ADJOINT LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

ANTON ZETTL1

In this note we derive a new vector-matrix formulation of ordinary linear differential equations. We then use this formulation to motivate a generalization of the classical ordinary linear differential operator and its adjoint. Among the advantages resulting from the use of these generalized operators are: The operator and its adjoint "have the same form," no differentiability conditions are required on the coefficients, the Lagrange identity and the adjointness condition for boundary value problems are very simple.

Denote by C the set of continuous complex-valued functions on an interval I. Let $p_i \in C$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Consider $Lx = \sum_{i=0}^{k} p_i x^i$ where $p_k = 1$.

THEOREM 1. There is a $k \times k$ matrix $F = (f_{ij})$ with the properties:

- (i) $f_{ij} \in C$
- (ii) $f_{i,i+1}(t) = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, k-2, f_{k-1,k}(t) \neq 0, t \in I$, and
 - (iii) $f_{ij} = 0$ if
 - a. j>i+1 or
 - b. i < k-1 and $j \le i$ or
 - c. i=k-1 or i=k and i+j is even;

such that Lx = 0 is equivalent to the vector-matrix equation $X' = F \cdot X$ in the following sense:

Given a solution x of Lx = 0 the vector $X = (x_i)$ where $x_1 = x$, $x_i = x_{i-1}$, $i = 2, \dots, k-1$ and $x_k = \exp(\int p_{k-1})x^{k-1} + [\int \exp(\int p_{k-1})p_{k-3}]x^{k-3} + [\int \exp(\int p_{k-1})p_{k-5}]x^{k-5} + \dots$ is a solution of $X' = F \cdot X$ and conversely, given a solution $X = (x_i)$ of $X' = F \cdot X$ its first component x_1 is a solution of Lx = 0.

PROOF. Let $r_i = \exp(\int p_{k-1}) \cdot p_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-2, r_{k-1} = \exp(\int p_{k-1})$. Define $F = (f_{ij})$ by:

Case 1. k even.

a.
$$f_{i+1}(t) = 1$$
, $i = 1, \dots, k-2$, $f_{k-1,k} = r_{k-1}^{-1}$,

b.
$$f_{k-1,p} = -r_{k-1}^{-1} \int r_{p-1}, p = 2, 4, \cdots, k-2,$$

c.
$$f_{k1} = -r_0$$
, $f_{kp} = -[r_{p-1} - \int r_{p-2}]$, $p = 3, 5, \cdots, k-1$ and all other $f_{ij} = 0$.

Received by the editors October 10, 1964.

¹ This paper is part of the author's Doctoral dissertation at the University of Tennessee, prepared under the supervision of J. W. Neuberger, while the author was supported by an Oak Ridge Graduate fellowship. The author is indebted to Professor J. H. Barrett for many informative conversations and helpful suggestions.

Case 2. k odd.

a.
$$f_{i,i+1}=1$$
, $i=1$, \cdots , $k-2$, $f_{k-1,k}=r_{k-1}^{-1}$,

b.
$$f_{k-1, p} = -r_{k-1}^{-1} \int r_{p-1}, p = 1, 3, \cdots, k-2,$$

c.
$$f_{kp} = -[r_{p-1} - \int r_{p-2}], p = 2, 4, \cdots, k-1$$

and all other $f_{ij} = 0$.

Straightforward manipulations show that F has the properties stated by the theorem.

Let $F = (f_{ij})$ denote a $k \times k$ matrix such that

- (i) $f_{ij} \in C$,
- (ii) $f_{ij} = 0$ if i+j is even or j>i+1,
- (iii) $f_{i,i+1}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [a, b]$, $i = 1, \dots, k-1$.

 $D_0 u = u$

Let

$$D_{i}u = f_{i,i+1}^{-1} \left[(D_{i-1}u)' - \sum_{j=1}^{i} f_{ij}D_{j-1}u \right], i = 1, \cdots, k-1,$$

for all u for which the right-hand side is defined on I.

Let $Lu = (D_{k-1}u)' - \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{ki}D_{i-1}u$ for all $u \in U = \{u \ni (D_{k-1}u)' \text{ exists on } I\}$.

Let $D_0^+v=v$ and for $i=1, \dots, k-1$,

$$D_{i}^{+}v = \bar{f}_{k-i,k+1-i}^{-1} \left[(D_{i-1}^{+}v)' - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \bar{f}_{k+1-j,k+1-i} D_{j-1}^{+}v \right]$$

for all v for which the right side is defined on I.

Let $L^+v = (D_{k-1}^+v)' - \sum_{j=1}^k \vec{f}_{k+1-j,1} D_{j-1}^{+-1} v$ for $v \in V = \{v \ni (D_{k+1}v)' \text{ exists on } I\}$.

Let $Tu = (D_i u)$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1, u \in U$, $T^+v = (D_i + v)$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ $v \in V$, and $Z = ((-1)^{k+i+j+1}\delta_{i,k+1-j})$ where δ is the Kronecker delta.

Routine calculations establish

THEOREM 2. $(Lu)v+(-1)^{k+1}uL^+v=(ZTu, T^+v)'$ for each $u\in U$, $v\in V$.

By an argument similar to the one given in [1, Theorem 3.1, Chapter 11] we obtain

THEOREM 3. The boundary conditions ATx(a)+BTx(b)=0 and $PT^+x(a)+QT^+x(b)=0$ where A and B are $m\times k$ matrices, $1\leq m<2k$, such that (A:B) has rank m and P, Q are $(2k-m)\times k$ matrices such that (P:Q) has rank 2k-m are adjoint (for definition see [1, p. 289]) if and only if $AZ^{-1}P^*=BZ^{-1}Q^*$.

REFERENCE

1. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

LOWER BOUNDS FOR SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITIES IN HILBERT SPACE

HAJIMU OGAWA1

Let A be an operator in a Hilbert space and let u(t) be in the domain of A for each $t \in [0, \infty)$. Assuming u is strongly differentiable, Au strongly continuous and du/dt strongly piecewise continuous, all with respect to t, we define

$$Lu = \frac{du}{dt} - Au.$$

In the case where A is symmetric, i.e., (Au, v) = (u, Av), Cohen and Lees [1] obtained lower bounds for solutions of differential inequalities of the form

$$\big| Lu(t) \big| \leq \phi(t) \big| u(t) \big|.$$

They proved that if $\phi \in L_p(0, \infty)$ for some p with $1 \le p \le 2$, then any solution of (2) such that $u(0) \ne 0$ satisfies

$$|u(t)| \geq Ke^{\lambda t},$$

where K>0 and λ are constants depending on the solution. Assuming that A is selfadjoint, Agmon and Nirenberg [2] found a simpler

Presented to the Society, April 13, 1965; received by the editors October 2, 1964.

¹ This work was supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under the contract AFOSR 553-64.