3. M. Marcus and M. Newman, *On the minimum of the permanent of a doubly stochastic matrix*, Duke Math. J. **26** (1959), 61-72.

4. ———, *The permanent function as an inner product*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1961), 223-224.

5. M. Marcus and R. Ree, *Diagonals of doubly stochastic matrices*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **10** (1959), 296-302.

6. B. L. van der Waerden, *Aufgabe 45*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein. **35** (1926), 117.

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

CARDINALITY OF LEVEL SETS OF RADEMACHER SERIES WHOSE COEFFICIENTS FORM A GEOMETRIC PROGRESSION¹

W. A. BEYER

1. **Introduction.** With $0 < r < 1$, put

$$\beta^*(\alpha, r) = \left\{ x \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i R_i(x) = \alpha; 0 < x \leq 1 \right. \right\}$$

where $R_i(x)$ is the i th Rademacher function and $|\alpha| < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i$. This paper discusses the cardinality of the set $\beta^*(\alpha, r)$ [hereafter denoted by $\text{card } \beta^*(\alpha, r)$]. The only previous discussion known to the author is a remark of Lévy [4]. Denote $(\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ by δ . In [2] we have shown that if $1 > r > \delta$, then the Hausdorff dimension of β^* is $\geq 1/n$ where n is the least n_0 such that

$$n_0 > \{ \log(2r-1) - \log(r^2+r-1) \} / (-\log r).$$

Note that as $r \rightarrow \delta +$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\text{card } \beta^*(\alpha, r) = c$ (cardinal number of the continuum) for $1 > r > \delta$. It is known that $\text{card } \beta^*(\alpha, r) \leq 1$ for $0 < r < 1/2$; $\beta^*(\alpha, r) = 1$ or 2 for $r = 1/2$. This leaves the range $1/2 < r \leq \delta$ in question. The question is completely settled for $r = \delta$ by Theorem 1. The range $1/2 < r < \delta$ is discussed briefly in §4.

Presented to the Society, January 22, 1959 under the title *Rademacher series with geometric coefficients*; received by the editors April 4, 1961.

¹ The work reported in this document was performed by Lincoln Laboratory, a center for research operated by Massachusetts Institute of Technology with the joint support of the U. S. Army, Navy, and Air Force under Air Force Contract AF 19(604)-5200.

We denote the set of algebraic integers in the quadratic field $k(\sqrt{5})$ by H and the cardinal number of the integers by $\aleph_0$. Our principal result is

THEOREM 1. *If $\alpha \in H$, then $\text{card } \beta^*(\alpha, \delta) = \aleph_0$. If $\alpha \notin H$, then $\text{card } \beta^*(\alpha, \delta) = c$.*

Since $R_i(x) = 1 - 2\epsilon_i(x)$ where $\epsilon_i(x)$ is the i th digit of the (unique) nonterminating binary expansion of $x \in (0, 1]$ and since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i R_i(x)$ is absolutely convergent, it is sufficient to consider the sets

$$\beta(\alpha, r) = \left\{ x \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i \epsilon_i(x) = \alpha; 0 < x \leq 1 \right\}$$

with $0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^i$.

2. Preliminaries.

DEFINITION 1. For $x \in (0, 1]$ and $0 < r^2 < 1/2$, $T_r(x)$ is the plane point:

$$\left[\frac{1-r^2}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2i-1}(x) r^{2i-1}, \frac{1-r^2}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2i}(x) r^{2i-1} \right].$$

DEFINITION 2. For $0 < r^2 \leq 1/2$, C_r^1 is the Cantor type perfect set of constant ratio r^2 of dissection formed in $[0, 1]$ (see [5]); i.e., $\xi_i = r^2$ for all i . We define $B(C_r^1)$ as the set of end points of the removed intervals plus the points 0 and 1. We put $I(C_r^1) = C_r^1 - B(C_r^1)$. We define C_r^2 as the Cartesian product $C_r^1 \times C_r^1$. We also define the quantity $B(C_r^2) = B(C_r^1) \times B(C_r^1)$.

LEMMA 1. *The right-hand end points in $B(C_r^1)$ have the form $[(1-r^2)/r] \sum_{i=1}^p \epsilon_i r^{2i-1}$. The left-hand end points in $B(C_r^1)$ have the form $[(1-r^2)/r] [\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \epsilon_i r^{2i-1} + \sum_{i=p+1}^{\infty} r^{2i-1}]$. The points of $I(C_r^1)$ have the form $[(1-r^2)/r] \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i r^{2i-1}$ with an ∞ of the ϵ_i equal to a 0 and an ∞ of the ϵ_i equal to 1. Here $\epsilon_i = 0, 1$.*

PROOF. See [5].

We take as evident

LEMMA 1a. $T_r(\beta(\alpha, r)) \subseteq l_{\alpha, r} \cap C_r^2$ where $l_{\alpha, r}$ is the line $rx_1 + r^2x_2 = (1-r^2)\alpha$, where x_1, x_2 are the coordinates of a plane point.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. A sequence of integers $\{\epsilon_i\}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots$) is called a representation of α if $\epsilon_i = 0$ or 1 and $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i r^i$. We denote the sequence by $R(\alpha)$. $R(\alpha) = \{\epsilon_i\}$ is canonical if $\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i r^i < r^n$ for every n for which $\epsilon_n = 0$. We then write $\alpha = \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots$. We denote such $R(\alpha)$ by $R_c(\alpha)$.

In the remainder of this section, we take $r = \delta$. Each α has a unique $R_c(\alpha)$. Bergman [1] notes that $R_c(\alpha)$ is finite (terminates in zeros) if and only if $\alpha \in H$. On noting that r satisfies $1 = r + r^2$, $r = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r^{2^i}$ and $1 = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} r^i$, we obtain

LEMMA 2. *$R(\alpha)$ is canonical if and only if it does not terminate in a sequence of "01" pairs and no "11" pairs occur anywhere after the first zero.*

REMARK. It follows from Theorem 2 of [3] that the Hausdorff dimension of the set of $x \in (0, 1)$ identified with canonical $R(\alpha)$ is $-\log_2 \delta$.

LEMMA 3. *If $(x_1, x_2) \in l_{\alpha, r} \cap C_r^2$, $x_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_j^1 r^{2^j-1}$, $x_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_j^2 r^{2^j-1}$, then $\{\epsilon_i\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), where $\epsilon_{2i-1} = \epsilon_i^1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\epsilon_{2i} = \epsilon_i^2$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) is an $R(\alpha)$. We then say that $R(\alpha)$ is a form resulting from $rx_1 + r^2x_2$.*

PROOF. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i r^i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2i-1} r^{2^i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{2i} r^{2^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i^1 r^{2^i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i^2 r^{2^i} = x_1 + rx_2 = \alpha$.

LEMMA 4. *If $\alpha \in H$ and $(x_1, x_2) \in l_{\alpha, r} \cap C_r^2$, then $(x_1, x_2) \in B(C_r^2)$.*

PROOF. Suppose $(x_1, x_2) \notin B(C_r^2)$. At least one of the x_1 or x_2 is in $I(C_r^1)$ and hence has the form $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_j r^{2^j-1}$ with an ∞ of the ϵ_j equal to 1 and an ∞ equal to 0.

Case I. Suppose x_1 and x_2 are both in $I(C_r^1)$. The $R(\alpha)$ form resulting from $rx_1 + r^2x_2$ must have an ∞ of 1's, an ∞ of 0's, and cannot terminate in a sequence of "01" pairs. This $R(\alpha)$ must therefore contain either (Ia) an ∞ of "11" pairs and an ∞ of 0's or (Ib) an ∞ of "00" pairs and an ∞ of 1's.

In case (Ia), we have an ∞ of "011" triplets in $R(\alpha)$. Replace these triplets with "100" triplets to obtain a new $R(\alpha)$. Thus, we need consider only case (Ib). Suppose case (Ib) holds. In $R(\alpha)$, define blocks of digits $N(i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) with $N(i)$ as the block commencing with the i th "00" pair and extending to, but not including, the $(i+1)$ st "00" pair. In $N(i)$, replace any "011" triplet by a "100" triplet. Repeat the operation until $N(i)$ is exhausted of "011" triplets. The result is a new $R(\alpha)$ with an ∞ of "00" pairs and isolated 1's. This $R(\alpha)$ is, by Lemma 2, canonical. It has an ∞ of 1's. Hence its value cannot be α .

Case II. Suppose $x_1 \in B(C_r^1)$ and $x_2 \in I(C_r^1)$ and $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^1 r^{2^i-1}$ with $\epsilon_n^1 = 1$. Consider the form $R(\alpha)$ resulting from $rx_1 + r^2x_2$. Let N be the block consisting of the first $2n$ digits in $R(\alpha)$. In N replace any "011" triplet by a "100" triplet. Repeat the operation until N is exhausted

of "011" triplets. The resulting $R(\alpha)$ is, by Lemma 2, canonical and contains an ∞ of 1's, and hence cannot represent α .

Suppose $x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i r^{2i-1} + \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} r^{2i-1}$. A contradiction is obtained as in Case I.

Case III. Suppose $x_1 \in I(C_r^1)$ and $x_2 \in B(C_r^1)$. This case is similar to Case II. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

COROLLARY TO LEMMA 4. If $\alpha \in H$, then $T_r(\beta(\alpha, r)) \subseteq B(C_r^2)$.

LEMMA 5. If $\alpha \in H$, then $\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r) \leq \aleph_0$.

PROOF. From the fact that the mapping T_r is 1-1, the above corollary, and the countability of $B(C_r^2)$, we have

$$\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r) = \text{card } T_r(\beta(\alpha, r)) \leq \text{card } B(C_r^2) = \aleph_0.$$

LEMMA 6. α has exactly $\aleph_0$ representations or $c (= 2^{\aleph_0})$ representations according as $R_c(\alpha)$ is finite or is infinite (i.e., does not terminate in 0's).

PROOF. Suppose $R_c(\alpha)$ is finite. From Lemma 5, $\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r) \leq \aleph_0$. The sequence of equalities $\dots 100 \dots = \dots 0110 \dots = \dots 010110 \dots = \dots$ gives at least $\aleph_0$ of the $R(\alpha)$. The terminal $1000 \dots$ can be replaced in each case by $\dots 010101 \dots$ to give $\aleph_0$ of the $R(\alpha)$ which are nonterminating. Hence $\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r) = \aleph_0$. Suppose $R_c(\alpha)$ is infinite. In $R_c(\alpha)$ are found an ∞ of triplets of the form "100." Each triplet can, independently of the others, be replaced by "011." This gives c of the $R(\alpha)$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 6 and the observation about the number of nonterminating $R(\alpha)$ in case $R_c(\alpha)$ is finite give Theorem 1.

We note that

$$\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r) = \text{card } T_r(\beta(\alpha, r)) = \text{card}(I_{\alpha, r} \cap C_r^2)$$

since (1) T_r is 1-1, (2) from Lemma 3 if $P \in I_{\alpha, r} \cap C_r^2$ then there exists $x \in \beta(\alpha, r)$ such that $T_r(x) = P$, and (3) $T_r(\beta(\alpha, r)) \subseteq I_{\alpha, r} \cap C_r^2$.

REMARK. If $\alpha \in H$, then any $R(\alpha)$ terminates in either 0's, 1's, or "01" pairs. We do not give the proof.

4. $1/2 < r < \delta$.

THEOREM 2. If $1/2 < r < \delta$, there exists at least a countably infinite set of values of α for which $\beta(\alpha, r)$ is a single point.

PROOF. Let

$$(1) \quad \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r^{2j} = 0101010 \dots$$

Suppose another representation of α (in terms of the given r and a 0, 1

sequence) is desired. Suppose $\epsilon_{2k} = 1$ in (1) is changed to 0 and that this is the first change. But $\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} r^{2j+1} = (r^{2k+1})/(1-r^2) = [r/(1-r^2)]r^{2k} < r^{2k}$, since for $0 < r < \delta$, $1-r^2 > r$. Hence, the first change cannot be a 1 to a 0.

Now suppose $\epsilon_{2k+1} = 0$ in (1) is changed to 1 and that this is the first change. But $\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} r^{2j} = (r^{2k+2})/(1-r^2) = [r/(1-r^2)]r^{2k+1} < r^{2k+1}$. Hence the first change cannot be a 0 to a 1. Therefore, α has a unique representation.

The set A of values of α of the form $\alpha_p = \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} r^{2j}$ ($p=1, 2, \dots$) and $\alpha'_p = \sum_{i=1}^{2p-1} r^i + \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} r^{2j}$ ($p=1, 2, \dots$) will also have a unique representation. This completes the proof.

Let r_n be the positive root of the equation $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n r^i$.

THEOREM 3. For $n > 2$ there exist sets of numbers A_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) with $\text{card } A_i = \aleph_0$ such that

- (i) if $\alpha \in A_1$, $\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r_n) = 1$,
- (ii) if $\alpha \in A_2$, $\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r_n) = \aleph_0$,
- (iii) if $\alpha \in A_3$, $\text{card } \beta(\alpha, r_n) = c$ and the Hausdorff dimension of $\beta(\alpha, r_n) \geq 1/(n+1)$.

PROOF. The set A_1 is the set A constructed in the proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$A_2 = \left\{ \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} r_n^i \right\} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$A_3 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m r_n^i + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} r_n^{m+i(n+1)} \right\} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Suppose $\alpha \in A_3$. Each of the ∞ of $(n+1)$ -tuples $100 \dots 0$ [n zeros] in the canonical representation of α can be replaced independently by the $(n+1)$ -tuple $011 \dots 1$ [n ones] to give c representations of α . The statement about Hausdorff dimension follows from Lemma 2 of [2].

Suppose $\alpha \in A_2$. The sequence of equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{0 \dots 0}{m-1} \right| 111 \dots &= \left| \frac{0 \dots 0}{m-2} \right| 1 \left| \frac{0 \dots 0}{n} \right| 111 \dots \\ &= \left| \frac{0 \dots 0}{m-2} \right| 1 \left| \frac{0 \dots 0}{n-1} \right| 1 \left| \frac{0 \dots 0}{n} \right| 111 \dots \\ &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

yields $\aleph_0$ representations of α . Now represent $\alpha \in A_2$ as

$$(2) \quad \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{m-2} 1 \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{n-1} 1 \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{n-1} 1 \cdots$$

Another representation of α can be obtained only by changing a digit in (2). Suppose the first digit changed is ϵ_i and $\epsilon_i = 1$. Then all the 0's to the right of ϵ_i must be changed to 1. Suppose $\epsilon_i = 0$. There are not enough 1's to the right to change to 0 to compensate for this gain. Hence α has only $\aleph_0$ representations.

REMARK. Theorems 1, 2, and 3 discuss the cardinality of the points of intersection of the lines $l_{\alpha,r}: rx_1 + r^2x_2 = (1-r^2)\alpha$ with the plane set C_r^2 ($1/2 < r \leq \delta$). Theorem 2 states that if the set C_r^2 is "thin" enough, some of these lines intersect C_r^2 in one point only. Theorem 1 states that for $r = \delta$, the set C_r^2 is sufficiently "thick" so that every $l_{\alpha,r}$ intersects C_r^2 in at least $\aleph_0$ points. Results in [2] give information about the Hausdorff dimension of $l_{\alpha,r} \cap C_r^2$ for $1 > r > \delta$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Bergman, *A number system with an irrational base*, Math. Mag. **31** (1957), 98-110.
2. W. A. Beyer, *Hausdorff dimension of level sets of Rademacher series*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
3. J. R. Kinney, *Singular functions associated with Markov chains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 603-608.
4. P. Lévy, *Sur les séries dont les termes sont des variables éventuelles indépendantes*, Studia Math. **3** (1931), 153-154.
5. R. Salem, *The absolute convergence of trigonometrical series*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 324.

LOS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA