

ON POWERS OF REAL NUMBERS (mod 1)

DAVID G. CANTOR

Suppose λ and θ are real numbers, $\lambda > 0$ and $\theta > 1$. Denote by d_n the unique integer satisfying $\lambda\theta^n < d_n + 1/2 \leq \lambda\theta^{n+1}$, so that d_n is the "nearest" integer to $\lambda\theta^n$, and put $\epsilon_n = \lambda\theta^n - d_n$. It is not known for which pairs λ, θ does $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\epsilon_n|^2 < \infty$, or if θ is algebraic and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, then θ is a PV number [2] (i.e. an algebraic integer whose conjugates have absolute value less than one) and λ is a number in the field of θ . It follows from [1] and the Fatou-Hurwitz theorem, that if the function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n z^n$ is of bounded characteristic in $|z| < 1$, then θ is an algebraic integer, and that all of its conjugates have absolute value no greater than one. Finally, by a result of Pisot, if $\lambda > 1$ and $|\epsilon_n| \leq [2\theta(\theta+1)(1+\log \lambda)]^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 0$, then θ is an algebraic integer [3].

The purpose of this note is to give a slight generalization of the last-mentioned result of Pisot and obtain new arithmetic conditions on the $|\epsilon_n|$ which will guarantee that θ is algebraic.

Put $\delta_{nk} = \sup_{m \geq k} \sum_{i=m}^{m+n} |\epsilon_i|$ and $\delta_n = \delta_{n,0}$.

THEOREM. Suppose that for some $n \geq 1$,

$$(1) \quad \lambda^{1/n} \delta_n < \frac{\theta - 1}{4(\theta + 1)\theta^2}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \lambda\theta^n \geq \delta_n(\theta + 1).$$

Then θ is an algebraic integer whose conjugates have absolute value no greater than 1.

PROOF. By (1),

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda^{1/n}\delta_n(\theta + 1)} > \frac{2^{1/n}\theta^2}{\theta - 1},$$

hence by (2),

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{1/n}} \left(\frac{1}{\delta_n(\theta + 1)} - \frac{1}{2\lambda\theta^n} \right) > \frac{2^{1/n}\theta^2}{\theta - 1}$$

or

Received by the editors March 9, 1964.

$$(3) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda}\right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\delta_n(\theta+1)} - \frac{1}{2\lambda\theta^n}\right) > \theta.$$

Now let a and b be positive real numbers satisfying $a < 1/(2\lambda)$, $b < ((\theta-1)/\theta)(1/\delta_n(\theta+1) - 1/2\lambda\theta^n)$,

$$(4) \quad a^{1/n}b > \theta.$$

They exist by (3). Denote by $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a point in Euclidean $(n+1)$ -dimensional space. The volume of the region satisfying

$$(5) \quad \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \theta^i \right| < a$$

and

$$(6) \quad |x_i| < b, \quad \text{for } \theta \leq i \leq n-1,$$

is $2^{n+1}b^n a/\theta^n > 2^{n+1}$ by (4). Since the region defined by (5) and (6) is convex and symmetric with respect to 0, there exists, by Minkowski's convex-body theorem, a point x with integral coordinates satisfying (5) and (6). By (5) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n| &< \theta^{-n}(a + x_0 + x_1\theta + \dots + x_{n-1}\theta^{n-1}) \\ &< \frac{a}{\theta^n} + \frac{b}{\theta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|x_i| < a/\theta^n + b\theta/(\theta-1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i d_i \right| &\leq \lambda \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \theta^i \right| + \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \epsilon_i \right| \\ &< \lambda a + \left(\frac{a}{\theta^n} + \frac{b\theta}{\theta-1} \right) \delta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda a < 1/2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a}{\theta^n} + \frac{b\theta}{\theta-1} \right) \delta_n &< \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda\theta^n} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta_n(\theta+1)} - \frac{1}{2\lambda\theta^n} \right) \right) \delta_n \\ &< \frac{1}{\theta+1} \\ &< \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$|\sum_{i=0}^n x_i d_i| < 1$ and, being an integer, is 0. We now proceed induc-

tively. Suppose $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i d_{m-1+i} = 0$. Since $d_r = \lambda \theta^r - \epsilon_r = \theta(\lambda \theta^{r-1} - \epsilon_{r-1}) - \epsilon_r + \theta \epsilon_{r-1} = \theta d_{r-1} - \epsilon_r + \theta \epsilon_{r-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i d_{m+i} \right| &\leq \theta \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i d_{m-1+i} \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \epsilon_{m+i} \right| + \theta \left| \sum_{i=0}^n x_i \epsilon_{m-1+i} \right| \\ &< (1 + \theta) \left(\frac{a}{\theta^n} + \frac{b\theta}{\theta - 1} \right) \delta_n \\ &< 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i d_{m+i}$ is an integer, it is 0. It follows that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m z^m$ is a rational function; by the Fatou-Hurwitz theorem, it can be written in the form $A(z)/B(z)$ where $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are polynomials with integral coefficients and $B(0) = 1$. Since $1/\theta$ is a root of $B(z)$, θ is an algebraic integer; since $A(z)/B(z) - \lambda/(1 - \theta z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m z^m$ is regular in the unit disk, $1/\theta$ is the only root of $B(z)$ in the unit disk; hence all conjugates of θ have absolute value no greater than one.

REMARK. If $\lambda \geq 1$, then condition (1) of the theorem implies condition (2).

COROLLARY. Suppose $\lim_n \sup \sum_{m=n}^{2n} |\epsilon_m| < (\theta - 1)/4(\theta + 1)\theta^3$. Then θ is an algebraic integer whose conjugates have absolute value no greater than one.

PROOF. Clearly $\delta_{n,n} < (\theta - 1)/4(\theta + 1)\theta^3$, for all sufficiently large n . Put $\lambda' = \lambda \theta^n$ and apply the theorem to λ' and θ . Condition (1) becomes $\lambda^{1/n} \theta \delta_{n,n} < (\theta - 1)/4(\theta + 1)\theta^2$, while condition (2) is satisfied whenever n is large enough. Since $\lambda^{1/n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the hypotheses of the theorem are satisfied.

REFERENCES

1. D. Cantor, *Power series with integral coefficients*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 362-366.
2. J. W. S. Cassels, *An introduction to Diophantine approximation*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1957.
3. C. Pisot, *Repartition (mod 1) des puissances successives des nombres réels*, Comment. Math. Helv. **19** (1946-1947), 153-160.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON