A DISCRETE ANALOGUE OF THE WEIERSTRASS TRANSFORM¹

EDWARD NORMAN

1. Introduction and definitions. In this note we consider a discrete analogue of the transform

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x-y)^2/4} g(y) dy.^2$$

This transform may be considered as a convolution; accordingly our analogue will take the form

$$f(n) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(n-m)g(m)$$

where the kernel sequence $k(n)$ is to be appropriately chosen. The choice of $k(n)$ is motivated as follows.

One way in which the kernel $e^{-v^2/4}$ arises in the theory of the convolution transform is by consideration of the class of kernels whose bilateral Laplace transform is of the form

$$(1.1) \quad e^{cs^2} e^{bs} \prod_1^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{a_k}\right)^{-1} e^{-s/a_k}.$$

When $c=0$ we obtain the class of kernels whose analogue was considered by Pollard and Standish [4] where the kernels formed a subclass of the totally positive sequences. The totally positive sequences can be characterized as sequences having a generating function of the form

$$(1.2) \quad e^{az+bz^{-1}} z^m \prod_1^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \alpha_j z)(1 + \beta_j z^{-1})}{(1 - a_j z)(1 - b_j z^{-1})}$$

(see [1]). The factor $e^{az+bz^{-1}}$ in (1.2) corresponds to the factor e^{cs^2} in (1.1).

Letting $a=b=v/2$ we have the familiar expansion

$$e^{(v/2)(z+z^{-1})} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_m(v) z^m$$

Received by the editors February 10, 1959 and, in revised form, October 22, 1959.

¹ This work is part of a doctoral thesis done under the direction of Professor Harry Pollard at Cornell University.

² See Hirschman and Widder [3] for the theory of the Weierstrass transform.

where $I_m(v)$ is the modified Bessel coefficient of the first kind.

We take the sequence $\{I_n(1)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} = \{I_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ as the kernel of our transform

$$(1.3) \quad f(n) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n-m} g(m).$$

Before proceeding further we list some elementary properties of the functions $I_n(v)$.

$$(i) \quad I_n(v) = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{(v/2)^{n+2s}}{s!(s+n)!}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm \dots$$

$$(ii) \quad I_n(v) = I_{-n}(v); \quad I_n(-v) = (-1)^n I_n(v),$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{2(1/2)^{|n|}}{|n|!} \leq I_n(1) \leq \frac{3(1/2)^{|n|}}{|n|!},$$

$$(iv) \quad I_n(v + v') = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n-m}(v) I_m(v').$$

If we take $g(m) = 2^{|m|} |m|! / (1+m^2)$ it is clear, by (iii), that (2.13) converges only for the value $n=0$. We therefore define the transform (1.3) only when it converges for all n .

Define the operators δ and δ^{-1} by the equations

$$\delta f(n) = f(n-1), \quad \delta^{-1} f(n) = f(n+1).$$

Then by analogy with the theory of the Weierstrass transform we may expect (1.3) to be inverted by the operator $e^{-(1/2)(\delta+\delta^{-1})}$ interpreted as

$$(1.4) \quad [e^{-(1/2)(\delta+\delta^{-1})}] f(n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m I_m f(n-m) / \Gamma(1+tm).$$

The method of summation adopted in (1.4) is a regular method introduced by Mittag-Leffler (see [2; p. 72]). The motivation for choosing Mittag-Leffler summation as the "natural" method for the inversion operator is indicated in the table below.

	Continuous	Discrete
Kernel	$e^{-v^2/4}$	$I_m 1/m!$
Convergence factor	$e^{-(1/t-1)v^2/4}$ $t \rightarrow 1 -$	$1/\Gamma(1+t m)$ $t \rightarrow 0 +$

Unfortunately the operator (1.4) will not serve to invert all convergent transforms (1.3). For example let

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^m m!}{m^{\log m}} & m > 1, \\ 0 & m \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, if $n > 0$ say, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_1^\infty I_{n-m} \frac{2^m m!}{m^{\log m}} > \sum_1^\infty \frac{2^m m!}{2^{|n-m|} |n-m|! m^{\log m}} \\ &> \sum_n^\infty \frac{2^n m!}{(m-n)! m^{\log m}} > \sum_n^\infty \frac{m^n}{m^{\log m}}. \end{aligned}$$

If in the last sum above we consider only the term with $m = \lceil e^{n/2} \rceil$ we obtain

$$f(n) > O(e^{n^2/4}) \quad n \rightarrow +\infty;$$

and (1.4) does not converge for any value of t .

In view of the above we restrict attention to the class of determining sequences $g(m)$ which satisfy the condition

$$g(m) = O(|m| |x|^{|m|})$$

as $|m| \rightarrow \infty$, where x is strictly less than 2. We abbreviate this restriction by writing $g(m) \in X$.

2. The inversion theorem. We first find the order of the transform.

THEOREM 1. *If $g(m) \in X$ then $f(n) = \sum_{-\infty}^\infty I_{n-m} g(m)$ converges absolutely for all n , and*

$$(2.1) \quad f(n) = O(2^{|n|} |n|! (2/x - 1)^{-|n|}) \quad |n| \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. The first statement is obvious. To prove the second, note that since the order conditions on $g(m)$ and I_m are the same for $m \rightarrow +\infty$ and $m \rightarrow -\infty$ the same is true of $f(n)$. It is therefore sufficient to prove the theorem for $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$|f(n)| \leq \sum_{-\infty}^{-1} I_{n-m} |g(m)| + \sum_0^\infty I_{n-m} |g(m)| = S' + S.$$

Since $n > 0$ it is clear that $S' \leq \text{const.} \sum_0^\infty (x/2)^m / m^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$S \leq \text{const.} \cdot 2^n \sum_n^\infty \frac{(x/2)^m m!}{(m-n)!} = \text{const.} \cdot x^n \sum_0^\infty (m+1) \cdots (m+n) (x/2)^m.$$

The formula

$$\sum_0^{\infty} (m+1) \cdots (m+n) y^m = n!/(1-y)^{n+1}, \quad y < 1$$

may be proved by induction. Hence we have

$$S \leq \text{const.} \frac{x^n n!}{(1-x/2)^n} = \text{const.} 2^n n! (2/x - 1)^{-n}.$$

THEOREM 2. If $g(n) \in X$ and $f(n) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{n-m} g(m)$, then

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m I_m f(n-m) / \Gamma(1+t|m|) = g(n).$$

PROOF. There is no loss of generality in taking $n=0$. For any $t>0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m I_m f(-m) / \Gamma(1+t|m|) \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m I_m / \Gamma(1+t|m|) \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} I_{-m-r} g(r) \\ &= \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} g(r) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m I_{-m-r} I_m / \Gamma(1+t|m|) = \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} g(r) \mu(r, t). \end{aligned}$$

The interchange of summations is justified by the estimate of Theorem 1.

Since

$$\mu(0, 0) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m I_{-m}(1) I_m(1) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} I_{-m}(1) I_m(-1) = I_0(0) = 1$$

(see iv) the theorem will be established if we show

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \sum_1^{\infty} g(r) \mu(r, t) = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \sum_{-\infty}^{-1} g(r) \mu(r, t) = 0.$$

We will prove (2.3); the proof of (2.4) is entirely similar.

As a first step let us replace $1/\Gamma(1+t|m|)$ by the contour integral

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(1+t|m|)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} \frac{e^u}{u} u^{-t|m|} du$$

where the path of integration is as shown, the circle being of radius greater than one.

Define the function $K = K(t) = 2^{1/t}(2/x - 1)^{-1/t}$, so that $K \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +$.^{*} For any $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i \sum_1^\infty g(r) \mu(r, t) &= \sum_{r=1}^\infty g(r) \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty (-1)^m I_m I_{m-r} \int_{-\infty}^{(0+)} \frac{e^u}{u} u^{-t|m|} du \\ &= \sum g(r) \sum (-1)^m (\dots) \int_C (\dots) du \\ &\quad + \sum g(r) \sum (-1)^m (\dots) \int_{C_1} (\dots) du \\ &\quad + \sum g(r) \sum (-1)^m (\dots) \int_{C_2} (\dots) du \\ &= S + S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned}$$

The path C runs from $u = -K$ ($\arg u = -\pi$) round the circle and back to $u = -K$ ($\arg u = \pi$), C_1 runs from $-\infty$ to $-K$ ($\arg u = -\pi$), C_2 runs from $-K$ to $-\infty$ ($\arg u = \pi$).

We show now that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S_1 = 0$; the argument showing $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} S_2 = 0$ is the same.

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1| &\leq \sum_{r=1}^\infty |g(r)| \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m I_{m-r} \int_{C_1} \frac{e^u}{u} u^{-t|m|} du \\ &\leq e^{-K} \sum_{r=1}^\infty |g(r)| \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m I_{m-r} K^{-t|m|} = e^{-K} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m K^{-t|m|} \sum_{r=1}^\infty g(r) I_{m-r} \\ &\leq e^{-K} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m K^{-t|m|} m! 2^{|m|} \left(\frac{2}{x} - 1\right)^{-|m|} = e^{-K} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m |m|! = e^{-K} B \end{aligned}$$

where $B = \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m |m|! < 9$. Therefore $S_1 \leq e^{-K} B \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$.

^{*} It is essential to the proof that $K \rightarrow \infty$. This is not the case when $x \leq 2/3$. But in that event the sum (1.4), with $t=0$, converges, and the proof that (1.4) inverts (1.3) is trivial. We therefore assume from now on that $x > 2/3$.

The proof has now been reduced to showing that $S \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$. To this end we make use of the formula [5, p. 441]

$$I_m(1)I_{r-m}(1) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} I_r(2 \cos \theta) \cos(2m - r)\theta d\theta.$$

We obtain, setting $u^{-t} = w$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{2} S &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} g(r) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m \int_0^{\pi/2} I_r(2 \cos \theta) \cos(2m - r)\theta d\theta \int_C \frac{e^u}{u} w^{|m|} du \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} g(r) \nu(r, t). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of $\nu(r, t)$. Since $|w| < 1$ along the entire path C , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(r, t) &= \int_C \frac{e^u}{u} \int_0^{\pi/2} I_r(2 \cos \theta) \left\{ \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m w^m \cos(2m - r)\theta \right\} d\theta du. \\ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m w^{|m|} \cos(2m - r)\theta &= \cos(r\theta) \frac{1 - w^2}{1 + 2w \cos 2\theta + w^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now have

$$\nu(r, t) = \int_C \frac{e^u}{u} (1 - w^2) \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{I_r(2 \cos \theta) \cos r\theta}{1 + 2w \cos 2\theta + w^2} d\theta du.$$

The integral

$$Q_r(w) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{I_r(2 \cos \theta) \cos r\theta}{1 + 2w \cos 2\theta + w^2} d\theta$$

may be estimated as follows.

Replace $I_r(2 \cos \theta)$ by the sum (i) and interchange the order of summation and integration to obtain

$$(2.5) \quad Q_r(w) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!(r+s)!} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{(\cos \theta)^{r+2s} \cos r\theta}{1 + 2w \cos 2\theta + w^2} d\theta.$$

The integral appearing in (2.5) is now estimated by contour integration. It is easily verified that

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} (\dots) d\theta = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} (\dots) d\theta,$$

and so the standard substitution $\cos \theta = 1/2(z + 1/z)$, $z = e^{i\theta}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 i2^{r+2s+3} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\dots) d\theta &= \int_{|z|=1} \frac{(z^2 + 1)^{r+2s}(z^{2r} + 1)}{z^{2r+2s-1}(wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w)} dz \\
 &= \int_{|z|=1} \rho_1(z) dz + \int_{|z|=1} \rho_2(z) dz
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho_1(z) &= \frac{(z^2 + 1)^{r+2s}}{z^{2s-1}(wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w)}; \\
 \rho_2(z) &= \frac{(z^2 + 1)^{r+2s}}{z^{2r+2s-1}(wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Both $\rho_1(z)$ and $\rho_2(z)$ have poles at $z=0$ and at the zeros of $wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w$, that is, at $z = \pm iw^{1/2}$, $\pm i/w^{1/2}$. The points $\pm iw^{1/2}$ lie inside the unit circle, while $\pm i/w^{1/2}$ lie outside.

Estimate of $\int_{|z|=1} \rho_1(z) dz$. Let α be a real positive number such that

$$\alpha < \inf_{u \in C} \frac{1}{2} |w^{1/2}| = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{|z|=1} \rho_1(z) dz \right| &\leq \left| \int_{|z|=\alpha} \rho_1(z) dz \right| + |\text{Res } \rho_1(z)|_{z=\pm iw^{1/2}} \\
 |\text{Res } \rho_1(z)|_{z=\pm iw^{1/2}} &= \frac{|1-w|^{r+2s}}{2|w^s| |1-w^2|}, \\
 \left| \int_{|z|=\alpha} \rho_1(z) dz \right| &\leq 2\pi\alpha^2 \frac{(\alpha^2 + 1)^{r+2s}}{\alpha^{2s}} M_1(w)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $M_1(w) = \sup_{|z|=\alpha} |wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w^2|^{-1}$. $M_1(w)$ certainly exists since the choice of α assures that $wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w^2$ is bounded away from zero for $|z|=\alpha$. Now, fix α so small that $x(\alpha^2 + 1) < 2$.

Estimate of $\int_{|z|=1} \rho_2(z) dz$. Let β be a real positive number such that

$$\beta > \sup_{u \in C} 2/|w^{1/2}| = \frac{2}{\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/2}},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{|z|=1} \rho_2(z) dz \right| &\leq \left| \int_{|z|=\beta} \rho_2(z) dz \right| + |\text{Res } \rho_2(z)|_{z=\pm i/w^{1/2}}, \\
 |\text{Res } \rho_2(z)|_{z=\pm i/w^{1/2}} &= \frac{|1-w|^{r+2s}}{2|w|^s |1-w^2|},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \int_{z=\beta} \rho_2(z) dz \right| \leq 2\pi\beta^2 \frac{(\beta^2 + 1)^{r+2s}}{\beta^{2r+2s}} M_2(w)$$

where $M_2(w) = \sup_{|s|=\beta} |wz^4 + (1+w^2)z^2 + w|^{-1}$. The choice of β assures that $M_2(w)$ exists. Now fix β so large that $x(\beta^2 + 1)/\beta^2 < 2$.

We are now ready to give the estimate of $\nu(r, t)$.

$$|\nu(r, t)| \leq \int_C \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| |1 - w^2| |Q_r(w)| |du|$$

where

$$(2.6) \quad Q(w) \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!(r+s)!2^{r+2s}} \left\{ \frac{|1-w|^{r+2s}}{|w|^s |1-w^2|} + \pi \frac{(\alpha^2 + 1)^{r+2s} M_1}{\alpha^{2s-2}} + 2\pi \frac{(\beta^2 + 1)^{r+2s} M_2}{\beta^{2r+2s-2}} \right\}.$$

The estimate (2.6) enables us to write

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_1^{\infty} |g(r)| |\nu(r, t)| = \int_C \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| |1 - w^2| \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} |g(r)| |Q_r(w)| \right\} du.$$

The proof is now completed by breaking (2.7) into three parts corresponding to the three sums in (2.6). Call these parts T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 . We give the details showing $T_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$. The details for T_2 and T_3 are similar, and somewhat simpler after observing that $M_1(w)$ and $M_2(w)$ are bounded for $u \in C$ (again by the choice of α and β).

$$T_1 = \int_C \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| |1 - w^2| \cdot \left\{ \sum_1^{\infty} |g(r)| \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!(r+s)!2^{r+2s}} \frac{|1-w|^{r+2s}}{|w|^s |1-w^2|} \right\} du.$$

Since $(r+s)! \geq r!s!$ and since $2^{2s}(s!)^2 \geq (2s)!$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!(r+s)!2^{r+2s}} \frac{|1-w|^{r+2s}}{|w|^s |1-w^2|} \\ & \leq \frac{|1-w|^r}{|1-w^2|^r r! 2^r} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{|1-w|^{2s}}{(|w|^{1/2})^{2s}} \frac{1}{(2s)!} \leq \frac{|1-w|^r}{|1-w^2|^r r! 2^r} e^{|1-w|/|w|^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_1 &\leq \int_C \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| e^{|1-w|/|w|^{1/2}} \sum_1^\infty g(r) \frac{|1-w|^r}{2^r r!} |du| \\
 &\leq A_1 \int_C \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| e^{|u^{-t}-1| \cdot |u|^{t/2}} \frac{|1-u^{-t}|}{1-x/2 |1-u^{-t}|} |du|
 \end{aligned}$$

where A_1 is a constant.

Break the path C into two parts, C^* and C_* as shown:

Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose t so small that there is a fixed positive number $N < K$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad e^{-N} \int_{C_*} \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| e^{|u^{-t}-1| \cdot |u|^{t/2}} \frac{|1-u^{-t}|}{1-x/2 |1-u^{-t}|} |du| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad &\sup_{u \in C^*} |1-u^{-t}| = |1-N^{-t}| \\
 &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(\int_{C^*} \left| \frac{e^u}{u} \right| e^{|u^{-t}-1| \cdot |u|^{t/2}} \frac{1}{1-x/2 |1-u^{-t}|} |du| \right)^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This can be done by virtue of the fact that $K \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow 0+$ and the fact that the integrals in (2.8) and (2.9) are seen, by elementary estimates, to be bounded independently of t . Thus $T_1 < \epsilon$, and since ϵ is arbitrary the assertion follows.

REFERENCES

1. A. Edrei, *On the generating function of a doubly infinite totally positive sequence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 74 (1953) pp. 367-386.
2. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Oxford, 1949.
3. I. I. Hirschman and D. V. Widder, *The convolution transform*, Princeton, 1955.
4. H. Pollard and C. Standish, *Inversion of a class of discrete convolution transforms*, Scripta Math. vol. 22 (1956) pp. 207-216.
5. G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge, 1922.
6. D. V. Widder, *The Laplace transform*, Princeton, 1956.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY AND
MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY