

SOME CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SEMI-LOCALLY CONNECTED SPACES

YU-LEE LEE¹

Let (X, \mathfrak{U}) be a connected T_1 -space and let \mathfrak{C} be the class of all closed connected subsets of (X, \mathfrak{U}) . Define the operator K on all the subsets of X as follows: $K(A)$ is the intersection of all the finite unions of elements of \mathfrak{C} which cover A . Then we see that K has the following properties:

- (1) If $A \subset X$, then $K(A)$ is closed and $\text{Cl}(A) \subset K(A)$.
- (2) If A is connected in (X, \mathfrak{U}) , then $\text{Cl}(A) = K(A)$.
- (3) If A is closed and connected, then $K(A) = A$.
- (4) The operator K satisfies the Kuratowski axioms.

Thus K defines a new topology \mathfrak{V} for X , we call \mathfrak{V} the derived topology of \mathfrak{U} .

- (5) The space (X, \mathfrak{V}) is a connected T_1 -space.
- (6) If A is connected in (X, \mathfrak{U}) , then A is connected in (X, \mathfrak{V}) .
- (7) If A is closed and connected in (X, \mathfrak{U}) , then A is closed and connected in (X, \mathfrak{V}) .
- (8) The topology \mathfrak{V} is contained in \mathfrak{U} .

Recall from [1] that a connected T_1 -space X is said to be semi-locally connected (s.l.c.) at x provided there exists a local open base at x such that $X - V$ has only a finite number of components for any V in the local open base at x . The space X is s.l.c. provided X is s.l.c. at every x in X .

THEOREM 1. *The connected T_1 -space (X, \mathfrak{U}) is s.l.c. if and only if $\text{Cl}(A) = K(A)$ for any subsets A of X .*

PROOF. Suppose (X, \mathfrak{U}) is s.l.c., $A \subset X$ and $x \notin \text{Cl}(A)$. Then there exists an open neighborhood V of x such that $X - V$ is the union of a finite number of closed connected sets which cover A . Hence $x \notin K(A)$ and therefore $\text{Cl}(A) = K(A)$.

Conversely suppose $\text{Cl}(A) = K(A)$ for all $A \subset X$ and U is an open neighborhood of a point x in X . Since $\text{Cl}(X - U) = K(X - U)$, we have $x \notin K(X - U)$. Therefore there exists a finite family of closed connected sets C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n which covers $X - U$ and such that $x \notin C_i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $X - \bigcup\{C_i: i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is open and is contained in U , it follows that X is s.l.c. at x .

Received by the editors October 7, 1964.

¹ This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant number GP-1457.

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, \mathfrak{U}) be a connected T_1 -space and let \mathfrak{V} be the derived topology of \mathfrak{U} . Then (X, \mathfrak{V}) is s.l.c.*

PROOF. Since (X, \mathfrak{U}) is a connected T_1 -space by (5), we can consider the derived topology \mathfrak{W} of \mathfrak{U} with the defining operator J . Let \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{C}' be the families of all closed connected subsets of (X, \mathfrak{U}) and (X, \mathfrak{V}) respectively. By (1), $\text{Cl}(A) \subset K(A) \subset J(A)$ for any $A \subset X$. Since by (7), $\mathfrak{C} \subset \mathfrak{C}'$, it follows that any finite cover of A by elements of \mathfrak{C} is a finite cover of A by elements of \mathfrak{C}' . Hence by definition of K and J we have $K(A) \supset J(A)$. By Theorem 1, (X, \mathfrak{V}) is s.l.c.

COROLLARY 1. *A topological space (X, \mathfrak{V}) is s.l.c. if and only if \mathfrak{V} is the derived topology of some connected T_1 -space (X, \mathfrak{U}) .*

COROLLARY 2. *A locally connected continuum (X, \mathfrak{U}) is s.l.c.*

PROOF. Let A be a closed set in (X, \mathfrak{U}) and $p \notin A$. Then there exists a finite family of closed connected sets which covers A but not p . Hence $p \notin K(A)$ and $K(A) = A$. By Theorem 1, (X, \mathfrak{U}) is s.l.c.

A mapping f of (X, \mathfrak{U}) into (Y, \mathfrak{V}) is called semi-connected if whenever A is a closed connected set in (Y, \mathfrak{V}) , $f^{-1}(A)$ is a closed connected set in (X, \mathfrak{U}) . The following theorem is a generalization of a result of Tanaka [2] and W. J. Pervin and N. Levine [3].

THEOREM 3. *A semi-connected mapping f from (X, \mathfrak{U}) into a s.l.c. space (Y, \mathfrak{V}) is continuous.*

PROOF. Let A be a closed subset of Y ; then

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{Cl}(A) = K(A) \\ &= \bigcap \{ \bigcup \{ C : C \in \mathfrak{C}' \} \} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{C}' is a finite family of closed connected subsets which covers A . Hence

$$f^{-1}(A) = \bigcap \{ \bigcup \{ f^{-1}(C) : C \in \mathfrak{C}' \} \}$$

is closed and f is continuous.

THEOREM 4. *If (X, \mathfrak{U}) is a connected T_1 -space, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) (X, \mathfrak{U}) is s.l.c.
- (b) Every semi-connected mapping f from a topological space Y into (X, \mathfrak{U}) is continuous.
- (c) The identity mapping from (X, \mathfrak{V}) onto (X, \mathfrak{U}) is continuous where \mathfrak{V} is the derived topology of \mathfrak{U} .

PROOF. The previous theorem shows that (a) implies (b). The

identity mapping i is semi-connected, by (7). Hence (b) implies (c). If (c) holds then i is a homeomorphism. By Theorem 2, (X, \mathfrak{U}) is s.l.c.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. T. Whyburn, *Analytic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1942.
2. T. Tanaka, *On the family of connected subsets and the topology of space.*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 7 (1955), 389–393.
3. W. J. Pervin and N. Levine, *Connected mappings of Hausdorff space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 688–691.

UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT AND
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

A TECHNIQUE FOR CONSTRUCTING EXAMPLES

MARY ELLEN RUDIN

The word *space* in this paper will refer to Hausdorff spaces.

I have recently been asked the following questions.

1 (by the topology class of R. H. Bing). Is there a regular, sequentially compact space in which some nested sequence of continua intersect in a disconnected set?

2 (by E. Michael). Is there a normal, sequentially compact but not compact, space having a separable, metric, locally compact, dense subset?

Examples showing that the answer to both questions is yes, modulo the continuum hypothesis, are easily constructed using a technique I have often used before. The technique, described in §I, is perhaps more interesting than the particular examples which are given in §II. §III gives a variation of the technique and raises some questions.

I. This technique is useful in the construction of pathological spaces having nice dense subsets.

Let R be the wedge in the plane consisting of all points (x, y) such that $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq y \leq x$; let $T = R - \{(0, 0)\}$.

Let F be the set of all continuous real valued functions whose domain is the set of all positive numbers less than or equal to 1 and whose graph lies in T .

There is a natural partial ordering of the terms of F : if f and g

Received by the editors December 16, 1964.