(2) Is a topological 2-sphere S in  $E^3$  tame if corresponding to each point  $p \in S$  there are cones  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ , each with vertex at p, such that  $\gamma_1 - p$  and  $\gamma_2 - p$  lie on opposite sides of S?

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

1. R. H. Bing, A decomposition of  $E^3$  into points and tame arcs such that the decomposition space is topologically different from  $E^3$ , Ann. of Math. (2) 65 (1957), 484-500.

2. M. K. Fort, Jr., A note concerning a decomposition space defined by Bing, Ann. of Math. (2) 65 (1957), 501-504.

University of Georgia

## CORRECTION TO "A CHARACTERIZATION OF OF-3 ALGEBRAS"

## HIROYUKI TACHIKAWA

J. P. Jans is kind enough to inform me a gap of Necessity proof in my paper appearing in these Proceedings, 13 (1962), 701-703. In this note I shall report Theorem 2 in the paper is however valid by a slight alteration of the proof. In p. 702, the argument between line 9 and line 18 should be replaced by the following: Let  $e_{\lambda}$  be a primitive idempotent of A such that  $l(N)e_{\lambda}\neq 0$ . Then there exists an element  $x \in L$  such that  $l(N)e_{\lambda}x \neq 0$  for L is faithful. Denote x by  $\sum_{\kappa \neq \lambda} a_{\kappa} e_{\kappa} + a_{\lambda} e_{\lambda}, \ a_{\kappa}, \ a_{\lambda} \in A. \ \text{Since} \ e_{\lambda}(\sum_{\kappa \neq \lambda} a_{\kappa} e_{\kappa}) \subseteq N, \ l(N) e_{\lambda} x$  $=l(N)e_{\lambda}a_{\lambda}e_{\lambda}$  and we have  $l(N)e_{\lambda}Le_{\lambda}\neq 0$ . Here, suppose  $Le_{\lambda}\neq Ae_{\lambda}$ . Then  $Le_{\lambda} \subseteq Ne_{\lambda}$  for  $Ne_{\lambda}$  is the unique maximal left ideal of  $Ae_{\lambda}$  and it follows  $l(N)e_{\lambda}Le_{\lambda}\subseteq l(N)N=0$ . But this is a contradiction. Thus we obtain  $Le_{\lambda} = Ae_{\lambda}$ . Now, let  $\theta$  be the epimorphism:  $L \rightarrow Le_{\lambda} (= Ae_{\lambda})$ , defined by  $\theta(x) = xe_{\lambda}$  for all  $x \in L$ . Since  $Le_{\lambda}$  is projective, we have a direct sum decomposition of  $L: L_{\lambda} \oplus L'_{\lambda}$ , where  $L_{\lambda} \approx Ae_{\lambda}$ . Then as  $\operatorname{Hom}(L, K)$  is monomorphic to P and  $\operatorname{Hom}(Ae_{\lambda}, K)$  is injective,  $\operatorname{Hom}(Ae_{\lambda}, K)$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of P. Thus if we denote by  $\Lambda$  the set of all indices  $\lambda$  such that  $l(N)e_{\lambda} \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Hom}(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Ae_{\lambda}, K)$  is projective.