- 9. S. G. Krein, and O. I. Prozorovskaya, An analogue of Seidel's method for operator equations, Voronez. Gos. Univ., Trudy Sem. Functional. Anal. 5 (1957), 35-38.
- 10. W. V. Petryshyn, The generalized overrelaxation method for the approximate solution of operator equations in Hilbert space, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. 10 (1962), 675-690.
- 11. S. Schechter, Relaxation methods for linear equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 313-335.
- 12. R. S. Varga, A comparison of the successive overrelaxation method and semiiterative methods using Chebyshev polynomials, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. 5 (1957), 39-46
- 13. D. Young, Iterative methods for solving partial difference equations of elliptic type, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1954), 91-111.

New York University

NOTE ON A NONLINEAR VOLTERRA EQUATION

J. J. LEVIN¹ AND J. A. NOHEL²

1. Introduction. We investigate the solutions of

(1.1)
$$x'(t) = -\int_0^t a(t-\tau)g(x(\tau))d\tau \qquad \left(' = \frac{d}{dt}\right)$$

as $t \to \infty$, where a(t) is completely monotonic on $0 \le t < \infty$ and where g(x) is a (nonlinear) spring. Under this hypothesis, (1.1) was shown in [2] to be relevant to certain physical applications and results were obtained there for the linear case $g(x) \equiv x$. (If $a(t) \equiv a(0)$, then (1.1) reduces to the nonlinear oscillator x'' + a(0)g(x) = 0.) Equation (1.1) was studied in [1] under less hypothesis on a(t). However, while the result is weaker than that of [1], the present approach draws together such different notions of positivity as Liapounov functions, completely monotonic functions, and kernels of positive type. It also provides a new Liapounov function for (1.1). Specifically, we prove the

THEOREM. Let a(t) and g(x) satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad a(t) \in C[0, \infty), (-1)^k a^{(k)}(t) \ge 0 \ (0 < t < \infty; k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots),$$

$$(1.3) \quad g(x) \in C(-\infty, \infty), \quad xg(x) > 0 \quad (x \neq 0), \quad G(x) = \int_0^x g(\xi)d\xi \to \infty$$
$$(\mid x \mid \to \infty).$$

Presented to the Society, January 24, 1963; received by the editors September 6, 1962

¹ MIT Lincoln Laboratory. Operated with support from the U. S. Army, Navy, and Air Force.

² Partially supported by the National Science Foundation (G-19925).

If $a(t) \not\equiv a(0)$ and if u(t) is any solution of (1.1) which exists on $0 \le t < \infty$, then

(1.4)
$$\lim_{t \to 0} u^{(j)}(t) = 0 \qquad (j = 0, 1, 2).$$

In [1] only k = 0, 1, 2, 3 is required in the analogue of (1.2), rather than complete monotonicity. The Liapounov function used there was

(1.5)
$$E(t) = G(u(t)) + \frac{1}{2} a(t) \left[\int_0^t g(u(\tau)) d\tau \right]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t a'(t-\tau) \left[\int_\tau^t g(u(s)) ds \right]^2 d\tau \ge 0.$$

In (1.5) and the sequel u(t) is the solution of (1.1) on $0 \le t < \infty$ being considered. Remarks concerning existence, uniqueness, as well as background information and references, may be found in [1].

2. **Positivity.** In this section we motivate the hypothesis (1.2) and also obtain the Liapounov function. Suppose that the origin of the problem is such that $a(t) \ge 0$, $a(t) \in C[0, \infty)$, $a'(t) \in L_1(0, T)$ for each $0 < T < \infty$, and (1.3) are all required. Then clearly

$$(2.1) \quad V(t) = G(u(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t a(\tau + s) g(u(t - \tau)) g(u(t - s)) d\tau ds$$

is nonnegative if the second term is. (From (1.1) and (1.3), V(t) may be interpreted as the sum of a potential and kinetic energy.)

The second (or kinetic) term of V(t) will be nonnegative if it is assumed that $a(\tau+s)$ is a kernel of positive type [3, p. 270] on the square $0 < \tau$, s < t for each $0 < t < \infty$. By a theorem of Boas and Widder [3, pp. 273-275], this will be the case if and only if

(2.2)
$$a(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp[-\xi t] d\alpha(\xi) \qquad (0 < t < \infty),$$

where $\alpha(\xi)$ is nondecreasing on $-\infty < \xi < \infty$ (and may be assumed normalized: $\alpha(0) = 0$, $\alpha(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha(\xi+) + \alpha(\xi-)]$).

Differentiating (2.1) yields

$$(2.3) V'(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^t a'(\tau+s)g(u(t-\tau))g(u(t-s))d\tau ds,$$

where we have used the above assumptions, (1.1), the absolutely continuity of a(t) on $0 < \epsilon \le t \le T < \infty$ implied by (2.2), and an obvious change of variables. If V(t) is to serve as a Liapounov function for

(1.1), then V'(t) must be nonpositive. By (2.3) the latter will be assured if $-a'(\tau+s)$ is a kernel of positive type on the square $0 < \tau$, s < t for each $0 < t < \infty$. However, this is compatible with (2.2) if and only if $\alpha(-\infty) = \alpha(0-)$. Thus, also using $a(t) \in C[0, \infty)$, one has

(2.4)
$$a(t) = \int_0^\infty \exp[-\xi t] d\alpha(\xi) \qquad (0 \le t < \infty),$$

where $\alpha(\infty) < \infty$. By a theorem of S. Bernstein [3, p. 160], (1.2) and (2.4) are equivalent.

Having motivated the hypothesis (1.2) and obtained a Liapounov function V(t), it is interesting to compare the two Liapounov functions (1.5) and (2.1). It is obvious that if $a(t) \equiv a(0)$, then $E(t) \equiv V(t)$ ($0 \le t < \infty$). We now prove a strong form of the converse statement. In particular, if $u(0) \ne 0$ and if $E(t) \equiv V(t)$ ($0 \le t \le t_0$) for some $0 < t_0 < \infty$, then $a(t) \equiv a(0)$ ($0 \le t < \infty$). For this one need only assume that $a(t) \in C[0, \infty)$, $(-1)^k a^{(k)}(t) \ge 0$ ($0 < t < \infty$; k = 0, 1, 2), $g(x) \in C(-\infty, \infty)$, and g(x) = 0 implies x = 0. Direct calculations show that (1.5) and (2.1) may also be written as

$$E(t) = G(u(t)) + \int_0^t g(u(\tau)) \left\{ \int_{\tau}^t a(t - \tau)g(u(s))ds \right\} d\tau,$$

$$V(t) = G(u(t)) + \int_0^t g(u(\tau)) \left\{ \int_{\tau}^t a(2t - \tau - s)g(u(s))ds \right\} d\tau.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^t g(\boldsymbol{u}(\tau)) \left\{ \int_{\tau}^t \left[a(t-\tau) - a(2t-\tau-s) \right] g(\boldsymbol{u}(s)) ds \right\} d\tau \equiv 0$$

$$(2.5)$$

$$(0 \le t \le t_0).$$

From the hypothesis on a(t) one has $a(t-\tau)-a(2t-\tau-s)\geq 0$ for $0\leq \tau$, $s\leq t$. As $u(0)\neq 0$, there exists by continuity and the hypothesis on g(x) a $0< t_1\leq t_0$ such that $g(u(t))\neq 0$ for $0\leq t\leq t_1$. It is now clear from (2.5) that $a(t-\tau)\equiv a(2t-\tau-s)$ $(0\leq \tau\leq s\leq t\leq t_1)$. The latter together with $a(t)\in C[0, \infty)$ easily implies $a(t)\equiv a(0)$ $(0\leq t\leq 2t_1)$. Hence $a'(t)\equiv 0$ $(0\leq t\leq 2t_1)$. However, as -a'(t), $a''(t)\geq 0$ it follows that $a'(t)\equiv 0$ $(0\leq t<\infty)$ so that $a(t)\equiv a(0)$ $(0\leq t<\infty)$, which proves the assertion.

3. Proof of the Theorem. Let a(t), V(t) be given by (2.4), (2.1) respectively. Define

(3.1)
$$\Gamma(\xi, t) = \int_0^t \exp[-\xi(t-\tau)]g(u(\tau))d\tau \quad (0 \le \xi, t < \infty).$$

Then

(3.2)
$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t}(\xi,t) = g(u(t)) - \xi \Gamma(\xi,t) \qquad (0 \le \xi,t < \infty).$$

Clearly $\Gamma(\xi, t)$, $\Gamma_t(\xi, t)$ are bounded functions of ξ on $0 \le \xi < \infty$ for each fixed t in $0 \le t < \infty$. Hence, by Fubini's theorem, one may write (1.1) (with u(t) replacing x(t)), (2.1) as

$$(3.3) u'(t) = -\int_0^\infty \Gamma(\xi, t) d\alpha(\xi) (0 \le t < \infty),$$

$$(3.4) V(t) = G(u(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^2(\xi, t) d\alpha(\xi) \ge 0 (0 \le t < \infty)$$

respectively and, moreover,

$$(3.5) V'(t) = -\int_0^\infty \xi \Gamma^2(\xi, t) d\alpha(\xi) \leq 0 (0 \leq t < \infty).$$

From (3.4), (3.5) one has

$$G(u(t)) \leq V(t) \leq V(0) = G(u_0) \qquad (0 \leq t < \infty),$$

where $u_0 = u(0)$. It follows from (1.3) that

$$(3.6) | u(t) | \leq K < \infty (0 \leq t < \infty).$$

In (3.6) and subsequent formulas $K = K(u_0) < \infty$, where K may vary from formula to formula, and $K(u_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $u_0 \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$(3.7) \mid \Gamma(\xi,t) \mid \leq Kt, \mid \xi\Gamma(\xi,t) \mid \leq K, \mid \Gamma_t(\xi,t) \mid \leq K \ (0 \leq \xi,t < \infty).$$

Differentiating (3.3) (using Fubini's theorem) yields

$$(3.8) u''(t) = -g(u(t))\alpha(\infty) + \int_0^\infty \xi \Gamma(\xi, t) d\alpha(\xi) (0 \le t < \infty),$$

which together with (1.3), (3.6), and (3.7) implies

$$|u''(t)| \leq K \qquad (0 \leq t < \infty).$$

By (3.6), (3.9), and the mean value theorem one has

$$(3.10) |u'(t)| \leq K (0 \leq t < \infty).$$

From (3.2), (3.5) there results

$$V''(t) = -2g(u(t)) \int_0^\infty \xi \Gamma(\xi, t) d\alpha(\xi) + 2 \int_0^\infty \xi^2 \Gamma^2(\xi, t) d\alpha(\xi)$$

$$(0 \le t < \infty),$$

so that by (1.3), (3.6), (3.7) one has $|V''(t)| \le K$ on $0 \le t < \infty$. The latter together with (3.4), (3.5), and the mean value theorem implies (see Lemma 1 of [1])

(3.11)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty} V'(t) = -\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_0^\infty \xi \Gamma^2(\xi,t) d\alpha(\xi) = 0.$$

We assert that there exists a $\xi_1 > 0$ such that $\Gamma(\xi_1, t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Suppose not and let $0 < \xi_0 < \infty$. Then there exist a $\lambda = \lambda(\xi_0) > 0$ and a sequence $\{t_n = t_n(\xi_0)\}$, where $t_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, such that $|\Gamma(\xi_0, t_n)| \ge \lambda$. From (3.1) one has

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \xi}(\xi,t) = -\int_0^t \exp\left[-\xi(t-\tau)\right](t-\tau)g(u(\tau))d\tau \quad (0 \le \xi, t < \infty).$$

Let $\delta = \min(\xi_0/2, \ \lambda \xi_0^2/8K_1)$, $I_{\xi_0} = \{\xi \mid |\xi - \xi_0| \le \delta\}$, where $|g(u(t))| \le K_1$ on $0 \le t < \infty$. Then by the mean value theorem and (3.12) one obtains $|\Gamma(\xi, t_n) - \Gamma(\xi_0, t_n)| \le \lambda/2$ $(\xi \in I_{\xi_0})$ so that, as $|\Gamma(\xi_0, t_n)| \ge \lambda$, $|\Gamma(\xi, t_n)| \ge \lambda/2$ $(\xi \in I_{\xi_0})$. Hence

$$\int_0^\infty \xi \Gamma^2(\xi, t_n) d\alpha(\xi) \ge \int_{I_{\xi_0}} \xi \Gamma^2(\xi, t_n) d\alpha(\xi)$$

$$\ge \frac{\lambda^2 \xi_0}{\varrho} \left[\alpha(\xi_0 + \delta) - \alpha(\xi_0 - \delta) \right] \ge 0,$$

which with (3.11) yields $\alpha(\xi_0 + \delta) = \alpha(\xi_0 - \delta)$. As this is true for each $0 < \xi_0 < \infty$, it follows that $\alpha(0+) = \alpha(\infty)$ which contradicts $a(t) \neq a(0)$. Thus, there exists a $\xi_1 > 0$ with the asserted property.

Let $f(t) = \exp[-\xi_1 t]$, p(t) = g(u(t)) for $0 \le t < \infty$ and $f(t) = p(t) \equiv 0$ for $-\infty < t < 0$. From the preceding paragraph one has

(3.13)
$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\Gamma(\xi_1,t)=\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}f(t-\tau)p(\tau)d\tau=0.$$

By applying Pitt's form of Wiener's tauberian theorem [3, p. 211] to (3.13), we now show that $p(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. (A longer elementary argument could also be used here.) Clearly, $f \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ and its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(s) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}(\xi_1 + is)^{-1} \neq 0$ for $-\infty < s < \infty$. As $|p(t)| \leq K$ $(-\infty < t < \infty)$, there remains only to show that p(t) is a slowly decreasing function in $(-\infty, \infty)$. For this it suffices to show

that $p(t_i) - p(s_i) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ if $\{t_i\}$, $\{s_i\}$ are any sequences satisfying $t_i > s_i > 0$ and $s_i \to \infty$, $t_i - s_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. However, from (1.3), (3.6), (3.10), the mean value theorem, and uniform continuity, it is clear that $g(u(t_i)) - g(u(s_i)) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$ for such sequences. Thus, ${}^{g}p(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, which together with (1.3) and (3.6) yields $u(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ (i.e., (1.4, j = 0)).

From (1.4, j=0) and (3.9) one has (1.4, j=1) by the mean value theorem. From (1.4, j=0) and (3.1) it is an elementary exercise to show that $\xi\Gamma(\xi,t)\to 0$ as $t\to\infty$ uniformly with respect to ξ on $0\le \xi<\infty$. This together with (1.4, j=0), (1.3), and (3.8) implies (1.4, j=2), which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. J. Levin, The asymptotic behavior of the solution of a Volterra equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 534-541.
- 2. J. J. Levin, and J. A. Nohel, On a system of integrodifferential equations occurring in reactor dynamics, J. Math. Mech. 9 (1960), 347–368.
- 3. D. V. Widder, The Laplace transform, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1946.

LINCOLN LABORATORY AND UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN