## NEW PROOF OF THE GENERALIZED CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM

## AVIEZRI S. FRAENKEL

THEOREM. A necessary and sufficient condition that the system of congruences  $x \equiv r_i \pmod{m_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  be solvable is that  $r_i - r_j \equiv 0 \pmod{(m_i, m_j)}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ . Any two solutions are congruent  $\max{[m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s]}$ .

PROOF.¹ The necessity is clear. For proving the sufficiency, let  $M_0=1$ ,  $M_i=[m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_i]$ ,  $i \ge 1$ . Every integer N in the range  $0 \le N < M_s$  is uniquely representable in the form  $N=a_1M_0+a_2M_1+\cdots+a_sM_{s-1}$ ,  $0 \le a_i < M_i/M_{i-1}$ .

The congruence  $a_1M_0 \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1}$  has a solution  $a_1$  with  $0 \le a_1 < m_1$ . Assume that  $a_i$  has already been found as a solution of  $a_1M_0 + a_2M_1 + \cdots + a_iM_{i-1} \equiv r_i \pmod{m_i}$ , for all i < n. The congruence  $a_1M_0 + a_2M_1 \cdots + a_nM_{n-1} \equiv r_n \pmod{m_n}$  is solvable for  $a_n$  if and only if  $c_n - r_n \equiv 0 \pmod{M_{n-1}, m_n}$ , where  $c_n = a_1M_0 + a_2M_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}M_{n-2}$ . Now  $c_n \equiv r_i \pmod{m_i}$ , and hence

$$c_n - r_n \equiv 0 \pmod{(m_i, m_n)}, \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1,$$

by the hypothesis. Thus

$$c_n - r_n \equiv 0 \pmod{(m_1, m_n), (m_2, m_n), \cdots, (m_{n-1}, m_n)}$$

Since<sup>3</sup>  $[(m_1, m_n), (m_2, m_n), \dots, (m_{n-1}, m_n)] = (M_{n-1}, m_n)$ , an integer  $a_n$  with  $0 \le a_n < M_n/M_{n-1}$  is uniquely determined, and thus N is determined.<sup>4</sup> If  $N_1$  is any integer satisfying  $N_1 \equiv r_i \pmod{m_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , then  $N_1 \equiv N \pmod{M_s}$ , and the proof is complete.

Note. The necessity part was already established by the priest Yih-hing in the eighth century. Stieltjes proved both the necessity and sufficiency of the condition. For these and related references, see [2, pp. 57-64]. An existence proof is given in [4, Theorem 3-12, p. 34]. The solution which is produced in the conventional proof of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (i.e., the case  $(m_i, m_j) = 1$  for  $i \neq j$ ), is only an equivalence class; it is not known a priori in which interval of two consecutive multiples of  $M_s$  the solution will be found. The

Received by the editors June 16, 1962.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> References are given in the footnotes for the sake of the nonspecialist reader.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See e.g. [4, Theorem 3–10, p. 32].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See e.g. [4, problem 2, p. 23].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The upper bound for  $a_n$  follows from the identity

 $m_n/(M_{n-1}, m_n) = [M_{n-1}, m_n]/M_{n-1} = M_n/M_{n-1}.$ 

feature of the present proof is that a solution N is produced which is always in the range  $0 \le N < M_*$ . This is important in some applications, for example, in modular computation [4], which is a Chinese Remainder problem. Another application concerning the sieve problem [1; 3] seems possible.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. G. Cantor, G. Estrin, A. S. Fraenkel and R. Turn, A very high-speed digital number sieve, Math. Comp. 16 (1962), 141-154.
- 2. L. E. Dickson, History of the theory of numbers, Vol. 2, Chelsea, New York, 1952, pp. 57-64.
- 3. D. H. Lehmer, The sieve problem for all-purpose computers, Math. Comp. 7 (1953), 6-14.
- 4. W. J. LeVeque, Topics in number theory, Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1956.
  - 5. H. B. Mann, On modular computation, Math. Comp. 15 (1961), 190-192.

University of Oregon and Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel