## COMMUTING VECTOR FIELDS ON S2

## ELON L. LIMA1

1. Introduction. The aim of this note is to prove Theorem B below. (See §2 for definitions.) The proof makes essential use of the Poincaré-Bendixon theorem [1, p. 391]. A continuous version of this classical theorem is also true. It follows, for instance, from Theorem 11 in [2]. This enables us to prove Theorem A below, which implies Theorem B.

THEOREM A. Every continuous action of the additive group  $R^2$  on the sphere  $S^2$  admits a fixed point.

COROLLARY. The same fact is true for the projective plane  $P^2$ , instead of  $S^2$ .

THEOREM B. Let X, Y be vector fields of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , on the sphere  $S^2$ , with  $[X, Y] \equiv 0$ . There exists a point  $p \in S^2$  such that X(p) = Y(p) = 0.

COROLLARY. The above theorem holds also for the projective plane  $P^2$ .

The same type of argument used to prove Theorem A, together with a simple induction procedure, will show that every continuous action of the additive group  $R^m$   $(m \ge 1)$  on  $S^2$  (and hence on  $P^2$ ) has a fixed point. Thus, any finite set  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  of pairwise commuting vector fields on  $S^2$  (or  $P^2$ ) has a common singularity.

Of course, there are differentiable actions of  $R^m$  without fixed points on the torus  $T^2$  and on the Klein bottle  $K^2$ , for every  $m \ge 1$ . The natural action of  $R^2$  on  $T^2$  has only one orbit, which has dimension 2. Every continuous nontrivial action of  $R^2$  on  $K^2$  must have at least one 1-dimensional orbit. There is one differentiable action of  $R^2$  on  $K^2$  with exactly two orbits or dimensions 2 and 1, respectively.

It seems plausible that every continuous action of  $R^2$  on a compact 2-manifold, other than  $T^2$  or  $K^2$ , must have a fixed point, but, this question is open at present.

Added in proof. Meanwhile, I have been able to prove this conjecture. See the Research Announcement in the Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. of May 1963, pp. 366-368.

I wish to thank Robert Ellis for interesting conversations.

Received by the editors November 12, 1962.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fellow of the Guggenheim Foundation. Work done under partial support of the National Science Foundation.

2. Definitions and proof that A implies B. Let T be an additive group and M a set. An action of T on M is a map  $\phi: T \times M \to M$  such that, writing  $\phi(t, p) = \phi_t(p)$ , one has  $\phi_{t+t}(p) = \phi_t(\phi_t(p))$  and  $\phi_0(p) = p$ , for all  $s, t \in T$ ,  $p \in M$ , 0 = neutral element of T. Then, for each  $t \in T$ , the map  $\phi_t: M \to M$ , given by  $p \to \phi_t(p) = \phi(t, p)$ , is a 1-1 correspondence whose inverse is  $\phi_{-t}$ . When T is a topological group and M is a topological space, we talk about a continuous action  $\phi$ , meaning that  $\phi: T \times M \to M$  is continuous. Then, each  $\phi_t: M \to M$  is a homeomorphism. In case T is a Lie group and M is a differentiable manifold, there is the notion of a differentiable action; each  $\phi_t$  is then a diffeomorphism.

For example, let X be a vector field of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , on a compact differentiable manifold M. Integrating X, one obtains a map  $\xi \colon R \times M \to M$ , where  $\xi_s(p)$ , for every real s and every  $p \in M$ , is the point with parameter value s on the trajectory of X that starts at p. The map  $\xi$  defines a differentiable action of the additive group R on M [3, pp. 65, 66]. Conversely, given a differentiable action  $\xi$  of R on M, one obtains a vector field X on M, defined by X(p) = tangent vector, at t=0, of the parametrized curve  $t\to \xi_t(p)$ .

Let now Y be another vector field, of class  $C^k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , on M. Call  $\eta$  the action of R on M determined by Y. The Lie bracket [X, Y] is a vector field on M, for which the following well-known lemma holds.

LEMMA.  $[X, Y] \equiv 0$  on M if, and only if,  $\xi_{\bullet} \circ \eta_{\bullet} = \eta_{\bullet} \circ \xi_{\bullet}$  for all s,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Based on this fact, we say that two vector fields X, Y on M commute when their Lie bracket [X, Y] vanishes identically on M.

A pair of commuting vector fields on a compact differentiable manifold M yields a differentiable action of the additive group  $R^2$  on M. In fact, the two vector fields generate actions  $\xi$ ,  $\eta: R \times M \to M$ , and we define the action  $\phi: R^2 \times M \to M$  by  $\phi_r(p) = \xi_s(\eta_t(p)) = \eta_t(\xi_s(p))$ , for  $p \in M$ ,  $r = (s, t) \in R^2$ . Conversely, given a differentiable action  $\phi: R^2 \times M \to M$ , one obtains two commuting vector fields X, Y on M as follows. Let R' and R'', respectively, denote the x- and the y-axis of  $R^2$ . Let  $\xi = \phi \mid (R' \times M)$  and  $\eta = \phi \mid (R'' \times M)$  be the restrictions of the action  $\phi$ . Then  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are actions of the reals on M, which define, as above, the vector fields X, Y. These fields commute, by the preceding lemma.

The orbit of a point  $p \in M$  under a continuous action  $\phi: T \times M \to M$  is the set  $T(p) = \{\phi_i(p); i \in T\}$ . The point p will be called a fixed point of the action  $\phi$  when  $T(p) = \{p\}$ . Let  $\phi$  be the action of  $R^2$  generated by two differentiable vector fields on a compact differentiable manifold M. Then  $p \in M$  is a fixed point of  $\phi$  if, and only if, X(p)

= Y(p) = 0. This follows directly from the uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations.

We see, therefore, that Theorem A implies Theorem B.

A continuous action of  $R^2$  on M induces an action of T on M, for every subgroup  $T \subset R^2$ . Let R' be the x-axis and R'' be the y-axis in  $R^2$ . Then a point  $p \in M$  is fixed under  $R^2$  if, and only if, it is fixed simultaneously under the induced actions of R' and R'' on M. More generally, if p is fixed under the action of R'', then its orbit R'(p) consists entirely of fixed points of R''. Of course, all limit points of the orbit R'(p) will then be fixed under R'' too.

3. Proof of Theorem A. It is known that every continuous action of the reals on  $S^2$  has a fixed point. Let then  $p \in S^2$  be a fixed point under the y-axis R'', which acts on  $S^2$  as explained above. Consider the orbit R'(p). It consists entirely of fixed points under R'', and so does the set of limit points of this orbit. If there is a fixed point q of R' in the closure of R'(p), q will be fixed under R', R'' and hence under  $R^2$ , proving the theorem. If not, by Poincaré-Bendixon, the  $\omega$ -limit set of the orbit R'(p) is a simple closed curve C', in fact a closed orbit of R', whose points are all fixed under R''. The curve C' bounds a disc D' in  $S^2$ . Observe that D' is invariant under  $R^2$ , since C' is also an orbit under  $R^2$ . We shall now use transfinite induction to show that there exists a fixed point of  $R^2$  inside D'.

Consider the collection  $\mathfrak{D}$  of all closed discs D in  $S^2$ , such that the boundary  $C = \partial D$  is a closed orbit of R', whose points are all fixed under R''. The first part of the proof shows that  $\mathfrak D$  is not empty. Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be partially ordered by inclusion. Notice that for  $D_{\lambda} \neq D_{\mu}$  in  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $D_{\lambda} \subset D_{\mu}$  is the same as  $D_{\lambda} \subset \text{int } (D_{\mu})$ . By the Hausdorff maximal principle, let  $\{D_{\lambda}\}$  be a maximal chain in  $\mathfrak{D}$ , and write  $C_{\lambda} = \partial D_{\lambda}$ . Choose  $x \in \bigcap D_{\lambda}$  such that  $x = \lim x_n, x_n \in C_{\lambda(n)}$ . (In order to do this, take a net  $\{y_{\lambda}\}$  with  $y_{\lambda} \in C_{\lambda}$  and let  $x_n = y_{\lambda(n)}$  be a convergent subnet. Let  $x = \lim x_n$ . Since  $\{\lambda(n)\}$  is cofinal in  $\{\lambda\}$ , we have  $x \in \cap D_{\lambda}$ .) Then xis a fixed point of R'', and so is every point of the closure of its orbit Cl(R'(x)). Notice that  $Cl(R'(x)) \subset D_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda$ . We may assume that Cl(R'(x)) contains no fixed points of R'. Then, the limit set of R'(x) is  $C_0 \cup C_1$ , each  $C_i$  being a closed orbit of R', whose points are all fixed under R". Both  $C_0$ ,  $C_1$  are contained in all discs  $D_{\lambda}$ . Now, either  $C_0$ is disjoint of  $C_1$ , or  $C_0 = C_1 = R'(x)$ . Moreover, given  $\lambda$ , either  $C_i = C_{\lambda}$ or  $C_i \subset \operatorname{int}(D_{\lambda})$ . Suppose first  $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ . Then, it is easily seen that at least one of them, say  $C_0$ , is contained in the interior of all discs  $D_{\lambda}$ . So  $C_0$  bounds a disc  $D_0$ , which belongs to  $\mathfrak{D}$  and is properly contained in all  $D_{\lambda}$ , thus contradicting the maximality of the chain  $\{D_{\lambda}\}$ .

Assume next the other case:  $C_0 = C_1$ . If  $C_0 = C_1 \subset \operatorname{int}(D_{\lambda})$  for all  $\lambda$ , we come to a contradiction exactly as above. Otherwise,  $C_0 = C_1 = C_{\lambda_0}$  for some  $\lambda_0$ , and then  $D_0 = D_{\lambda_0}$  is the smallest disc in the chain. The group R' acts on  $D_0$ , leaving its boundary  $C_0$  invariant, and has no fixed point on  $C_0$ . Then R' has a fixed point y inside  $D_0$ . In the  $\omega$ -limit set of the orbit R''(y) we find a closed orbit  $\overline{C}$  of R'', pointwise fixed under R', and, finally, inside  $\overline{C}$ , by the same argument, there is a closed orbit of R', pointwise fixed under R'', which will provide a contradiction against the maximality of the chain  $\{D_{\lambda}\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem A.

COROLLARY. Every continuous action of  $R^2$  on the projective plane  $P^2$  has a fixed point.

PROOF. In fact, it is a simple exercise in covering space theory to see that every action of a simply connected topological group G on a space X can be covered uniquely by a continuous action of G on any covering space of X. So, given an action of  $R^2$  on  $P^2$ , it can be covered by an action of  $R^2$  on  $S^2$ , which has a fixed point. The projection of this fixed point will be fixed under the original action on  $P^2$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. E. Coddington and N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- 2. W. Kaplan, Regular curve-families filling the plane. I, Duke Math. J. 7 (1940), 154-185.
  - 3. S. Lang, Introduction to differentiable manifolds, Interscience, New York, 1962.

Institute for Advanced Study and Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil