## ON DENSE SUBSPACES OF MOORE SPACES

BEN FITZPATRICK, JR.

By a development for a topological space is meant a sequence of collections of basis elements (called regions) satisfying conditions 1, 2, and 3 of Axiom 1 of [4]; by a complete development is meant a development which satisfies condition 4 of this axiom. A (complete) Moore space is a topological space which has a (complete) development. A Moore space is completable if and only if some complete Moore space contains it as a subspace. Clearly if S is completable, then S is dense in some complete Moore space S', which will be called a completion of S. A development G for S satisfies Axiom C at the point p of S if and only if, for every region R containing p there is an integer n such that every element of  $G_n$  which intersects an element of  $G_n$  containing p is a subset of R. Younglove proved [7] that every complete development for a complete Moore space S satisfies Axiom C at each point of a dense subset M of S, so that M, regarded as space, satisfies Axiom C and is thus metrizable [5]. In this note, it is shown that every completable Moore space contains a dense metrizable subspace. It is not true, however, that every development of a completable Moore space (even a metrizable space) satisfies Axiom C at some point. It is proved that in order for some development for S to satisfy Axiom C at each point of a dense subspace of S, it is necessary and sufficient that S contain a dense subspace which is strongly screenable in S. Throughout this note certain terminology and theorems from [4] are used without explicit mention.

LEMMA 1. If S is a topological space, M is a dense subset of S, and U and V are mutually exclusive domains with respect to M, then there exist mutually exclusive domains  $D_U$  and  $D_V$  in S, containing U and V, respectively.

THEOREM 1. If S is a completable Moore space, then every subspace of S contains a dense metrizable subspace.

PROOF. It suffices to prove that every completable Moore space contains a dense metrizable subspace. Suppose S is a Moore space, and T is a completion of S. Then S is dense in T. Let G denote a complete development for T. Let G' denote a maximal collection of mutually exclusive regions of  $G_1$ . Now every region in T intersects S.

Presented to the Society, August 27, 1964; received by the editors October 24, 1964.

Denote by  $K_1$  a subset of S which intersects each element of  $G_1'$  at only one point;  $K_1 \subset G_1'^*$ . Denote by  $G_2'$  a maximal collection of mutually exclusive regions of  $G_2$  whose closures are subsets of elements of  $G_1'$ , which is such that  $K_1$  is a subset of  $G_1'^*$ . Let  $K_2$  denote a subset of  $S \cdot G_2'^*$  which contains  $K_1$  and intersects each element of  $G_2'$  at only one point. Continue this process, obtaining sequences  $G_1'$  and  $G_2'$  at only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$ ,  $G_2'^*$  containing only one point of each element of  $G_2'$  at only one point. Clearly, then,  $G_2'$  is dense in  $G_2'$ . Let  $G_2'$  containing sequences  $G_2'$  and  $G_2'$  is dense in  $G_2'$  on  $G_2'$  and  $G_2'$  is dense in  $G_2'$  of each positive integer  $G_2'$  is dense in  $G_2'$  on  $G_2'$  on  $G_2'$ 

THEOREM 2 (RUDIN). There exists a Moore space which contains no dense metrizable subspace.

PROOF. Mary Ellen Estill Rudin [6] showed the existence of a Moore space which is not separable but in which every collection of mutually exclusive domains is countable. Consider such a space S. Suppose it contains a dense metrizable subspace M. Let G be a collection of mutually exclusive domains in M. There exists, by Lemma 1, a collection G' of mutually exclusive domains in S covering G, each element of which contains only one element of G. By hypothesis G' is countable. Therefore G is also. Since M is metrizable, and every collection of mutually exclusive domains in G is countable, then G is separable. Denote by G a countable dense subset of G. Then G is a countable dense subset of G. This involves a contradiction.

REMARK. In [2], D. R. Traylor and the author constructed, starting with a Moore space  $S^0$ , a Moore space  $S^W$  such that (1) if  $\alpha$  is an infinite cardinal,  $S^0$  is  $\alpha$ -separable if and only if  $S^W$  is, (2)  $S^0$  is normal if and only if  $S^W$  is, (3) every open set in  $S^W$  contains a copy of  $S^0$ . Thus if  $S^0$  is not metrizable (completable),  $S^W$  is not locally metrizable (completable) at any point.

THEOREM 3 (HEATH). There exists a Moore space S with a dense, topologically complete metrizable subspace M such that no development for S satisfies Axiom C at each point of M.

PROOF. R. W. Heath [3] constructed an example of a nonmetrizable Moore space S which is the sum of two topologically complete metrizable subspaces  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  each dense in S. Suppose there are developments G and H for S which satisfy Axiom C at each point of

 $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively. There is a development I for S which is a common refinement of G and H. Then I satisfies Axiom C at each point of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

THEOREM 4 (YOUNGLOVE). There exists a metrizable space with a development not satisfying Axiom C anywhere.

PROOF. Younglove proved [7] that if the Moore space S is not compact, but is complete, M is a dense inner limiting set in S, and some development for S satisfies Axiom C at each point of M, then some development for S satisfies Axiom C at each point of M and at no other point. Consider the line  $E^1$ ; there exists a development which satisfies Axiom C everywhere; the irrationals, I, form a dense inner limiting set in  $E^1$ ; so there is a development G for  $E^1$  which satisfies Axiom C at each point of I and at no other point of  $E^1$ . Let R denote the rationals, and for each n, let  $G'_n$  denote the collection to which g' belongs if and only if g' is  $g \cdot R$  for some g in  $G_n$ . Then G' is clearly a development for the subspace R. Now if x is in R, there exists a domain D containing x such that, for each positive integer n, there exist regions  $g_n$  and  $h_n$  of  $G_n$  such that x is in  $g_n$ ,  $h_n$  intersects  $g_n$  and contains a point not in  $\overline{D}$ . In the subspace R, let  $D' = D \cdot R$ ,  $g_n' = g_n \cdot R$ , and  $h_n' = h_n \cdot R$ . Since R is dense in  $E^1$ , every domain intersects R. Now  $g'_n$  and  $h'_n$  belong to  $G'_n$ , x is in  $g'_n$ ,  $h'_n$  intersects  $g'_n$ , and  $h_n'$  contains a point not in D'. Thus G' satisfies Axiom C nowhere.

DEFINITION. The subset M of the topological space S is said to be strongly screenable in S if and only if, for each collection of domains G in S covering M, there exist discrete collections  $H_1, H_2, \cdots$  of mutually exclusive domains in S such that for each  $i, H_i$  is a refinement of G and  $\sum H_i^* \supset M$ .

THEOREM 5. In a Moore space S, the following are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a development for S which satisfies Axiom C at each point of a dense subset.
  - (ii) There exists a dense subset of S which is strongly screenable in S.

PROOF. Suppose (i) is true, and G is such a development, and M is such a dense subspace of S. There exists a maximal subcollection  $G_1''$  of  $G_1$  such that no region of  $G_1$  intersects two regions of  $G_1''$ . Note that if R is in  $G_1$  some region of  $G_1$  intersects both R and  $G_1''^*$ . Let  $K_1$  denote a subset of M containing only one point of each element of the discrete collection  $G_1'$ . Let  $G_2'$  denote the set of all regions g of  $G_2$  such that  $\bar{g}$  is a subset of  $S-G_1'^*$  or of some element of  $G_1''$ . There exists a maximal subcollection  $G_2'$  of  $G_2'$  such that no region of  $G_2$  intersects two regions of  $G_2''$ , and such that  $K_1$  is a subset of  $G_2''^*$ .

Continue this process, obtaining sequences  $G_1''$ ,  $G_2''$ ,  $G_3''$ ,  $\cdots$ , and  $K_1, K_2, K_3, \cdots$  such that each  $G_n''$  is a discrete subcollection of  $G_n$  such that no region of  $G_n$  intersects two regions of  $G_n''$  but if R is in  $G_n$  some region of  $G_n$  intersects both R and  $G_n''^*$ , and such that  $K_n$  is a subset of  $K_{n+1}$  and of  $G_n''^*$ , and of M, and  $K_n$  contains only one point of each element of  $G_n''$ . Let  $K = K_1 + K_2 + \cdots$ . Suppose K is not dense in S. There is a region R which does not intersect  $\overline{K}$  but which does intersect M at some point X. There exists a positive integer n such that if g is in  $G_n$  and contains x, h is in  $G_n$  and intersects g, and g is in g and intersects g and intersects g and intersects g and intersects g are region of g intersecting g intersecting g intersects g. Thus g is dense in g.

Suppose I is a collection of domains covering K. Let  $H_n$  denote the set of all regions of  $G_n''$  that are subsets of elements of I. Then  $H_n$  is, for each n, a discrete refinement of I. Moreover,  $H_1^* + H_2^* + \cdots$  contains K, for suppose x is in  $K_n$ . Thus for each  $m \ge n$ , x is in some element of  $G_m''$ . Some region R of G contains x. There is an integer  $m \ge n$  such that every region of  $G_m$  that contains x is a subset of R. Then x is in  $H_n^*$ . Thus K is strongly screenable in S.

Now suppose (ii) is true and M is a dense subset of S that is strongly screenable in S. Let G denote a development for S. Let  $K_1$ denote a maximal subset of M such that no region of  $G_1$  contains two points of  $K_1$ . Let  $H_1, H_2, \cdots$  be a sequence of discrete refinements of  $G_1$  covering M. Let  $K_{1i} = H_i^* \cdot K_1$ . Then  $K_{1i}$  is a closed and isolated point set (i.e., no point of it is a limit point of it) such that some discrete collection of regions covers it, and each element of that discrete collection contains only one element of it. Similarly, define  $K_2, K_3, \cdots$ . Thus there is a dense subset K of S which is the sum of countably many point sets  $K_{ij}$  such that each is covered by a discrete collection of regions intersecting it at only one point. It suffices to prove that each such point set has Younglove's property Q [7] for if so there is a development  $G^{ij}$  which satisfies Axiom C at each point of  $K_{ij}$ , and one development refining all of these, so that there is a development satisfying Axiom C at each point of K. So now suppose that L is a closed and isolated point set, that there exists a discrete collection  $H_1$  of regions covering L, and that G is a collection of domains covering S. Let  $H_2$  denote a discrete refinement of  $H_1$  and of G covering L. Let  $H_3$  denote a discrete collection of regions covering L the closure of each of which is a subset of some element of  $H_2$ . For each point x in  $S-H_2^*$  there is a region  $g_x$  which contains x, is a subset of some element of G and does not intersect  $H_3^*$ . Let  $H_4$  be the collection to

which g belongs if and only if, for some x in  $S-H_2^*$ , g is  $g_x$ . Then  $H_2+H_4$  covers S and is locally finite at each point of L. Thus L has Younglove's property Q.

The author does not know whether the existence of a dense metrizable subspace of a Moore space implies conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5, although this is the case if S is normal. To see this, consider a development G for S, and let M denote a dense metrizable subspace. There exists a sequence K such that, for each n,  $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ , no region of  $G_n$  contains two points of  $K_n$ , if x is in M some region of  $G_n$  contains x and intersects  $K_n$ . Let  $L = K_1 + K_2 + \cdots$ ; then L is metrizable and dense in S. Also, each  $K_i$  is a closed and isolated subset of L and thus has an open covering of mutually exclusive regions, each containing only one point of  $K_i$ . Then by Lemma 1 there is, in S, such an open covering of each  $K_i$  and, as in Theorem 5,  $K_i$  has Younglove's property Q; so that some development satisfies Axiom C at each point of  $K_i$ . It follows that some development satisfies Axiom C at each point of L.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. H. Bing, Metrisation of topological spaces, Canad. J. Math. 3 (1951), 175-186.
- 2. B. Fitzpatrick and D. R. Traylor, Two theorems on metrizability of Moore spaces, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
- 3. R. W. Heath, Screenability, pointwise paracompactness and metrization of Moore spaces, Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964), 763-770.
- 4. R. L. Moore, Foundations of point set theory, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 13, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1963.
  - 5. ——, A set of axioms for plane analysis situs, Fund. Math. 25 (1935), 13-28.
- 6. Mary Ellen Estill Rudin, Concerning abstract spaces, Duke Math. J. 17 (1950), 317-327.
- 7. J. N. Younglove, Concerning dense metric subspaces of certain non-metric spaces, Fund. Math. 48 (1959), 15-25.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY