A REMARK ON THE RITT ORDER OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY DIRICHLET SERIES

A. G. AZPEITIA¹

1. Let $f(s)$ ($s = \sigma + it$) be an entire function defined by an everywhere absolutely convergent Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(\lambda_n s) \quad (0 < \lambda_n < \lambda_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty).$$

The Ritt order is defined [1, p. 77] as

$$\rho = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} [\log \log M(\sigma) / \sigma]$$

with

$$M(\sigma) = \text{l.u.b.}_{-\infty < t < \infty} |f(\sigma + it)|.$$

2. We will show that the Ritt theorem [1, p. 78], which gives the value of ρ in terms of the sequences $\{|a_n|\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ under the restriction $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\lambda_n / \log n] > 0$, can be improved as follows:

Let ρ_1 be defined as

$$\rho_1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\lambda_n \log \lambda_n / \log |a_n|^{-1}].$$

We will prove the following:

THEOREM. *If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(\lambda_n s)$ is absolutely convergent for all s , then $\rho \geq \rho_1$, and if, in addition, it is assumed that*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\lambda_n \log \lambda_n / \log n] = \infty$$

then $\rho = \rho_1$.

PROOF. With no changes, the first part of the proof given by Mandelbrojt [2, p. 217] shows that $\rho \geq \rho_1$ if $\rho < \infty$, and the same conclusion obviously holds if $\rho = \infty$.

To completely establish the theorem it is now enough to prove that if (1) holds, then $\rho \leq \rho_1$. This result can be obtained by a modification of the second part of Mandelbrojt's proof [2, p. 218], as follows.

Received by the editors October 25, 1960.

¹ This work is part of a research supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (NSF-G14142).

We can assume $\rho_1 < \infty$, since otherwise the conclusion is trivial. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(\delta)$ such that $|a_n| \leq \exp[-\lambda_n \log \lambda_n / (\rho_1 + \delta)]$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, for every σ

$$M(\sigma) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_0-1} |a_n| \exp(\lambda_n \sigma) + \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \exp[-\lambda_n \log \lambda_n / (\rho_1 + \delta) + \lambda_n \sigma]$$

because the series involved in the formula is easily seen to be convergent, since from (1) it follows that for every $\epsilon > 0$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-\epsilon \lambda_n \log \lambda_n)$ converges to a finite sum $\phi(\epsilon)$.

Now, for any choice of $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1/(\rho + \delta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\sigma) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_0-1} |a_n| \exp(\lambda_n \sigma) + \max_{n \geq 1} [\exp(-\alpha \lambda_n \log \lambda_n + \lambda_n \sigma)] \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \exp(-\beta \lambda_n \log \lambda_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_0-1} |a_n| \exp(\lambda_n \sigma) + \phi(\beta) \max_{x \geq 0} \exp(-\alpha x \log x + x \sigma) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{n_0-1} |a_n| \exp(\lambda_n \sigma) + \phi(\beta) \exp\{\alpha \exp[(\sigma - \alpha)/\alpha]\} \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $\rho = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} [\log \log M(\sigma)/\sigma] \leq 1/\alpha$, and since $\sup \alpha = 1/(\rho_1 + \delta)$ and δ is arbitrarily small, it follows that $\rho \leq \rho_1$ and the theorem is proved.

Finally, it should be noticed that the assumption of the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ being monotonic, implies that (1) holds if and only if the series $\sum \exp(-\epsilon \lambda_n \log \lambda_n)$ converges for every $\epsilon > 0$, and therefore the previous theorem is the best possible result that can be obtained by the present method of proof.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Ritt, *On certain points of the theory of Dirichlet series*, Amer. J. Math. vol. 50 (1928) pp. 73-86.
2. S. Mandelbrojt, *Dirichlet series*, The Rice Institute Pamphlet, vol. 31, no. 4, October 1944, pp. 159-272.

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS