

# A THEOREM ON MAXIMUM MODULUS<sup>1</sup>

ANATOLE BECK

**Introduction.** If  $D$  is a domain in the plane of complex numbers, then every analytic function achieves its maximum modulus only at the boundary. We phrase this by asserting that if  $f$  is analytic in  $D$ , and  $f$  is not constant, and if  $x \in \overline{D}$  has the property that

$$\limsup_z |f| = \sup_D |f|,$$

then  $x \in \delta(D)$ , the boundary of  $D$ . If  $x$  is the only point for which the above identity holds, then  $x$  is the *peak point* for  $f$  in  $D$ . If  $x$  is the peak point for a bounded analytic function in  $D$ , then  $x$  is a *peak point* of  $D$ . We are interested in knowing which boundary points of  $D$  can be peak points.

W. Rudin [1] defines a boundary point  $x$  of a domain  $D$  as a *removable boundary point* if every function bounded and analytic in  $D$  can be continued at  $x$ . All boundary points which are not removable are *essential*.

We shall show that a point is a peak point of  $D$  if and only if it is an essential boundary point.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $D$  is a simply connected domain and  $x \in \delta(D)$  is linearly accessible from the interior, then there is a schlicht mapping  $f$  of  $D$  into the open unit disc such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow x} f(z) = 1$  and  $\limsup_{\xi} |f| < 1$  if  $\xi \in \delta(D)$ ,  $\xi \neq x$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $(x, x + \alpha]$  be a line segment contained in  $D$ . Then the function

$$f(z) = g^{-1}(2 + 4(\alpha^{-1}(z - x))^{1/2}),$$

where  $g(z) = z + 1/z$ ,  $|z| < 1$ , is a schlicht function with the properties:

1.  $\lim_{z \rightarrow x} f(z) = 1$ ,

2.  $|x - y| > \epsilon, y \in D \Rightarrow 1 - |f(y)| > \eta = \eta(\epsilon, D) > 0$ ,

as we shall show. Let  $x_i \rightarrow x$ ,  $x_i \in D$  and  $|y - x| > \epsilon$ . If we examine the mapping  $z \rightarrow f_1(z) = \alpha^{-1}(z - x)$ , it is a linear mapping taking  $[x, x + \alpha]$  onto  $[0, 1]$ .  $\alpha^{-1}(x_i - x) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|f_1(y) - 0| > \epsilon/|\alpha|$ . Since  $D$ , and thus  $f_1(D)$ , is simply connected, we can apply a square root, with  $(+1)^{1/2} = +1$ , and get  $f_2(z) = (f_1(z))^{1/2}$ ,  $(\alpha^{-1}(x_i - x))^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$ , and

---

Received by the editors February 13, 1963.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the University of Wisconsin under contract No. AF 49(638)-868 with the Air Force Office of Scientific Research.

$$|f_2(y) - 0| = |(f_1(y))^{1/2}| = (|f_1(y) - 0|)^{1/2} > \left(\frac{\epsilon}{|\alpha|}\right)^{1/2} = \epsilon_1.$$

We note that each point of  $(0, 1]$  is an interior point of  $f_2(D)$ , so that each point of  $[-1, 0)$  is an exterior point of  $f_2(D)$ . Thus,  $\text{Cl}[f_2(D)]$  meets  $[-1, 0]$  only at 0. Set  $\eta_1 = \eta_1(\epsilon, D) = d([-1, 0], f_2(D) - N(0, \epsilon_1)) > 0$ . Then  $d(f_2(y), [-1, 0]) \geq \eta_1$ . Setting  $f_3(z) = 2 + 4(f_2(z))$ , we have  $f_3(x_i) \rightarrow 2$  and  $d(f_3(y), [-2, 2]) \geq 4\eta_1$ . We now observe that for our function  $g$ ,  $g(z) \rightarrow 2$  iff  $z \rightarrow 1$  and  $|z| \leq 1 - \epsilon \Rightarrow d(g(z), [-2, 2]) > \epsilon^2$ .<sup>2</sup> Thus  $f(x_i) = g^{-1}(f_3(x_i)) = g^{-1}(2 + 4(\alpha^{-1}(x_i - x))^{1/2}) \rightarrow 1$  and  $|f(y)| = |g^{-1}(f_3(y))| < 1 - (4\eta_1)^{1/2}$  so that  $1 - |f(y)| > (4\eta_1)^{1/2} = \eta(\epsilon, D) > 0$ , as promised.

LEMMA 2. *The set of peak points of any domain is closed.*

PROOF. Let  $a_i$  be the peak point of  $f_i$  in  $D$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let  $a_i \rightarrow a$ . We assume that  $\sup_D |f_i| = 1$ . Let  $N_i$  be a neighborhood of  $a_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , with  $\text{diam}(N_i) \rightarrow 0$  and pairwise disjoint. Since  $a_i$  is a peak point of  $f_i$ ,  $f_i$  is bounded away from 1 off  $N_i$ , so that for an appropriate integer  $m_i$ , we have

$$\sup_{z \in D - N_i} |f_i^{m_i}(z)| < 4^{-i}.$$

Set  $g_i(z) = f_i^{m_i}(z)$ . We will now generate (inductively) a sequence  $\{b_i\}$  such that

1.  $|b_i| \leq 2$ ,
2.  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i$  is bounded and analytic in  $D$ ,
3.  $\sup_{N_n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i g_i \right| = 2 - 1/n$ .

We take  $b_1 = 1$ . Given  $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}$ , we find  $b_n$  as follows:

Set  $h_n(\xi) = \sup_{N_n} |b_1 g_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} g_{n-1} + \xi \cdot g_n|$ ,  $|\xi| \leq 2$ . Then  $h_n(0) = \sup_{N_n} |b_1 g_1 + \dots + b_{n-1} g_{n-1}| < \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2 \cdot 4^{-i} < 2/3$ . We now choose a point  $y_n$  such that  $g_n(y_n) > 1 - (1/2n)$ , and set

$$c_n = 2 \frac{b_1 g_1(y_n) + \dots + b_{n-1} g_{n-1}(y_n)}{g_n(y_n)} \cdot \left| \frac{g_n(y_n)}{b_1 g_1(y_n) + \dots + b_{n-1} g_{n-1}(y_n)} \right|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(c_n) &\geq |b_1 g_1(y_n) + \dots + b_{n-1} g_{n-1}(y_n) + c_n g_n(y_n)| \\ &\geq |c_n g_n(y_n)| > 2 - \frac{1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup> This is because the image under  $g^{-1}$  of the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = c^2$  is the ellipse  $(u^2/a^2) + (v^2/b^2) = 1$ , where  $a = c + 1/c$ ,  $b = c - 1/c$ . If  $c = 1 - \epsilon$  then the image of  $x^2 + y^2 < (1 - \epsilon)^2$  is the exterior of the indicated ellipse. The closest approach of this set to the line  $[-2, 2]$  is at the vertex, where the distance is  $\epsilon^2/(1 - \epsilon)$ .

since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i g_i(y_n)$  and  $c_n g_n(y_n)$  have the same argument. Since  $h_n(0) < 2/3 < 2 - 1/n < h_n(c_n)$ , there is a point  $b_n$  in the disc  $|z| \leq 2$  such that  $h_n(b_n) = 2 - 1/n$ . For  $z \in N_n$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i(z) \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i g_i(z) \right| + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} |b_i| \cdot |g_i(z)| \\ &< 2 - \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 2 \cdot 4^{-i} = 2 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot 4^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sup_{z \in N_n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i(z) \right| > 2 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot 4^{-n}.$$

For  $z \in D - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i(z) \right| < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2 \cdot 4^{-i} = 2/3.$$

Thus  $\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i(z) \right| < 2$  for all  $z \in D$ . If  $K$  is a compact subset of  $D$ , then  $K$  meets only finitely many  $N_i$ , so that the series converges uniformly and absolutely on  $K$ . Thus  $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i(z)$  is analytic. Finally, it is clear that  $|f(z)|$  is close to 2 only inside  $N_i$ , for large  $i$ , that is, only around  $a$ .

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $D$  is any domain, the boundary points linearly accessible from the interior are dense in  $\delta(D)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $x \in \delta(D)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $y \in D$ ,  $|y - x| < \epsilon$ . Let  $x_1$  be the point of  $\delta(D) \cap [x, y]$  lying nearest to  $y$ . Then  $|x - x_1| < \epsilon$  and  $x_1$  is linearly accessible.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $D$  is simply connected, and its boundary consists of more than one point, then every boundary point is a peak point.*

**PROOF.** Direct consequence of Lemmas 1, 2, 3.

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $x \in \delta(D)$  and the component of  $x$  in  $D'$ ,  $K(x) \neq \{x\}$ , then  $x$  is a peak point of  $D$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $y \in K(x)$ ,  $y \neq x$ . Let  $K_1$  be a sub-continuum of  $K(x)$ , containing  $y$  but not containing  $x$ . There is a conformal mapping  $\phi$  of  $K_1'$  onto the open unit disc, and  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism around  $x$ . Then  $\phi(K(x)')$  is a simply connected domain, and each linearly accessible point of  $\delta(\phi(K(x)'))$  is a peak point of the kind described in Lemma 1. If we now restrict our functions to  $\phi(D)$ , each of these points is still a peak point, though the point may no longer be linearly accessible. Now, by Lemma 2,  $x$  is a peak point.

LEMMA 5. If  $K(D)$  denotes the closure of the union of those components of  $\delta(D)$  which are not single points, then every point in  $K(D)$  is a peak point of  $D$ .

PROOF. Clear from Lemmas 4 and 2.

DEFINITION. A set  $S$  is a *Painlevé null set* (called a *p-null set*) if the algebra of bounded analytic functions on  $S'$  consists of the constants alone.

DEFINITION. A point  $x \in \delta(D)$  is called a *p-essential boundary point* if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $N(x, \epsilon) \cap \delta(D)$  is not a *p-null set*.

LEMMA 6. Let  $x \in \delta(D)$  and  $x \notin K(D)$ . Then if  $x$  is a *p-essential boundary point*,  $x$  is a peak point of  $D$ .

PROOF. Let  $N$  be a neighborhood of  $x$  in which  $\delta(D)$  is totally disconnected. Since the *p-essential boundary points* form a perfect set, let  $x_i \rightarrow x$  be a sequence of *p-essential boundary points*. Let  $N_i$  be a sequence of neighborhoods with  $N_i \subset N$ ,  $x_i \in N_i$ , no two  $N_i$  intersecting, and  $\text{diam}(N_i) \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $M_i$  be open with  $x_i \in M_i \subset \overline{M_i} \subset N_i$  for each  $i$ . Then  $K_i = \overline{M_i} \cap \delta(D)$  is not a *p-null set* and thus we can find  $f_i$  such that  $f_i$  is analytic on  $K_i'$  (including  $\infty$ ) and  $f_i$  is not constant. We can assume that  $\sup_{K_i'} |f_i| = 1$ . Then  $\sup_{N_i'} |f_i| < 1$ , so we can choose a sequence of integers  $m_i$  so that

$$\sup_{N_i'} |f_i^{m_i}| < 4^{-i}.$$

Set  $g_i(z) = f_i^{m_i}(z)$ . Since  $D'$  is nowhere dense in  $N_i$ ,  $\sup_D |g_i| = 1$ , and  $\sup_{D-N_i} |g_i| < 4^{-i}$ .

Using the same technique as in Lemma 2, we choose  $b_n$  so that

1.  $|b_n| \leq 2$ ,
2.  $\sup_{N_i} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i g_i \right| = 2 - 1/n$ ,
3.  $f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i g_i(z)$  is bounded and analytic in  $D$ .

Furthermore, we deduce that

$$2 - \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot 4^{-n} < \sup_{N_n} |f| < 2 - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{3} \cdot 4^{-n},$$

and  $\sup_{D-\cup_i N_i} |f| < 2/3$ . Thus,  $f$  has a peak at  $x$ .

THEOREM 2. If  $x$  is a *p-essential boundary point*, then  $x$  is a peak point.

PROOF. If  $x \in K(D)$ , this is true by Lemma 5; otherwise by Lemma 6.

THEOREM 3.  $x$  is a peak point iff  $x$  is an essential boundary point.

PROOF. By a theorem of W. Rudin [1],  $x$  is an essential boundary point iff  $x$  is a  $p$ -essential boundary point. From the remarks above, every  $x \in K(D)$  is a peak point of a function which has no limit at  $x$ . Thus, no point of  $K(D)$  is removable. If  $x \in \delta(D) - K(D)$  and  $x$  is removable, then each  $f$  is continuable there. Since  $\delta(D)$  is nowhere dense around  $x$ , this extension does not change the maximum modulus nor the  $\limsup$  at  $x$ . By the maximum modulus theorem,  $f(x)$  is not the maximum. By continuity,  $x$  is not a peak for any  $f$ .

It would be interesting to know when  $x$  is the peak point of a bounded analytic function  $f$  which has a limit at  $x$ , or whose modulus has a limit at  $x$ . It is clear from previous remarks that every essential boundary point is the peak point of a function which does *not* have these limits. This question is open.

#### REFERENCE

1. W. Rudin, *Some theorems on bounded analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 333-342.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN