

## REFERENCES

1. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
2. J. A. Green, *A transfer theorem for modular representations*, J. of Algebra **1** (1964), 73-84.
3. M. F. O'Reilly, *On the semisimplicity of the modular representation algebra of a finite group*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 261-276.
4. I. Reiner, *The integral representation ring of a finite group*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 11-22.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

---

## NOTE ON ANALYTICALLY UNRAMIFIED SEMI-LOCAL RINGS

LOUIS J. RATLIFF, JR.<sup>1</sup>

All rings in this paper are assumed to be commutative rings with a unit element. If  $B$  is an ideal in a ring  $R$ , the *integral closure*  $B_a$  of  $B$  is the set of elements  $x$  in  $R$  such that  $x$  satisfies an equation of the form  $x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n = 0$ , where  $b_i \in B^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). An ideal  $B$  in  $R$  is *semi-prime* in case  $B$  is an intersection of prime ideals. If  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $R$  is *normal* in case  $R$  is integrally closed in its quotient field. If  $R$  is a semi-local (Noetherian) ring, then  $R$  is *analytically unramified* in case the completion of  $R$  (with respect to the powers of the Jacobson radical of  $R$ ) contains no nonzero nilpotent elements.

Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring with Jacobson radical  $J$ , and let  $R^*$  be the completion of  $R$ . In [2], Zariski proved that if  $R$  is a normal local integral domain, and if there is a nonzero element  $x$  in  $J$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}R^*$  is semi-prime, for every prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $xR$ , then  $R$  is analytically unramified. In [1, p. 132] Nagata proved that if  $R$  is a semi-local integral domain, and if there is a nonzero element  $x$  in  $J$  such that, for every prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $xR$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}R^*$  is semi-prime and  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a valuation ring, then  $R$  is analytically unramified. (The condition  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a valuation ring holds if  $R$  is normal.) The main purpose of this note is to extend Nagata's result to the case where  $R$  is a semi-local ring (Theorem 1). This extension will be given after first proving a

---

Received by the editors March 29, 1965.

<sup>1</sup> Work on this paper was supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Grant GP3595.

number of lemmas. Among these preliminary results, Lemma 3 gives a necessary and sufficient condition for  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  to be a discrete Archimedean valuation ring (where  $R$  is a Noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime divisor of a nonzero-divisor  $b \in R$ ), Corollary 2 of Lemma 5 gives a sufficient condition for a Noetherian ring to be a direct sum of normal Noetherian domains, and Lemma 6 gives a characterization of analytically unramified semi-local rings.

In Lemmas 1–4 below,  $R$  is a Noetherian ring,  $S$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in its total quotient ring,  $b$  is a nonunit in  $R$  which is not a divisor of zero,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime divisor of  $bR$ , and  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the isolated component of zero determined by  $\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $B$  is an ideal in  $R$ , then  $B'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the ideal generated by  $(B+\mathfrak{q})/\mathfrak{q}$  in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Likewise, if  $c \in R$ , then  $c'$  is the  $\mathfrak{q}$ -residue of  $c$ .

LEMMA 1.  $(bR)_{\mathfrak{a}} = bS \cap R$ , and an element  $c$  in  $R$  is in  $(bR)_{\mathfrak{a}}$  if and only if  $c/b \in S$ .

PROOF. If  $c \in (bR)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , then  $c^n + b_1 c^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n = 0$ , where  $b_i \in b^i R$ . Dividing this equation by  $b^n$  shows that  $c/b \in S$ , so  $c \in bS \cap R$ , hence  $(bR)_{\mathfrak{a}} \subseteq bS \cap R$ . If  $c \in bS \cap R$ , then  $c/b \in S$ , so  $(c/b)^n + r_1(c/b)^{n-1} + \cdots + r_n = 0$ , where  $r_i \in R$ . Multiplying this equation by  $b^n$  shows that  $c \in (bR)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , since  $c \in R$ . Therefore  $bS \cap R \subseteq (bR)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ , hence  $(bR)_{\mathfrak{a}} = bS \cap R$ , q.e.d.

LEMMA 2.  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete Archimedean valuation ring if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal.

PROOF. If  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a valuation ring, then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal. Conversely, if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal, then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a normal local integral domain (hence, the kernel of the natural homomorphism from  $R$  into  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is  $\mathfrak{q}$ , is a prime ideal), and  $\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a prime divisor of  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Since  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq (0)$ , height  $\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete Archimedean valuation ring [3, pp. 276–278], q.e.d.

An element  $c \in R$  such that  $bR : cR = \mathfrak{p}$  is used in the next lemma. Such an element can be found as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be the prime divisors of  $bR$ , and let  $d$  be an element in the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -primary component of  $bR$  ( $i = 2, \cdots, n$ ) which is not in  $bR$ . If  $bR : dR \neq \mathfrak{p}$ , let  $e$  be an element in  $(bR : dR) : \mathfrak{p}R$  which is not in  $bR : dR$ , and let  $c = de$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $c$  be an element in  $R$  such that  $bR : cR = \mathfrak{p}$ .  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal if and only if  $c/b \notin S$ .

PROOF. Let  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be normal. Since  $bR : cR = \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}} : c'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Therefore  $c' \notin b'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $c'/b' \notin R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence, since  $S_{R \sim \mathfrak{p}}$  is contained in the integral closure of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in its quotient field,  $c/b \notin S$ . Conversely,

assume  $c/b \notin S$ . Since  $c\mathfrak{p} \subseteq bR$ ,  $(c/b)\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ . If  $(c/b)\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ , then  $bR[c/b] \subseteq \mathfrak{p}R[c/b] \subseteq R$ , so  $R[c/b]$  is contained in the finite  $R$ -module  $(1/b)R$ , hence  $c/b \in S$ . This is a contradiction, so  $c\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq b\mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore, there are elements  $d \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $x \in R$ ,  $\notin \mathfrak{p}$ , such that  $cd = bx$ . Then  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = b'x'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = c'd'R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq c'\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq b'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $c'\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = b'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = c'd'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Now  $c'$  is not a divisor of zero in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (since  $b'x'$  is not), so  $\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = (b'/c')R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal (Lemma 2, and [3, p. 277]), q.e.d.

LEMMA 4.  $(bR)_a = bR$  if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal, for every prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $bR$ .

PROOF. If  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal, for every prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $bR$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{R \sim \mathfrak{p}}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap S$  is a prime divisor of  $bS$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be the prime divisors of  $bR$ , and let  $b_i$  be the image of  $b$  in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ . Then  $(bR)_a = bS \cap R$  (Lemma 1)  $\subseteq (\bigcap_1^n (b_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \cap S)) \cap R = \bigcap_1^n (b_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \cap R) = bR \subseteq (bR)_a$ , hence  $(bR)_a = bR$ . Conversely, let  $(bR)_a = bR$ , let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime divisor of  $bR$ , and let  $c$  be an element in  $R$  such that  $bR:cR = \mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $c/b \notin R$ . If  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is not normal, then  $c/b \in S$  (Lemma 3), hence  $c \in bS \cap R = (bR)_a$  (Lemma 1). Since  $(bR)_a = bR$ , this is a contradiction to  $c/b \notin R$ . Therefore  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal, q.e.d.

LEMMA 5. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring with Jacobson radical  $J$ , let  $b$  be a nonzero element in  $J$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be the prime divisors of  $bR$ . If  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a discrete Archimedean valuation ring ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ), then the isolated component of zero contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  and  $\bigcap_1^n \mathfrak{q}_i = (0)$ . Moreover,  $b$  is not a zero-divisor in  $R$ , and  $(bR)_a = bR$ .

PROOF. If  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a discrete Archimedean valuation ring, then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is an integral domain which is not a field, so the isolated component of zero contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is the kernel of the natural homomorphism from  $R$  into  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  is contained in every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -primary ideal. Hence, since  $bR = \bigcap_1^n (b_i R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \cap R)$ , where  $b_i$  is the  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ -residue of  $b$ , and since each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a minimal prime divisor of  $bR$ ,  $Z = \bigcap_1^n \mathfrak{q}_i \subseteq bR$ . Since  $b \notin \mathfrak{q}_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ),  $Z:bR = Z$ . This implies  $Z = bR \cap (Z:bR) = b(Z:bR)$ . Therefore, since  $b \in J$ ,  $Z = b(Z:bR) = bZ \subseteq JZ \subseteq Z$ . Hence,  $Z = \bigcap_1^\infty J^h Z \subseteq \bigcap_1^\infty J^h = (0)$ . Thus  $b$  is not a zero-divisor, so  $(bR)_a = bR$  (Lemma 4), q.e.d.

COROLLARY 1. With the same  $R$  and  $J$  of Lemma 5, suppose there is a nonzero nilpotent element in  $R$ . If  $b$  is a nonzero divisor in  $J$ , then  $(bR)_a \neq bR$ .

PROOF. If  $b$  is a nonzero-divisor in  $J$  such that  $(bR)_a = bR$ , then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete Archimedean valuation ring, for every prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $bR$  (Lemma 4). Hence by Lemma 5, the zero ideal in  $R$  is semi-prime, q.e.d.

Corollary 4 below is the next result which is needed to prove Theorem 1, and it can be proved as a corollary to Lemma 5. Corollaries 1, 2, and 3, and Lemma 6 are not used in the proof of Theorem 1. They are included at this point because they are of some interest in themselves.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $R$  be an integrally closed Noetherian ring, let  $J$  be the Jacobson radical of  $R$ , and let  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  be the minimal prime divisors of zero. If there is a nonzero-divisor  $b$  in  $J$ , then  $R = \bigoplus_1^n R/q_i$ , and  $R/q_i$  is a normal Noetherian domain.*

**PROOF.** If  $b$  is a nonzero-divisor in  $J$ , then  $(bR)_a = bR$ , since  $R$  is integrally closed. Therefore by Corollary 1 the zero ideal in  $R$  is semi-prime, and consequently the total quotient ring  $Q$  of  $R$  is the direct sum of  $n$  fields. Since the idempotents in  $Q$  are integrally dependent on  $R$ , they are in  $R$ . This, and the fact that  $R$  is integrally closed, immediately imply the conclusions, q.e.d.

In Corollaries 3 and 4 and Lemmas 6 and 7,  $R$  is a semi-local ring with maximal ideals  $M_1, \dots, M_d$ ,  $J = \bigcap_1^d M_i$ , and  $R^*$  is the completion of  $R$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Assume that no  $M_i$  is a prime divisor of zero, and that  $R^*$  is integrally closed. Then the completion of each  $R_{M_i}$  is normal (hence  $R_{M_i}$  is a normal local domain).*

**PROOF.** Since no  $M_i$  is a prime divisor of zero, there is a nonzero-divisor  $b$  in the Jacobson radical of  $R^*$  [4, p. 267]. Hence by Corollary 2,  $R^* = \bigoplus R^*/q_i$ , where  $q_i$  runs through the prime divisors of zero in  $R^*$ . Since the idempotents of the total quotient ring of  $R^*$  are in  $R^*$ , no maximal ideal in  $R^*$  contains more than one primed divisor of zero. Therefore, there are  $d$  prime divisors of zero in  $R^*$ , since  $R^*/q_i$  is a complete normal local domain. Let  $M_i R^*$  be the maximal ideal in  $R^*$  which contains  $q_i$ . Then it is immediately seen that  $R_{M_i R^*}^* = R^*/q_i \supseteq R/(q_i \cap R) = R_{M_i}$ . Since  $R_{M_i}$  is a dense subspace of  $R^*/q_i$  [4, p. 283], the completion of  $R_{M_i}$  is normal. It is well known [1, p. 59] that this implies that  $R_{M_i}$  is a normal local domain, q.e.d.

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $b$  be a nonzero-divisor in  $J$ , let  $R^{*'} be the integral closure of  $R^*$  in its total quotient ring, and let  $T = R^{*'} \cap R^*[1/b]$ . If there is an integer  $n$  such that  $b^n T \subseteq bR^*$ , then  $R$  is analytically unramified. Conversely, if  $R$  is analytically unramified, then for every nonzero-divisor  $c$  in  $R$  there is an integer  $k$  (depending on  $c$ ) such that  $c^k(R^{*'} \cap R^*[1/c]) \subseteq cR^*$ .$*

**PROOF.** Since  $b$  is not a divisor of zero in  $R$ ,  $b$  is not a divisor of zero in  $R^*$  [4, p. 267], so  $R^*[1/b]$  is contained in the total quotient

ring  $Q$  of  $R^*$ . Let  $x$  be a nilpotent element in  $R^*$ . Then  $x/b^i \in T$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ . Therefore, if  $b^n T \subseteq bR^*$ , then  $x \in b^i T \subseteq b^{i-n+1} R^* \subseteq J^{i-n+1} R^*$ , for all  $i \geq n$ . Since  $\bigcap J^i R^* = 0$ ,  $x = 0$ . Hence  $R$  is analytically unramified. Conversely, let  $R$  be analytically unramified and let  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  be the prime divisors of zero in  $R^*$ . Then  $R^{**} = \bigoplus_1^n (R^*/q_i)'$ , where  $(R^*/q_i)'$  is the integral closure of  $R^*/q_i$ . Since  $(R^*/q_i)'$  is a finite  $R^*/q_i$  module [1, p. 112],  $R^{**}$  is a finite  $R^*$ -module. Thus  $R^{**} \cap R^*[1/c]$  is a finite  $R^*$ -module, for every non-zero-divisor  $c$  in  $R$ . Hence, since every element in  $R^{**} \cap R^*[1/c]$  can be written in the form  $r/c^i$ , where  $r \in (c^i R^*)_a$ , the last statement is clear, q.e.d.

COROLLARY 4. *With the same notation as Lemma 6, assume  $(bR^*)_a = bR^*$ . Then  $R$  is analytically unramified.*

PROOF. If  $t \in T$ , then  $t = r/b^i$ , where  $r \in (b^i R^*)_a$ . Since  $bR^*$  and  $b^i R^*$  have the same prime divisors,  $(b^i R^*)_a = b^i R^*$  (Lemma 4). Therefore  $T = R^*$ , hence  $bT = bR^*$ , and so  $R$  is analytically unramified by Lemma 6, q.e.d.

LEMMA 7. *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a height one prime ideal in  $R$ . If  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is normal, and if  $\mathfrak{p}R^* = \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^*$ , where each  $\mathfrak{p}_i^*$  is a prime ideal in  $R^*$ , then each  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^*$  is normal, and  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}R^* = \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)}$  (where  $\mathfrak{q}^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ th symbolic power of a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$ ).*

PROOF. Since  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a normal local domain which is not a field,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not a prime divisor of zero. Let  $b$  be an element in  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  ( $B'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denotes the ideal in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  generated by an ideal  $B$  in  $R$ ). Then  $0:bR \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ , where  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the prime divisor of zero contained in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore,  $(0:bR)R^* = 0R^*:bR^* [4, \text{p. 267}] \subseteq \mathfrak{q}R^* \subset \mathfrak{p}R^* \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i^*$  ( $i=1, \dots, h$ ). Fix  $i$ , set  $\mathfrak{p}_i^* = \mathfrak{p}^*$ , and let  $\mathfrak{q}^*$  be a prime divisor of  $0R^*$  which is contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_i^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q}^* \cap R$  is a prime divisor of zero [4, p. 267] and is contained in  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^* \cap R$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{q}^* \cap R = \mathfrak{q}$ . Further, since  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the only  $\mathfrak{q}$ -primary ideal, every  $\mathfrak{q}^*$ -primary ideal contracts in  $R$  to  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Hence  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a subring of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}^*$ , and, since  $0R^*:bR^* \subseteq \mathfrak{q}R^*$ ,  $b'$  is not a zero-divisor in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}^*$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}R^*$  is semi-prime,  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}^* = \mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}^* = \mathfrak{p}^{**}R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}^*$ . Therefore  $R_{\mathfrak{p}^*}^*$  is normal (Lemma 2 and [3, pp. 276–278]). The proof that  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}R^* = \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)}$  is the same as that in [2]. Namely, since the result is true for  $n = 1$ , let  $n > 1$  and assume  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n-1)}R^* = \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n-1)}$ . Let  $c$  be an element in  $bR:\mathfrak{p}$  which is not in  $\mathfrak{p}$  (since  $b'R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}'R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $bR:\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ ), and let  $d^* \in \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)} \subset \mathfrak{p}R^*$ . Since  $c \in bR^*:\mathfrak{p}R^*$ ,  $cd^* = br^*$ , for some  $r^* \in R^*$ , hence by the choice of  $c$  and  $b$ ,  $b'r^*R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^* = c'd^*R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^* = d^*R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i^{**}R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^* = b'^n R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^*$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h$ ). Therefore,  $r^* \in \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n-1)}$ , so by induction  $r^* \in \mathfrak{p}^{(n-1)}R^*$ . Thus  $cd^* = br^* \in \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}R^*$ , hence  $d^* \in \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}R^*:cR^* = (\mathfrak{p}^{(n)}:cR)R^* [4, \text{p. 267}] = \mathfrak{p}^{(n)}R^*$ , since  $c \notin \mathfrak{p}$ .

Thus  $\bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{(n)} R^*$ , and since the opposite inclusion is clear,  $\mathfrak{p}^{(n)} R^* = \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(n)}$ , q.e.d.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-local ring with Jacobson radical  $J$ , and let  $R^*$  be the completion of  $R$ . Assume there is a nonzero-divisor  $b$  in  $R$  such that  $(bR)_a = bR$  and  $\mathfrak{p}R^*$  is semi-prime, for every prime divisor  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $bR$ . Then  $(bR^*)_a = bR^*$ . If  $b \in J$ , then  $R$  is analytically unramified.*

**PROOF.** If  $b$  is a unit in  $R$ , then  $(bR^*)_a = bR^* = R^*$ . Hence assume  $b$  is a nonunit in  $R$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be the prime divisors of  $bR$ . Since each  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is a discrete Archimedean valuation ring (Lemmas 2 and 4), every  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -primary ideal is a symbolic power of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ . Therefore  $bR = \bigcap_1^n \mathfrak{p}_i^{(e_i)}$ , so  $bR^* = \bigcap_1^n (\mathfrak{p}^{(e_i)} R^*)$  [4, p. 269]. Fix  $i$ , set  $\mathfrak{p}^{(e)} = \mathfrak{p}_i^{(e_i)}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p}_1^*, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_h^*$  be the prime divisors of  $\mathfrak{p}R^*$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}^{(e)} R^* = \bigcap_1^h \mathfrak{p}_i^{*(e)}$  and each  $R_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}^*$  is normal (Lemma 7). Thus the prime divisors of  $bR^*$  are the prime divisors of the  $\mathfrak{p}_i R^*$  ( $i = 1, \dots, h$ ), hence  $(bR^*)_a = bR^*$  (Lemma 4). Therefore, if  $b \in J$ , then by Corollary 4,  $R$  is analytically unramified, q.e.d.

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $R$ ,  $R^*$  and  $b$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $S^*$  be the integral closure of  $R^*$  in its total quotient ring. If there is an element  $v$  in  $S^*$  such that  $bv \in R^*$ , then  $v \in R^*$ .*

**PROOF.**  $bv \in bS^* \cap R^* = (bR^*)_a = bR^*$ , q.e.d.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Interscience, New York, 1962.
2. O. Zariski, *Analytical irreducibility of normal varieties*, Ann. of Math. **49** (1948), 352-361.
3. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. I, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1958.
4. ———, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. II, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE