

SHORTER NOTES

The purpose of this department is to publish very short papers of an unusually elegant and polished character, for which there is normally no other outlet.

A SIMPLE PROOF OF JACOBI'S TRIPLE PRODUCT IDENTITY

GEORGE E. ANDREWS

Bellman remarks in [1, p. 42] that there are no simple proofs known of the complete triple product identity

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \{(1 - x^{2n+2})(1 + x^{2n+1}z)(1 + x^{2n+1}z^{-1})\} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} z^n$$

with $z \neq 0$ and $|x| < 1$.

However the two identities of Euler,

$$(E1) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^n z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n(n-1)/2} z^n}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^n)}, \quad |x| < 1,$$

and

$$(E2) \quad \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^n z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^n}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^n)}, \quad |x| < 1, |z| < 1,$$

are rather easily established [1, p. 49]. It does not seem to have been noticed that Jacobi's triple product identity follows simply from Euler's identities.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2n+1}z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n^2} z^n}{(1-x^2) \cdots (1-x^{2n})} \quad (\text{by (E1)}) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n^2} z^n \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n+2+2i})}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2j+2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2j+2})} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} z^n \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2n+2+2j}) \end{aligned}$$

(all terms of the sum with negative n are zero)

Received by the editors September 2, 1964.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2j+2})} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} z^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m x^{m^2+m+2nm}}{(1-x^2) \cdots (1-x^{2m})} \quad (\text{by (E1)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2j+2})} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m (xz^{-1})^m}{(1-x^2) \cdots (1-x^{2m})} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{(n+m)^2} z^{n+m} \\
&= \frac{1}{\prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^{2j+2})} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{2j+1} z^{-1})^{-1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} z^n
\end{aligned}$$

(using (E2) and replacing $n+m$ by n in the inner sum).

The above argument is valid provided $|x| < |z|$. The complete result for all nonzero z follows by analytic continuation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Bellman, *A brief introduction to theta functions*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1961.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY