ON APPROXIMATION BY NONVANISHING FUNCTIONS

O. J. FARRELL

1. The measure of approximation. Let the function f(z) be analytic and uniformly limited and have k zeros interior to a simply connected region G of the plane of the complex variable z=x+iy. The set of functions analytic interior to G and which vanish either identically or not at all interior to G form a closed set S [1, p. 343]. For every function F(z) uniformly limited and of class S in G we set

$$M(F) = \text{l.u.b.}[|F(z) - f(z)|, z \text{ in } G]$$

and let M denote the greatest lower bound of all M(F). Walsh has shown [1, pp. 344–346] that there exists a function in S, call it $F^*(z)$, for which $M(F^*) = M$. In certain situations he has found the precise value of M as well as functions $F^*(z)$ of best approximation. In some instances he has exhibited an infinity of functions $F^*(z)$ of best approximation.

The purpose of the present paper is (1) to put an appraisal on M, (2) to present two theorems on the number of zeros in G of functions which approximate closer to f(z) than the lower appraisal on M.

2. Appraisal of M. Consider a region G, a function f(z), the set S of functions F(z) and the measure M of best approximation to f(z) by functions of class S, all as described above in §1. Let the k zeros of f(z) in G occur as follows: k_1 zeros at $z = a_1$, k_2 zeros at $z = a_2$, \cdots , k_m zeros at $z = a_m$, where $k_1 + k_2 + \cdots + k_m = k$. Let R denote the Riemann configuration over the w-plane [2, p. 130] onto which G is mapped by w = f(z). Let the points w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m on R, all with affix w = 0, represent respectively $f(a_1)$, $f(a_2)$, \cdots , $f(a_m)$. At each point w_i of the set w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m consider the radius $D_{k_i}(w_i)$ of k_i -valence there [2, pp. 161-162]. Let D_0 denote the greatest number to be found among the $D_{k_i}(w_i)$.

Any point w on the w-plane such that there is a point P of R whose affix is w will be said (as in [2]) to be covered by P. Let r_0 denote the radius of the largest circle K_0 of all circles K centered at w=0 such that every point within K is covered by at least point of R. On K_0 itself there will be at least one point which is not covered by any point of R. It is seen that $D_0 \le r_0$. Then we have the following appraisal of M.

THEOREM 1. $D_0 \leq M \leq r_0$.

Received by the editors February 10, 1959 and, in revised form, March 19, 1959.

PROOF. Let w=b be a point on K_0 which is not covered by any point of R. It follows at once that the function F(z)=f(z)-b is in class S and such that $M(F)=|b|=r_0$. It remains to prove that $D_0 \le M$. Let g(z) be any function which is analytic interior to G and such that

$$|g(z) - f(z)| \le H < D_0, \quad z \text{ in } G.$$

Cut through R with a circular biscuit cutter of radius C, where $H < C < D_0$, so that the centers of circular sheets thus cut from R have affix w = 0. Let z = q(w) denote the inverse of w = f(z) and consider the transform under z = q(w) of the k_h -sheeted circle [2, p. 159] of radius C inside the biscuit cutter with sheets hanging together at w_h , where w_h is a point of the set w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_m such that $D_{k_h}(w_h) = D_0$. This transform is a simply connected region Q_h lying in G and bounded by a contour J_h also lying in G [2, p. 164]. On J_h we have |f(z)| = C and |g(z) - f(z)| < C. It follows by Rouche's Theorem [1, p. 6] that the function g(z) has precisely k_h zeros within J_h . Thus every function g(z) for which l.u.b. |g(z) - f(z)|, g(z) = f(z), and g(z) = f(z), and g(z) = f(z), and g(z) = f(z), g(z) = f(z),

3. Number of zeros of approximating functions. By following through with the method employed in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain a result on the number of zeros of approximating functions as follows. Let the distinct numbers to be found among the $D_{k_i}(w_i)$ arranged in order be $D_0 > D_1 > D_2 > \cdots > D_p$. Then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let f(z) be analytic and uniformly limited interior to a simply connected region G and have k zeros in G distributed as described above in §2. Let g(z) be analytic interior to G and such that

$$|g(z) - f(z)| \leq C < D^*, \quad z \text{ in } G,$$

where D^* is one of the numbers D_0 , D_1 , D_2 , \cdots , D_p . Then g(z) has at least as many zeros in G as the sum of the k_i for which $D_{k_i}(W_i) \ge D^*$.

The proof of Theorem 2 is omitted, since it is essentially contained (except for the addition of the pertinent k_i which provide the count of the zeros of g(z) within the contours J_i) in the latter part of the proof of Theorem 1.

We observe in particular that if

l.u.b.
$$[|g(z) - f(z)|, z \text{ in } G] < D_p$$

it follows at once by Theorem 2 that g(z) has at least as many zeros

in G as has f(z). Indeed, we can make this observation precise, as shown in our next theorem.

THEOREM 3. If g(z) is analytic interior to G and such that

$$|g(z) - f(z)| \leq L < D_p, \quad z \text{ in } G,$$

then g(z) has precisely as many zeros in G as has f(z).

PROOF. As we have already indicated, it follows by the method of proof used in Theorem 1 that g(z) has precisely k zeros situated interior to the regions (bounded by the contours J_1, J_2, \cdots, J_m) which are the transforms by z = q(w) of the m simply connected multisheeted circles (including single-sheeted circles, if any, corresponding to simple zeros of f(z), if any) cut from R by a circular biscuit cutter of radius r, where $L < r < D_p$ and the centers of the circles all have affix w = 0. If g(z) were to have more than k zeros in G, all zeros other than the k zeros just mentioned would have to lie not interior to the contours J_1, J_2, \cdots, J_m . Suppose there were such an additional zero at z = a. Then the point w = f(a) on R would not lie interior to the biscuit cutter; and we would have $|f(a)| \ge r > L$. But g(a) = 0. This would make |g(a) - f(a)| > L, contrary to hypothesis. Therefore g(z) has precisely k zeros in G.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. L. Walsh, Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, 2d ed. vol. 20, 1956.
- 2. W. Seidel and J. L. Walsh, On the derivatives of functions analytic in the unit circle and their radii of univalence and p-valence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 52 (1942) pp. 128-216.

Union College