

## MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONALS OF A MARKOV PROCESS

R. K. GETOOR AND J. W. WOLL, JR.

The present note may best be viewed as an addendum to Meyer's important paper [2]. As such we refer the reader to [2] for all notations, definitions, etc. In particular  $\{X_t\}$  will always denote a temporally homogeneous Markov process with state space  $X$  (locally compact, separable) satisfying Hunt's hypothesis (A). See [1] or [2].

Let  $\{M_t\}$  be a normalized multiplicative functional of  $\{X_t\}$  [2, p. 136 and p. 141], then Meyer [2, p. 153] has obtained the following very important result:  $\{M_t\}$  has the strong Markov property, that is, for each stopping time  $T$  and random variable  $R \geq 0$  one has

$$(1.1) \quad M_{R+T}(\omega) = M_T(\omega) M_R(\theta_T \omega)$$

a.s.,  $P^x$  for each  $x$  in  $X$ . Unfortunately Meyer's proof contains a slight gap (in the proof of Theorem 4.2 on p. 152 of [2]) and the result is not valid without additional assumptions on  $\{M_t\}$  as the following example shows. Let  $\{X_t\}$  be one-dimensional Brownian motion (so that  $X$  is the real line) and define  $M_t(\omega) = 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$  if  $X_0(\omega) \neq 0$  and  $M_t(\omega) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  if  $X_0(\omega) = 0$ . It is easy to see that this defines a multiplicative functional of  $\{X_t\}$  since  $P^x(X_t = 0) = 0$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $x$  in  $X$ . On the other hand if  $T$  is the first passage time to 0 it is immediate that (1.1) is not valid.

We state the following criterion for the strong Markov property.

**THEOREM.** *The normalized multiplicative functional  $\{M_t\}$  has the strong Markov property if and only if for every stopping time  $T$  and  $x$  in  $X$ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad P^x[X_T \in N, M_T > 0] = 0,$$

where  $N$  is the (universally measurable) set of nonpermanent points of  $\{M_t\}$ , i.e.,  $N = \{x: P^x(M_0 = 0) = 1\}$ .

**PROOF.** The sufficiency may be established exactly as in [2], the condition (1.2) being just the condition necessary to make the proof of Theorem 4.2 [2, p. 152] valid. (One can give a much simpler proof of the sufficiency using resolvents instead of semi-groups.) To prove the necessity let  $R = \inf\{t: M_t = 0\}$ , then  $R$  is a stopping time and the right continuity of  $\{M_t\}$  implies that  $P^x(M_R > 0, R < \infty) = 0$  for all  $x$ . Let  $T$  be any stopping time and consider the random variable

---

Received by the editors May 31, 1962 and, in revised form, November 19, 1962.

$H(\omega) = T(\omega) + R(\theta_T \omega)$ . If  $x$  is fixed the strong Markov property yields

$$E^x[M_H, H < \infty] \leq E^x[M_T E^{X(T)}(M_R, R < \infty)] = 0.$$

Thus  $H = T + R(\theta_T) \geq R$  on  $\{H < \infty\}$  and so  $T + R(\theta_T) \geq R$ , both statements holding a.s.,  $P^x$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P^x[X_T \in N, M_T > 0] &= P^x[X_T \in N, T < R] \\ &\leq E^x[P^{X(T)}(R > 0), X_T \in N] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $P^y(R > 0) = 0$  for all  $y$  in  $N$ .

If  $T = \inf \{t > 0, X_t \in N\}$  is a stopping time, then (1.2) can be replaced by  $P^x(M_T > 0, T < \infty) = 0$  for all  $x$ . This is the case if  $N$  is nearly analytic. The multiplicative functional defined in the second paragraph gives an example of a nonperfect multiplicative functional since any perfect multiplicative functional has the strong Markov property. (See [2, p. 136] for the definition of a perfect multiplicative functional.) As an example of a strongly Markov multiplicative functional for which the corresponding semi-group  $\{Q_t\}$  is not exactly subordinate to  $\{P_t\}$  (the semi-group of  $\{X_t\}$ ), let  $\{X_t\}$  be translation to right along the real line at unit speed. Put  $M_t(\omega) = 0$  if  $t + X_0(\omega) \geq 0$  and  $X_0(\omega) \leq 0$ , and  $M_t(\omega) = 1$  otherwise. It is easily verified that this example has the desired properties.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. A. Hunt, *Markoff processes and potentials*. I, Illinois J. Math. 1 (1957), 44-93.
2. P. A. Meyer, *Fonctionnelles multiplicatives et additives du Markov*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 12 (1962), 125-230.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON