

ORDER CONVERGENCE AND TOPOLOGICAL CONVERGENCE

RALPH E. DEMARR

In a complete lattice it is possible to define a notion of convergence (for arbitrary nets) known as order convergence (o -convergence); for definitions see [1, p. 59] and [3, p. 65]. As a general rule o -convergence is not a topological convergence; i.e., the lattice cannot be topologized so that nets o -converge if and only if they converge with respect to the topology [2]. It is of interest to know when these two types of convergence coincide. A number of questions could be posed here, but we shall deal only with the question of when a topological space can be suitably embedded in a complete lattice. To make this statement more precise we shall give the following definition.

DEFINITION. A topological space is said to be an O -space if it is homeomorphic to a subset Ω_0 of a complete lattice Ω and if every net in Ω_0 converges (with respect to the topology for Ω_0) to a limit in Ω_0 if and only if it o -converges to this limit. For example, every completely regular Hausdorff space is an O -space because it is homeomorphic to a subset of the direct product of unit intervals and if this direct product is partially ordered componentwise, then it becomes a complete lattice in which o -convergence is the same as convergence with respect to the product topology. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *A topological space is an O -space if and only if it is a regular Hausdorff space.*

PROOF. If X is an O -space, then it is homeomorphic to a subset Ω_0 of a complete lattice Ω , where the topology for Ω_0 has the properties stated in the above definition. Hence, we only need to show that Ω_0 is a regular Hausdorff space. Since limits with respect to o -convergence are unique, Ω_0 is a Hausdorff space. For each nonempty open subset U of Ω_0 define $f(U) = \sup \{ \sigma : \sigma \in U \}$ and $g(U) = \inf \{ \sigma : \sigma \in U \}$. Then define $S(U) = \{ \tau : \tau \in \Omega_0 \text{ and } g(U) \leq \tau \leq f(U) \}$. Since Ω_0 is an O -space, $S(U)$ is closed. It is clear that $U \subset S(U)$.

Now let $\sigma_0 \in \Omega_0$ be any point. We now define the directed set D to be the collection of all pairs (U, σ) , where $U \subset \Omega_0$ is an open neighborhood of σ_0 and $\sigma \in U$. The binary relation $<$ is defined as follows: $(U_1, \sigma_1) < (U_2, \sigma_2)$ iff $U_2 \subset U_1$. We will now construct a net in Ω_0 as

Received by the editors May 28, 1964.

follows: for each $n = (U, \sigma) \in D$ define $\mu_n = \sigma$. It is clear that the net $\{\mu_n: n \in D\}$ converges to σ_0 with respect to the topology for Ω_0 , hence, it must also σ -converge to σ_0 . From the definition of σ -convergence we see that $\inf\{f(U): U \in N(\sigma_0)\} = \sigma_0 = \sup\{g(U): U \in N(\sigma_0)\}$, where $N(\sigma_0)$ denotes the collection of all open neighborhoods $U \subset \Omega_0$ of σ_0 .

We will use this latter fact to show by contradiction that Ω_0 is regular. If Ω_0 is not regular then there exists a point $\sigma_0 \in \Omega_0$ and an open neighborhood V_0 ($V_0 \subset \Omega_0$) of σ_0 such that for every open neighborhood U of σ_0 we have $S(U) \cap V_0' \neq \emptyset$. (V_0' denotes the complement of V_0 .) Therefore, for each $U \in N(\sigma_0)$ one may select $h(U) \in S(U) \cap V_0'$. Now $\{h(U): U \in N(\sigma_0)\}$ is a net and since $g(U) \leq h(U) \leq f(U)$ for all $U \in N(\sigma_0)$, this net must σ -converge to σ_0 (recall the results of the previous paragraph). But since $h(U) \in V_0'$ for all $U \in N(\sigma_0)$, this net cannot converge to σ_0 with respect to the topology for Ω_0 . This contradicts the fact that Ω_0 is an O -space; hence, Ω_0 must be regular. This completes the proof that every O -space is a regular Hausdorff space.

Now assume that X is a regular Hausdorff space. We may assume that X contains infinitely many points, otherwise all is trivial. Let \mathfrak{F} be the collection of all closed subsets of X which contain at least two points. Now define three sets Ω_- , Ω_0 , and Ω_+ as follows: Ω_- and Ω_+ are sets of ordered pairs of the form $(-1, E)$ and $(+1, E)$, respectively, where $E \in \mathfrak{F}$; Ω_0 is the set of ordered pairs of the form $(0, x)$, where $x \in X$. Then define $\Omega = \Omega_- \cup \Omega_0 \cup \Omega_+$. The set Ω is partially ordered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (-1, E) &\leq (-1, F) && \text{iff } F \subset E, \\ (-1, E) &\leq (0, x) && \text{iff } x \in E, \\ (-1, E) &\leq (+1, F) && \text{iff } E \cap F \neq \emptyset, \\ (0, x) &\leq (0, y) && \text{iff } x = y, \\ (0, x) &\leq (+1, E) && \text{iff } x \in E, \\ (+1, E) &\leq (+1, F) && \text{iff } E \subset F. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily shown that \leq is indeed a partial ordering. It is clear that $(-1, X)$ and $(+1, X)$ are the smallest and largest elements, respectively, in Ω .

In general, Ω is not a lattice, but it can be embedded in a complete lattice $\bar{\Omega}$ (the MacNeille completion); see [1, p. 58]. By the embedding, we can regard Ω as a subset of $\bar{\Omega}$. Hence, Ω_0 can be regarded as a subset of $\bar{\Omega}$. We shall topologize Ω_0 so that it is homeomorphic to X ; this can be done directly since there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between X and Ω_0 .

We will now show that a net in Ω_0 converges with respect to the topology for Ω_0 if and only if it σ -converges. Let $\{\sigma_n: n \in D\}$ be a net in Ω_0 which converges to σ with respect to the topology for Ω_0 . Since Ω_0 is a regular Hausdorff space, the collection $N(\sigma)$ of closed neighborhoods of σ is a base for the neighborhood system at σ . Now if the singleton $\{\sigma\}$ is open, then there exists $k \in D$ such that $\sigma_n = \sigma$ for all $n > k$; hence, the net σ -converges to σ . On the other hand, if the singleton $\{\sigma\}$ is not open, then (putting $\sigma = (0, x)$) we have $\inf\{(+1, E): E \in N(x)\} = \sup\{(-1, E): E \in N(x)\} = (0, x) = \sigma$, where $N(x)$ is the collection of closed neighborhoods of $x \in X$. Hence, for each $E \in N(x)$ there exists $k \in D$ such that $(-1, E) \leq \sigma_n \leq (+1, E)$ for all $n > k$. Therefore, the net σ -converges to σ .

Now let $\{\sigma_n: n \in D\}$ be a net in Ω_0 which does not converge to σ with respect to the topology for Ω_0 . Hence, there must exist a set $E \in \mathcal{F}$ which does not contain x , where $(0, x) = \sigma$, such that $x_n \in E$ co-finally ($\sigma_n = (0, x_n)$). Hence, $\tau_k = \inf\{\sigma_n: n > k\} \leq (+1, E)$ for all $k \in D$. Therefore, $\sup\{\tau_k: k \in D\} \leq (+1, E)$ which means that $\sup\{\tau_k: k \in D\} \neq \sigma$ (recall the definition of the partial order in Ω and the fact that x does not belong to E). This in turn means that the net does not σ -converge to σ . Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1948.
2. E. E. Floyd, *Boolean algebras with pathological order topologies*, Pacific J. Math., 5 (1955), 687-689.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON