

STATIONARY MEASURES FOR BRANCHING PROCESSES

J. F. C. KINGMAN

Consider a Galton-Watson branching process of the type studied in [1]. The generating function of the family size distribution will be denoted by

$$f(s) = p_0 + p_1s + p_2s^2 + \cdots,$$

and we shall assume without further comment that $0 < p_0 < 1$. Harris [1, §11] has shown that the process admits a *stationary measure*, i.e., a set of non-negative numbers π_j ($j = 1, 2, \cdots$) satisfying

$$(1) \quad \pi_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i P_{ij} \quad (j = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

where P_{ij} is the probability that, if there are i individuals in one generation, there are j in the next. He has also shown that, if $\{\pi_j\}$ is any non-negative solution of (1), the generating function

$$(2) \quad \pi(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j s^j$$

exists in $|s| < q$ (where q is the probability of extinction), and that, if $\{\pi_j\}$ is normalised so that

$$(3) \quad \pi(p_0) = 1,$$

then $\pi(s)$ satisfies Abel's functional equation

$$(4) \quad \pi[f(s)] = \pi(s) + 1 \quad (|s| < q).$$

Conversely, if a solution $\pi(s)$ of (4) admits a power-series expansion (2) with non-negative coefficients, then the π_j form a stationary measure.

Harris has conjectured that the stationary measure for any Galton-Watson process is unique up to a constant multiplicative factor, or, equivalently, that there is exactly one stationary measure satisfying (3). The purpose of this note is to provide a counterexample to this conjecture.

Let ω be any entire function, not identically zero, which has period 1, and satisfies $\omega(0) = 0$. It follows from a well-known property of the equation (4) first noticed by Abel (cf. [1, §11.4]) that, if $\{\pi_j\}$ is a

Received by the editors November 14, 1963.

stationary measure, normalised by (3), and if a is any real number, then the function $\pi^a(s)$ given by

$$\pi^a(s) = \pi(s) + a\omega[\pi(s)]$$

satisfies (4). Moreover, $\omega[\pi(s)]$ admits a power-series expansion

$$(5) \quad \omega[\pi(s)] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_j s^j$$

in $|s| < q$. If it should happen that

$$(6) \quad \chi_j = O(\pi_j),$$

then, for all sufficiently small a , the coefficients $\pi_j + a\chi_j$ in the expansion of $\pi^a(s)$ are non-negative, and so form a normalised stationary measure which, for $a \neq 0$, is distinct from $\{\pi_j\}$. Thus, if (6) holds, then the stationary measure is not unique (even up to constant multiples).

Now consider the simple case

$$(7) \quad f(s) = q/(1 + q - s),$$

where $q < 1$ is the probability of extinction and the mean family size is $m = 1/q > 1$. For this process a normalised stationary measure is given by

$$(8) \quad \pi_j = (m^j - 1)/j \log m,$$

with

$$(9) \quad \pi(s) = \log \left(\frac{1-s}{1-ms} \right) / \log m.$$

Then

$$\chi_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \omega \left\{ c \log \left(\frac{1-s}{1-ms} \right) \right\} \frac{ds}{s^{j+1}},$$

where $c = 1/\log m$ and the contour of integration goes once (anti-clockwise) round the origin in $|s| < q$. The integrand is single-valued and regular in the closed complex plane cut along a straight line from q to 1. Moreover, since the imaginary part of $\log[(1-s)/(1-ms)]$ is bounded in this region, and since ω has a real period, the function

$$\omega \{ c \log [(1-s)/(1-ms)] \}$$

is bounded. Hence we may deform the contour of integration into

one which goes from q to 1 above the cut and from 1 to q below it. Then

$$\chi_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_q^1 \Omega(x) \frac{dx}{x^{j+1}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x) = & \omega\{c \log [(1-x)/(mx-1)] - ic\pi\} \\ & - \omega\{c \log [(1-x)/(mx-1)] + ic\pi\} \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. Hence, if $|\Omega(x)| \leq M$,

$$|\chi_j| \leq \frac{M}{2\pi} \int_q^1 \frac{dx}{x^{j+1}} = \frac{M}{2\pi} \pi_j,$$

so that (6) is satisfied.

Thus, when $f(s)$ is given by (7), the stationary measure is not unique (even up to constant multiples). In fact, we can say more than this. Since, for any integer k , an admissible choice of $\omega(s)$ is $\sin(2\pi ks)$, it follows that the convex cone of stationary measures is infinite-dimensional.

A similar result can be proved for any generating function $f(s)$ of the "fractional linear" type in which the mean family size m is not equal to 1. This is in contrast to the case $m=1$, for which it is proved in [1] that the (normalised) stationary measure is unique.

I am grateful to Dr. T. E. Harris for allowing me to see parts of [1] prior to publication.

REFERENCE

1. T. E. Harris, *The theory of branching processes*, Springer, Berlin, 1963.

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE