T_\mu) < (B', T')$  for each  $\mu \in M$ .

By Zorn's lemma, it follows that there is a maximal member  $(B, T)$  of the family (note that the family is not vacuous, from Lemmas 3 and 4). It is enough to prove that  $B = A$ , for condition (iii) above then shows that  $T$  induces  $\phi$ . Suppose not, and pick  $\alpha \in A - B$ ; by Lemma 3 there is a countable set  $D \subset A$ , invariant under  $\phi$ , which contains  $\alpha$ . Let  $B^* = B \cup D$ ; then  $B^*$  is also invariant, and  $\phi^* = \pi_{B^*} \phi \pi_{B^*}^{-1}$  is an automorphism of  $S^* = S(B^*)$ . We apply Lemma 6 to the product space  $S^*$ , with invariant subset  $B$ , set-automorphism  $\phi^*$  and point-automorphism  $T$ , obtaining a point-automorphism  $T^*$  of  $S^*$  which induces  $\phi^*$  and satisfies  $\pi_{B^*} T^* = T \pi_{B^*}$ . But now  $(B^*, T^*)$  is a member of the family defined above, and it contradicts the maximality of  $(B, T)$ , since  $(B, T) < (B^*, T^*)$  and  $B \neq B^*$ . This contradiction establishes the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Halmos and J. von Neumann, *Operator methods in classical mechanics II*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 43 (1942) pp. 332-350.
2. J. von Neumann, *Einige Sätze über messbare Abbildungen*, ibid. vol. 33 (1932) pp. 574-586.

UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, MANCHESTER, ENGLAND