

# A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR A MATRIC FUNCTION TO BE A PRIMARY MATRIC FUNCTION<sup>1</sup>

WALTER O. PORTMANN<sup>2</sup>

**1. Introduction.** A primary matric function is defined to be a matric function (that is, a mapping whose range and domain are sets of  $n \times n$  matrices) arising from a scalar function of a complex variable. It has been shown [1] that primary matric functions are  $H$ -analytic. In this paper other necessary conditions for a primary matric function will be exhibited and it will then be shown that these conditions are also sufficient for a matric function to be a primary function.

We will first use a form of the definition of a primary function proposed by Frobenius and later use an equivalent form proposed by Giorgi [4]. Frobenius proposed that if the scalar function  $f(z)$  is analytic at the eigenvalues of  $Z$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$  (the algebra of square matrices of order  $n$  over the complex field) then  $f(Z)$  shall be defined by

$$(1.1) \quad f(Z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda I - Z} d\lambda,$$

where  $C$  is a set of admissible closed paths enclosing each of the distinct eigenvalues of  $Z$ . That is, the components of  $f(Z)$  are the integrals over  $C$  of the corresponding components of the matrix  $f(\lambda)(\lambda I - Z)^{-1}/2\pi i$ .

We wish to exhibit sufficient conditions on a matric function  $F(Z)$  such that there will exist a scalar function  $g(z)$  for which  $F(Z) = g(Z)$  where  $g(Z)$  may be computed as in (1.1).

**2. Necessary conditions.** It has previously been shown in [1] that primary matric functions are  $H$ -analytic in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , that is, the component functions of a primary function  $g(Z)$  are analytic functions of the components  $z_{ij}$  of  $Z$ , for  $Z$  in an  $\mathfrak{M}$ -neighborhood of a matrix at which  $g(Z)$  is defined.

If  $g(z)$  is a scalar function defined at a matrix  $X$ , that is,  $g(z)$  is analytic at the eigenvalues of  $X$ , and if  $Y$  is such that for some non-singular matrix  $P$ ,  $Y = P^{-1}XP$ , then  $g$  is defined at  $Y$  and  $g(Y) = P^{-1}g(X)P$ , as can be seen from (1.1).

If  $Z$  is a matrix whose eigenvalues lie in the domain of analyticity of  $g(z)$ , then the  $r, s$  component of  $g(Z)$  is given by

---

Received by the editors January 3, 1959 and, in revised form, April 13, 1959.

<sup>1</sup> This paper was prepared under the facilities granted by the Case Research Fund.

<sup>2</sup> The author is now associated with Arizona State University.

$$g(Z)_{rs} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C g(\lambda) (\lambda I - Z)_{rs}^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where  $(\lambda I - Z)_{rs}^{-1}$  is the  $r, s$  component of  $(\lambda I - Z)^{-1}$ . For an upper triangular matrix  $Z = (z_{ij})$ ,  $z_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j$ , a simple computation shows that  $(\lambda I - Z)_{rs}^{-1}$  and thus  $g(Z)_{rs}$  depend only on the  $z_{ij}$  for which  $r \leq i \leq j \leq s$  and is zero for  $r > s$ . In particular,  $g(Z)_{rr} = g(r_{rr})$  for  $Z$  a diagonal (or upper triangular) matrix.

**3. Sufficient conditions.** We shall now show that these necessary conditions are also sufficient. For convenience the norm of a matrix  $Z = (z_{ij})$  shall be defined by  $\text{norm}(Z) = \max_{i,j} |z_{ij}|$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $D$  be an open domain of  $H$ -analyticity of a matrix function  $F$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$ .*

(i) *Let  $F$  be such that  $X$  in  $D$  and  $Y = P^{-1}XP$  implies that  $Y$  is in  $D$  and  $F(Y) = P^{-1}F(X)P$ .*

(ii) *Let  $F$  also be such that if  $T = (t_{ij})$ , in  $D$ , is a diagonal matrix, then  $F(T)_{rr}$  is a function of only  $t_{rr}$ , where  $F(T)_{rr}$  is the  $r, r$  component of  $F(T)$ , that is*

$$F(T)_{rr} = g_{rr}(t_{rr}).$$

*Then there exists a scalar function  $g(z)$  such that for all  $Z$  in  $D$ ,  $g(Z) = F(Z)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $C$  be a Jordan form for a matrix  $Z$  at which  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic, then  $C$  is a direct sum  $C_{p_1} \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} C_{p_k}$  of canonical blocks of the form

$$C_{p_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \lambda_i & 1 & & \cdot \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \lambda_i & 1 \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $p_i$  rows and columns. (The  $\lambda_i$  occurring in different  $C_{p_i}$  need not be distinct.)

From (i) and Lemma 4.1 of [2] it follows that  $F(C)$  commutes with all matrices that commute with the canonical matrix  $C$ . It is known that a matrix  $F(C)$  satisfying this condition must be a direct sum  $P_1(C_{p_1}) \dot{+} \cdots \dot{+} P_k(C_{p_k})$ , where

$$(3.1) \quad P_i(C_{p_i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{i_1} & \alpha_{i_2} & \alpha_{i_3} & \cdots & \alpha_{i_{p_i}} \\ & \alpha_{i_1} & \alpha_{i_2} & \cdots & \alpha_{i_{p_i}-1} \\ & & \alpha_{i_1} & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & \alpha_{i_1} & \alpha_{i_2} \\ 0 & & & & & \alpha_{i_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\alpha_{i_m} = \alpha_{j_m}$  for  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  (see Turnbull and Aitken [7]).

Now, using a definition proposed by G. Giorgi which is equivalent to (1.1) [4] for  $g(Z)$  where  $g(z)$  is a scalar function, it is seen that the theorem will be proven if there exists a scalar function  $g(z)$  such that, for  $C = P^{-1}ZP$ , where  $Z$  is any matrix at which  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic,

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_{j_m} = g^{(m-1)}(\lambda_j)/(m-1)!$$

or,

$$(3.3) \quad F(C)_{r_j, r_j+i} = g^{(i)}(\lambda_j)/i!, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, i = 0, \dots, p_j - 1,$$

where  $F(C)_{r_j, r_j+i}$  is the  $r_j, r_j+i$  component of  $F(C)$  (that is,  $F_{r_j, r_j+i}$  evaluated at the components of  $C$ ) and  $r_j = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} p_i$  for  $1 < j \leq k$ ,  $r_j = 1$  for  $j = 1$ . (This choice of  $r_j$  proves (3.2) for components in the first row of each triangular block  $P_j(C_{p_j})$  of  $F(C)$  associated with  $C_{p_j}$  of  $C$ , which is all that is necessary, since in any such block, the values on any super diagonal are all equal.)

We shall first exhibit a scalar function  $g(z)$  which is determined by  $F$  and then show that this function has the required property (3.3).

Let  $Z$  be an arbitrary but fixed matrix such that  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic in a neighborhood of  $Z$ ; then  $Z$  is similar to an upper triangular matrix  $X = (x_{ij})$  whose eigenvalues are the  $x_{ii}$ . By (i)  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic in a neighborhood of  $X$ . Choose any matrix  $Y = (y_{ij})$  such that  $y_{ij} = x_{ij}$  and  $y_{ii} \neq y_{jj}$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $|y_{ii} - x_{ii}| < \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small such that  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic at  $Y$  (such an  $\epsilon$  exists since  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic in a neighborhood of  $X$ ).  $Y$  is similar to a diagonal matrix  $A = \text{diag}(y_{kk})$  with distinct eigenvalues  $y_{ii}$  and by (i)  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic at  $A$ . Now,  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix  $B$  obtained from  $A$  by permuting, say  $y_{ii}$  and  $y_{jj}$ , and by (i), this same permutation is performed on  $F(A)$  in order to obtain  $F(B)$ . Thus by (ii),  $g_{ii}(y_{jj}) = F(B)_{ii} = F(A)_{jj} = g_{jj}(y_{jj})$ . Hence for any  $j$ ,  $g_{jj}(z) = g_{ii}(z)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $|z - x_{jj}| < \epsilon$  and therefore, since the  $F_{ii}$  are analytic, there exists a function  $g(z) = g_{11}(z) (= g_{ii}(z), i = 2, \dots, n)$ , analytic in the open circular domains  $|z - x_{jj}| < \epsilon, j = 1, \dots, n$ , where the  $x_{jj}$  are the eigenvalues of  $Z$ . Thus, since  $Z$  is an arbitrary matrix in  $D$ , there exists a function  $g(z)$  which is analytic at the eigenvalues of all matrices in  $D$ .

In order to show that  $g(z)$  satisfies (3.3) we first note, from (3.1), that if  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic in a neighborhood of a canonical matrix  $C$ , then  $F(C)$  may be written

$$(3.4) \quad F(C) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{s=0}^{p_i-1} \sum_{t=0}^{p_i-s-1} F(C)_{r_i, r_i+t} E_{r_i+s, r_i+s+t}$$

where  $r_i = 1 + \sum_{t=1}^{i-1} p_t$  and  $E_{pq}$  is the matrix with a 1 in the  $p, q$  position and zeros elsewhere.

Now, for each  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , let

$$K_{p_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \lambda_j + h_j & 1 & & \cdot \\ & & \lambda_j + 2h_j & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & & \lambda_j + (p_j - 1)h_j \end{pmatrix}$$

then for all  $h_j \neq 0$  sufficiently small,  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic at  $K = K_{p_1} + \cdots + K_{p_k}$  (since  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic in a neighborhood of  $C$ ).

Let  $Q_j = (q(j)_{rs})$ ,  $r, s = 1, \cdots, p_j$ , where  $q(j)_{rs} = 0$  for  $r > s$  and  $q(j)_{rs} = (-1)^{r+s}/(s-r)! h_j^{s-r}$  for  $r \leq s$ , then  $Q_j^{-1} = (\tilde{q}(j)_{rs})$  where  $\tilde{q}(j)_{rs} = 0$  for  $r > s$  and  $\tilde{q}(j)_{rs} = 1/(s-r)! h_j^{s-r}$  for  $r \leq s$ ; also

$$Q_j K_{p_j} Q_j^{-1} = D_{p_j} = \text{diag}(\lambda_j + (i-1)h_j), \quad i = 1, \cdots, p_j.$$

Now, let  $Q = Q_1 + \cdots + Q_k$ , then  $QKQ^{-1} = \Lambda = D_{p_1} + \cdots + D_{p_k}$ , the canonical form of  $K$ . By (i),  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic at  $\Lambda$ , and as in (3.4),

$$F(\Lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(\Lambda)_{ii} E_{ii}.$$

By (i),  $F(K) = Q^{-1}F(\Lambda)Q$ , therefore, for  $0 \leq i \leq p_j - 1$ ,  $F(K)_{r_j, r_j+i} = \sum_{s=0}^i \tilde{q}(j)_{r_j, r_j+s} F(\Lambda)_{r_j+sr_j+s, r_j+sr_j+s} q(j)_{r_j+sr_j+s, r_j+i}$ . Thus, by the first part of this proof and the definitions of  $q(j)_{rs}$  and  $\tilde{q}(j)_{rs}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(K)_{r_j, r_j+i} &= \frac{1}{h_j^i} \sum_{s=0}^i \frac{(-1)^{s+i} g(\lambda_j + sh_j)}{s!(i-s)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{i! h_j^i} \sum_{s=0}^i (-1)^{s+i} \binom{i}{s} g(\lambda_j + sh_j) = \frac{\Delta^i g(\lambda_j)}{i! h_j^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_{h_j \rightarrow 0} \Delta^i g(\lambda_j) / h_j^i = g^{(i)}(\lambda_j)$  [6],

$$\lim_{\mathbf{z}_j | h_j| \rightarrow 0} K = C,$$

and the  $F_{rs}$  are analytic and therefore continuous in a neighborhood of the components of  $C$ , it follows that

$$F(C)_{r_j r_j + i} = \lim_{h_j \rightarrow 0} F(K)_{r_j r_j + i} = g^{(i)}(\lambda_j)/i!$$

Thus (3.3) is proven and hence Theorem 3.1.

It might here be noted that (i) alone is not sufficient for  $F(Z)$  to be a primary matrix function, as is shown by the function  $F(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_{ii} E_{ii}$ , where  $F_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^n z_{kk} = \text{tr}(Z)$ . The component functions  $F_{ij}$  are analytic functions of the  $z_{rs}$  of  $Z$  and therefore  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic; also, for  $Y = P^{-1} Z P$ ,  $F(Y) = P^{-1} F(Z) P$ . However  $F_{ii}$  is not a function of only  $z_{ii}$  when  $Z$  is a diagonal (or upper triangular) matrix which is necessary for a primary matrix function.

It might be further noted, since  $F(X)$  is diagonal when  $X$  is diagonal, that if  $X$  is restricted to the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $n \times n$  diagonal matrices, then  $F(X)$  is also a function on  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Ringleb [5] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be  $H$ -analytic in an algebra; namely, the (analytic) component functions must satisfy a certain set of linear homogeneous partial differential equations of the first order with constant coefficients which depend only on the structure of the algebra. For the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$ , this necessary and sufficient condition for a function  $F(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n F(T)_{ii} E_{ii}$  to be  $H$ -analytic in  $\mathfrak{D}$  at a matrix  $T = \text{diag}(t_{jj})$  is

$$\frac{\partial F(T)_{ii}}{\partial t_{jj}} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Thus hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 3.1 could be restated as follows: Let  $F$  also be such that, when restricted to the algebra  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $F$  is  $H$ -analytic in  $\mathfrak{D}$  at any diagonal matrix in  $D$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. W. O. Portmann, *A derivative for Hausdorff-analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 10 (1959) pp. 101-105.
2. ———, *Hausdorff-analytic functions of matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 11 (1960) pp. 97-101.
3. H. Richter, *Über Matrixfunktionen*, Math. Ann. vol. 122 (1950) pp. 16-34.
4. R. F. Rinehart, *The equivalence of definitions of a matrix function*, Amer. Math. Monthly vol. 62 (1955) pp. 395-414.
5. F. Ringleb, *Beiträge zur Funktionentheorie in hypercomplexen Systemen I*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo vol. 57 (1933) pp. 311-340.
6. D. W. Robinson, *An application of the decomposition of a matrix into principal idempotents*, Amer. Math. Monthly vol. 65 (1958) pp. 694-695.
7. H. W. Turnbull and A. C. Aitken, *An introduction to the theory of canonical matrices*, Blackie and Son Ltd., 1932.