

# THE ZEROS OF INFRAPOLYNOMIALS WITH PRESCRIBED VALUES AT GIVEN POINTS<sup>1</sup>

J. L. WALSH AND O. SHISHA

1. Hitherto there have been considered [e.g., 1956, 1961]<sup>2</sup> infrapolynomials with some prescribed coefficients, that is to say, with prescribed values of certain derivatives at the point  $z=0$ . We now generalize this concept by prescribing values of the polynomial and of certain of its derivatives at given points  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k$ , and study the geometric location of its zeros in the complex plane. Thus the present results are, broadly speaking, generalizations of the preceding ones.

2. Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k$  be (distinct) points of the (open) complex plane, and for each  $j$  ( $=1, 2, \dots, k$ ), let there be given complex values  $w_j^{(0)}, w_j^{(1)}, \dots, w_j^{(m_j)}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all polynomials  $A(z)$  satisfying

$$A^{(\nu)}(z_j) = w_j^{(\nu)} \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, m_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

We make the following

DEFINITION. Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and let  $S$  be a pointset in the (open) complex plane. An  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial is an element  $A(z)$  of  $\Lambda$  of degree<sup>3</sup>  $\leq n$ , having the property: there does not exist a polynomial  $B(z)$  belonging to  $\Lambda$  and of degree  $\leq n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} B(z) &\neq A(z), \\ |B(z)| &< |A(z)| \quad \text{whenever } z \in S \text{ and } A(z) \neq 0, \\ B(z) &= 0 \quad \text{whenever } z \in S \text{ and } A(z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. We set  $T = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$ , and denote by  $P(z)$  the unique element of  $\Lambda$  of degree  $\leq -1 + M$ , where  $M = \sum_{j=1}^k (m_j + 1)$  [cf. 1935, Chapter III, Theorem 2]. Let  $n$  be a positive integer, and  $S$  a finite (nonempty) pointset in the (open) complex plane whose (distinct) elements we denote by  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_N$ ; we assume that  $S$  and  $T$  are disjoint.

Presented to the Society, April 30, 1962 under the title *Infrapolynomials with prescribed derivatives at given points*; received by the editors June 27, 1962.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported (in part) by the U. S. Air Force through the Air Force Office of Scientific Research. Abstract, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1962), 315.

<sup>2</sup> Dates in square brackets refer to the bibliography.

<sup>3</sup> The degree of a polynomial is understood to be its exact degree. The polynomial 0 is assigned  $-1$  as its degree.

4. THEOREM 1.<sup>4</sup> Let  $L(z) \equiv L_{N-1}z^{N-1} + \cdots + L_0$  be Lagrange's interpolation polynomial to  $P(z)/Q(z)$  on  $S$ , where  $Q(z) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^t (z - z_j)^{m_j+1}$ , and let  $A_0(z) \equiv P(z) - L(z)Q(z)$ .

(a) If  $N \leq n - M$ , then there exists no  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial.

(b) If  $N = n - M + 1$ , then  $A_0(z)$  is the unique  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial.

(c) If  $N = n - M + 2$ , then a polynomial  $A(z)$  is an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial if and only if it is of the form

$$(1) \quad A_0(z) + L_{N-1}Q(z) \sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_{\nu} \frac{g(z)}{z - \zeta_{\nu}}$$

where  $\lambda_{\nu} \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_{\nu} = 1$ . Here  $g(z) \equiv \prod_{\nu=1}^N (z - \zeta_{\nu})$ .

PROOF. Assume  $N \leq n - M$ , and let  $A(z) \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_{\nu}z^{\nu}$  be an element of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $B(z) \equiv A_0(z)$  if  $A_0(z) \neq A(z)$ , and let  $B(z) \equiv A_0(z) + g(z)Q(z)$  if  $A_0(z) \equiv A(z)$ . Then  $B(z)$  is of degree  $\leq n$ , it belongs to  $\Lambda$ ,  $B(z) \neq A(z)$ , and  $B(z) = 0$  throughout  $S$ . Thus  $A(z)$  cannot be an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial. This proves (a).

Consider now the two cases: (i)  $N = n - M + 1$ , and (ii)  $N = n - M + 2$ ,  $L_{N-1} = 0$ . If  $B(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  belonging to  $\Lambda$  and vanishing throughout  $S$ , we can set

$$B(z) \equiv P(z) - l(z)Q(z),$$

where  $l(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq n - M$  which equals  $P(z)/Q(z)$  on  $S$ . Thus  $l(z) \equiv L(z)$  and therefore  $B(z) \equiv A_0(z)$ . Hence  $A_0(z)$  (which is of degree  $\leq n$ , belongs to  $\Lambda$ , and vanishes throughout  $S$ ) is an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial. Furthermore, if  $A(z)$  is an arbitrary  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial, then since  $A_0(z) = 0$  throughout  $S$ ,  $A(z) \equiv A_0(z)$ . Thus we have proved (b), and under the assumption  $L_{N-1} = 0$ , also (c).

We observe in cases (b) and (c) that if all the values  $w_j^{(\nu)}$  are zero, then  $P(z) \equiv 0$ ,  $L(z) \equiv 0$ ,  $A_0(z) \equiv 0$ ,  $L_{N-1} = 0$ ; and 0 is the unique  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial.

Finally, we consider the case  $N = n - M + 2$ ,  $L_{N-1} \neq 0$ . We may assume  $N > 1$ , since if  $N = 1$ ,  $P(z)$  is the unique polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  belonging to  $\Lambda$ , and therefore  $P(z)$  is the unique  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial, which proves the assertion of (c).

Let  $\Lambda^*$  denote the set of all polynomials of degree  $N - 1$  with leading coefficient 1. For every polynomial  $A(z)$  of degree  $\leq n$  belonging to  $\Lambda$ , let  $A^*(z)$  generically denote the element of  $\Lambda^*$  satisfying

<sup>4</sup> Compare [1961, Theorems 1 and 2].

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} A(z) &\equiv P(z) + [-L(z) + L_{N-1}A^*(z)]Q(z) \\ &\equiv A_0(z) + L_{N-1}A^*(z)Q(z). \end{aligned}$$

For every  $B_1(z) \in \Lambda^*$ ,

$$B(z) \equiv P(z) + [-L(z) + L_{N-1}B_1(z)]Q(z)$$

is of degree  $\leq n$ , belongs to  $\Lambda$ , and satisfies  $B_1(z) \equiv B^*(z)$ .

From (2) we have

$$(3) \quad A(z) = L_{N-1}A^*(z)Q(z) \quad \text{throughout } S.$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that a polynomial  $A(z)$  of degree  $\leq n$  and belonging to  $\Lambda$  is an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial if and only if  $A^*(z)$  is an infrapolynomial on  $S$ , i.e., [1957, Theorem 13] if and only if  $A^*(z)$  is of the form  $\sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_\nu (g(z)/(z-\zeta_\nu))$  ( $\lambda_\nu \geq 0$ ,  $\sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_\nu = 1$ ). From this, the desired result readily follows.

It may be noted that since  $A_0(z) = 0$  throughout  $S$ , we may set  $A_0(z) \equiv P_0(z)g(z)$  where  $P_0(z)$  is a polynomial. In case (c), its degree is  $\leq n - N + 1$ .

5. We turn now to applications of Theorem 1(c). For the case  $k=1$ , see [1961, Theorems 6b and 10].

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1(c) let two disjoint circular regions  $C_1: |z - c_1| \leq r_1$  and  $C_2: |z - c_2| \leq r_2$  (where  $0 \leq r_1, r_2 < \infty$ ) contain, respectively, the set  $T$  and the zeros of  $A_0(z)$ . Then  $C_2$  together with the  $n - N + 1$  circular regions  $|z - (c_1 - \epsilon c_2)/(1 - \epsilon)| \leq (r_1 + r_2)/|1 - \epsilon|$ ,  $\epsilon^{n-N+2} = 1$ ,  $\epsilon \neq 1$ , contains all zeros of every  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A(z)$  be an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial. By (1) and by the end of §4,

$$A(z) \equiv P_0(z)g(z) + L_{N-1}Q(z) \sum_{\nu=1}^N \lambda_\nu \frac{g(z)}{z - \zeta_\nu}.$$

The case  $L_{N-1} = 0$  is trivial; so we assume  $L_{N-1} \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $A(z_0) = 0$ ,  $z_0 \notin C_2$ . We may set [1950, §1.5.1]

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^N \frac{\lambda_\nu}{z_0 - \zeta_\nu} = \frac{1}{z_0 - \zeta}$$

where  $\zeta \in C_2$ . Hence

$$(4) \quad (z_0 - \zeta)P_0(z_0) = -L_{N-1}Q(z_0).$$

Also, since  $P_0(z)$  is of degree  $n - N + 1$ , and its leading coefficient is  $-L_{N-1}$ , we have [1922]

$$(z_0 - \zeta)P_0(z_0) = -L_{N-1}(z_0 - \zeta')^{n-N+2} \quad (\zeta' \in C_2).$$

Similarly, the right-hand side of (4) can be represented in the form  $-L_{N-1}(z_0 - z')^{n-N+2}$  with  $z' \in C_1$ . Thus

$$(z_0 - \zeta')^{n-N+2} = (z_0 - z')^{n-N+2}.$$

Since  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint,  $\zeta' \neq z'$ , and therefore

$$z_0 = \frac{z' - \epsilon \zeta'}{1 - \epsilon}, \quad \epsilon^{n-N+2} = 1, \epsilon \neq 1.$$

Hence [cf. 1949, Lemma (17, 2a)]

$$\left| z_0 - \frac{c_1 - \epsilon c_2}{1 - \epsilon} \right| \leq \frac{r_1 + r_2}{|1 - \epsilon|}.$$

This completes the proof.

6. If we modify Theorem 2 by assuming that (i)  $C_1$  is  $|z - c_1| \geq r_1$  (and  $C_2$  is as before), or by assuming that (ii)  $C_2$  is  $|z - c_2| \geq r_2$  (and  $C_1$  is as in the theorem) then we may similarly conclude (cf. loc. cit., Lemma (17, 2b)) that every zero  $z_0$  of an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial which does not belong to  $C_2$  satisfies

$$\left| z_0 - \frac{c_1 - \epsilon c_2}{1 - \epsilon} \right| \geq \frac{r_1 - r_2}{|1 - \epsilon|}, \quad \epsilon^{n-N+2} = 1, \epsilon \neq 1, \text{ in case (i),}$$

and

$$\left| z_0 - \frac{c_1 - \epsilon c_2}{1 - \epsilon} \right| \geq \frac{r_2 - r_1}{|1 - \epsilon|}, \quad \epsilon^{n-N+2} = 1, \epsilon \neq 1, \text{ in case (ii).}$$

7. In the special case of Theorem 2 in which  $k=2$ ,  $m_1=m_2=0$ ,  $L_{N-1} \neq 0$ ,  $P_0(z)$  must be of the first degree, say,  $P_0(z) \equiv -L_{N-1}(z-a)$ , and (4) may be written as

$$(z_0 - \zeta)(z_0 - a) = (z_0 - z_1)(z_0 - z_2), \quad a \in C_2.$$

Thus  $z_0(z_1 + z_2 - a - \zeta) = z_1 z_2 - a \zeta$ . From the fact that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are disjoint, one easily infers that the coefficient of  $z_0$  in the last equality is not zero. Hence

$$z_0 = \frac{z_1 z_2 - a \zeta}{z_1 + z_2 - a - \zeta}.$$

Thus  $z_0$  lies in the image of  $C_2$  under the linear transformation  $\phi(z) \equiv (z_1 z_2 - az)/(z_1 + z_2 - a - z)$ , and this image can be readily determined in terms of  $c_2$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  and  $a$ .

8. THEOREM 3. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 1(c) hold with  $L_{N-1} \neq 0$ , and suppose also that all the  $m_j$  are zero. Set

$$(5) \quad P_0(z) / \left\{ L_{N-1} \prod_{r=1}^k (z - z_r) \right\} \equiv \sum_{r=1}^k \frac{b_r}{z - z_r}.$$

Let  $z_0$  be a zero of an  $(n, \Lambda, S)$  infrapolynomial  $A(z)$ .

(a) If all the  $b_r$  are  $\leq 0$ , then  $z_0$  cannot lie on a line or circle  $L$  which separates  $T$  from  $S$ .

(b) Suppose  $b_j \leq 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu (< k)$  and  $b_j \geq 0$  for  $j = \mu + 1, \mu + 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $z_0$  cannot lie on a line or circle  $L$  which separates  $T_1$  from  $S \cup T_2$ . Here  $T_1 = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\mu\}$ ,  $T_2 = \{z_{\mu+1}, z_{\mu+2}, \dots, z_k\}$ .

Note that  $\sum_{r=1}^k b_r = -1$ .

COROLLARY. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3(a), if  $T \subseteq D_1$  and  $S \subseteq D_2$  where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are disjoint segments of a line or disjoint arcs of a circle, then  $z_0 \in D_1 \cup D_2$ . Likewise, under the hypotheses of Theorem 3(b), if  $T_1 \subseteq D_1$  and  $S \cup T_2 \subseteq D_2$  where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are as before, then  $z_0 \in D_1 \cup D_2$ .

[Compare 1950, §4.2.3., Theorem 2].

Theorem 3 follows by the method of the first paragraph of [1950, §4.2.1.].

9. We shall now suppose that  $S$  and  $T$  are symmetric in the  $x$ -axis.

THEOREM 4. Let the hypotheses (preceding (a)) of Theorem 3 hold, and suppose both  $S$  and  $T$  are symmetric in the  $x$ -axis,  $T$  has no point on the  $y$ -axis, and  $S$  lies in  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ . Suppose that  $A(z)$  is a real polynomial, that  $b_r \leq 0$  whenever  $\operatorname{Re}(z_r) < 0$  and  $b_r \geq 0$  whenever  $\operatorname{Re}(z_r) > 0$ , and that  $b_i = b_j$  whenever  $z_i = \bar{z}_j$ . Then if  $z_0$  is nonreal, there exists (at least one) nonreal point  $\xi$  of  $S \cup T$  such that  $z_0$  lies on or within the circle tangent to the line  $O\xi$  at  $\xi$  and to  $O\bar{\xi}$  at  $\bar{\xi}$ .

Theorem 4 and further results can be proved by the methods of [1955] and [1961a]; compare also Marden [1949], who makes a special study of the zeros of rational functions such as the second member of (5).

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1922 J. L. Walsh, *On the location of the roots of certain types of polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1922), 163–180.  
 1935 ———, *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1935.

- 1949 M. Marden, *The geometry of the zeros of a polynomial in a complex variable*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 3. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1949.
- 1950 J. L. Walsh, *The location of critical points of analytic and harmonic functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1950.
- 1955 ———, *A generalization of Jensen's theorem on the zeros of the derivative of a polynomial*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955), 91–93.
- 1956 J. L. Walsh and M. Zedek, *On generalized Tchebycheff polynomials*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **42** (1956), 99–104.
- 1957 T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Walsh, *Underpolynomials and infrapolynomials*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 406–426.
- 1961 O. Shisha and J. L. Walsh, *The zeros of infrapolynomials with some prescribed coefficients*, J. Analyse Math. **9** (1961), 111–160.
- 1961a J. L. Walsh, *A new generalization of Jensen's theorem on the zeros of the derivative of a polynomial*, Amer. Math. Monthly **68** (1961), 978–983.
- 1962 O. Shisha, *An extension of Jensen's theorem for the derivative of a polynomial and for infrapolynomials*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards Sect. B **66** (1962), no. 2, 53–55.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY AND  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS