A COMPARISON THEOREM FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS¹

M. H. PROTTER

Hartman and Wintner [1] obtained a Sturmian comparison theorem for self-adjoint second order linear elliptic equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + fu = 0, \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji}$$

in a bounded domain D with boundary Γ . It is the purpose of this note to extend their result to general second order linear elliptic equations. Let v be a solution of another elliptic equation of the same form

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + Fv = 0, \quad \alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$$

and denote the elements of the inverse matrices by a^{ij} and α^{ij} respectively.

Let $u(x) = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a solution of (1) which is nonnegative in D and vanishes on Γ . We exclude the trivial case $u \equiv 0$. Suppose that the coefficients of (1) and (2) satisfy the relations

$$(3) \quad \|\alpha^{ij} - a^{ij}\| \text{ is non-negative definite in } D,$$

$$(4) \quad f \leq F \text{ in } D.$$

The theorem of Hartman and Wintner states that if (3) and (4) hold then any solution of (2) must have a zero in $D + \Gamma$.

We now consider in D two general linear elliptic equations of the form

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + fu = 0, \quad a_{ij} = a_{ji},$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\alpha_{ij} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} + Fv = 0, \quad \alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$$

and determine conditions on the coefficients so that a Sturmian comparison theorem shall be valid. For simplicity we consider the case of two independent variables, the result in more than two vari-

Received by the editors July 26, 1958.

¹ This research was supported by the United States Air Force through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research of the Air Research and Development Command under Contract No. AF 49(638)-398.

ables being a straightforward extension. That is, we consider the equations

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} L_1 u &\equiv (au_x)_x + (bu_x)_y + (bu_y)_x + (cu_y)_y \\ &\quad + 2du_x + 2eu_y + fu = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} L_2 v &\equiv (\alpha v_x)_x + (\beta v_x)_y + (\beta v_y)_x + (\gamma v_y)_y \\ &\quad + 2\delta v_x + 2\epsilon v_y + Fv = 0. \end{aligned}$$

An application of Green's theorem to (7) for a function u which vanishes on Γ yields

$$\begin{aligned} - \iint_D u L_1 u dx dy \\ = \iint_D [au_x^2 + 2bu_x u_y + cu_y^2 - 2duu_x - 2euu_y - fu^2] dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We now employ a device of Picard [3] extended by Hartman and Wintner [1]. The same technique was also used by Ou and Ding [2] and the author in connection with certain problems in equations of mixed type. Let P and Q be arbitrary smooth functions in D . Then for any function u vanishing on Γ we have

$$\iint_D \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (Pu^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (Qu^2) \right] dx dy = 0.$$

Thus if in addition u is a solution of (7) we find

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \iint_D [au_x^2 + 2bu_x u_y + cu_y^2 + 2(P-d)uu_x \\ + 2(Q-e)uu_y + (P_x + Q_y - f)u^2] dx dy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The condition that the integrand be a positive semi-definite form in u, u_x, u_y is

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} (ac - b^2)(P_x + Q_y - f) \\ \geq a(Q - e)^2 - 2b(P - d)(Q - e) + c(P - d)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$P = \frac{-\alpha v_x - \beta v_y}{v} + d - \xi, \quad Q = \frac{-\beta v_x - \gamma v_y}{v} + e - \eta$$

where v is a positive solution of (8) and ξ, η are functions yet to be determined. Substitution for P and Q in (10) yields the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (ac - b^2)(\alpha v_x^2 + 2\beta v_x v_y + \gamma v_y^2) \\
 & + (ac - b^2)v[2\delta v_x + 2\epsilon v_y + (F - f - \xi_x - \eta_y + d_x + e_y)v] \\
 (11) \quad & \geq (a\beta^2 - 2b\alpha\beta + c\alpha^2)v_x^2 + 2(a\beta\gamma - b\beta^2 - b\alpha\gamma + c\alpha\beta)v_x v_y \\
 & + (a\gamma^2 - 2b\beta\gamma + c\beta^2)v_y^2 + 2(a\beta\eta + c\alpha\xi - b\alpha\eta - b\beta\xi)v v_x \\
 & + 2(a\eta\gamma + c\beta\xi - b\beta\eta - b\gamma\xi)v v_y \\
 & + (a\eta^2 - 2b\xi\eta + c\xi^2)v^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now investigate conditions on the coefficients of (7) and (8) which will make the above inequality hold throughout D . This will contradict (9) and hence v must have a zero in D . If condition (3) is satisfied, which in this case becomes

$$(12) \quad a > \alpha, \quad (a - \alpha)(c - \gamma) - (b - \beta)^2 \geq 0,$$

then (11) will be a consequence of the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (ac - b^2)v[2\delta v_x + 2\epsilon v_y + (F - f - \xi_x - \eta_y + d_x + e_y)v] \\
 & \geq 2(a\beta\eta + c\alpha\xi - b\alpha\eta - b\beta\xi)v v_x \\
 & + 2(a\eta\gamma + c\beta\xi - b\beta\eta - b\gamma\xi)v v_y + (a\eta^2 - 2b\xi\eta + c\xi^2)v^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We select ξ, η to be solutions of the linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a\beta - b\alpha)\eta + (c\alpha - b\beta)\xi = -\delta(ac - b^2), \\
 & (a\gamma - b\beta)\eta + (c\beta - b\gamma)\xi = -\epsilon(ac - b^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

That this is always possible follows from the ellipticity of the operators L_1 and L_2 . Hence (11) is now a consequence of

$$(13) \quad (ac - b^2)(F - f - \xi_x - \eta_y + d_x + e_y) - (a\eta^2 - 2b\xi\eta + c\xi^2) \geq 0.$$

We therefore have the result: *if the coefficients of (7) and (8) satisfy relations (12) and (13) and if there is a non-negative solution of (7), not identically zero, which vanishes on Γ , then every solution of (8) must have a zero in $D + \Gamma$.*

In the special case $\delta = \epsilon \equiv 0$ we have $\xi = \eta \equiv 0$ and condition (13) becomes

$$F - f + d_x + e_y \geq 0.$$

This is particularly useful if a comparison is desired between a general linear second order equation and the equation

$$\Delta u + mu = 0$$

m a constant. Then we have the conditions

$$a > 1, \quad (a - 1)(c - 1) - b^2 \geq 0, \\ m \geq -d_x - e_y + f.$$

Of course a more general relation between the coefficients, sufficient to yield the conclusion of the theorem, can be obtained by imposing the condition that (11) be a positive semi-definite form in v, v_x, v_y .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *On a comparison theorem for self-adjoint partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 6 (1955) p. 862.
2. Sing-Mo Ou and Shia-Shi Ding, *Sur l'unicité du problème de Tricomi de l'équation de Chaplygin*, Acta Math. Sinica vol. 5 (1955) p. 393.
3. E. Picard, *Mémoire sur la théorie des équations aux dérivées partielles et la méthode des approximations successives*, J. Math. Pures Appl. vol. 6 (1890) p. 145 ff.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY