

# ELEMENTARY PROOF OF HU'S THEOREM ON ISOTONE MAPPINGS

N. C. HSU

**1. Introduction.** Let  $E$  be a partially ordered set of finite order, and let  $m, n$  be two natural numbers. We pose the following questions: Find a necessary and sufficient condition under which there exists a mapping  $f$  of  $E$  into a linearly ordered set  $L = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < t\}$  such that

(a)  $f$  is strictly isotone in the sense that  $a < b$  in  $E$  implies that  $f(a) < f(b)$  in  $L$ .

(b) The cardinal number of  $f^{-1}(n)$  is not greater than  $m$  for every  $n \in L$ .

For the case where  $E$  satisfies the condition that every element of  $E$  is covered by at most a single element, a simple and elegant answer was given by Hu [2]. His proof is, however, far from simple. The purpose of this note is to provide a much simpler and more transparent proof of his theorem.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $E$  be a partially ordered set of finite order. We define the height of an element in  $E$  and the height of  $E$  in a usual way (see, e.g. [1]). By the depth of an element  $x$  in  $E$ , we mean the height of the element  $\bar{x}$  in the dual  $\bar{E}$  of  $E$ . By  $h(E)$ , we denote 1 plus the height of  $E$ . By  $E_i$  and  $E^i$ , we denote the set of all elements of depth  $i-1$  in  $E$  and the set of all elements of height  $j-1$  in  $E$ , respectively. For example,  $E_{h(E)}$  is the set of all elements of maximum depth, and  $E^1$  is the set of all minimal elements. Evidently,  $E_{h(E)} \subseteq E^1$  is valid. We denote  $E_i \cap E^j$  by  $E_{ij}^j$ , and the cardinal number of  $E$  by  $|E|$ . Finally, we put

$$w_i(E) = |E_{h(E)}| + |E_{h(E)-1}| + |E_{h(E)-2}| + \cdots + |E_{h(E)+1-i}|,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, h(E)$ . What makes our proof so simple is the following

**DEFINITION.** Let  $m$  and  $t$  be two positive integers.  $E$  is called  $(m, t)$  *bounded* if and only if the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$w_i(E) \leq (i + t - h(E))m, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, h(E).$$

Our goal is to give a proof of

**THEOREM** [2, p. 847]. *Let  $E$  be a partially ordered set of finite order*

---

Received by the editors May 25, 1965.

in which every element is covered by at most a single element. Then,  $E$  is  $(m, t)$  bounded if and only if there exists a strictly isotone mapping  $f$  of  $E$  into the linearly ordered set  $L = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < t\}$  such that the cardinal number of  $f^{-1}(n)$  is not greater than  $m$  for every  $n \in L$ .

**3. Proof of the theorem.** We begin with a constructive proof of

LEMMA 1. *If a partially ordered set  $E$  is  $(m, t)$  bounded and also satisfies the condition*

(0)  $|E_i| > m$  for some  $i$  implies that for the same  $i$

$$|E_i^1| + |E_{i+1}^1| + |E_{i+2}^1| + \cdots + |E_{h(E)}^1| \geq m,$$

then there exists a subset  $F \subseteq E$  such that

- (1)  $|E - F| \leq m$ ,
- (2) either  $E - F \subseteq E_1$  or  $E - F \subseteq E^1$ , and
- (3)  $F$  is  $(m, t-1)$  bounded.

PROOF. In case  $|E_1| \leq m$ , let  $F = E - E_1$ . Then  $h(F) = h(E) - 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(F) &= w_i(E) \\ &\leq (i + t - h(E))m \\ &= (i + t - 1 - h(F))m, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, h(F)$ , and all three requirements are satisfied. In case  $|E_1| > m$ , we have  $|E^1| \geq m$  by the condition (0), and two subcases are conceivable; either  $|E_{h(E)}^1| > m$  or  $|E_{h(E)}^1| \leq m$ . If  $|E_{h(E)}^1| > m$ , let  $F = E - G$  where  $G$  is a set of  $m$  elements taken arbitrarily from  $E_{h(E)}^1$ . Then we have  $h(F) = h(E)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(F) &= w_i(E) - m \\ &\leq (i + t - h(E) - 1)m \\ &= (i + t - 1 - h(F))m, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, h(F)$ , and all three requirements are satisfied. On the other hand, if  $|E_{h(E)}^1| \leq m$ , let  $i_0$  be the integer satisfying both

$$|E_{i_0}^1| + |E_{i_0+1}^1| + |E_{i_0+2}^1| + \cdots + |E_{h(E)}^1| \geq m$$

and

$$|E_{i_0+1}^1| + |E_{i_0+2}^1| + \cdots + |E_{h(E)}^1| < m.$$

Needless to say, we have  $i_0 = h(E)$  when  $|E_{h(E)}^1| = m$ . Now, let

$$F = E - (E_{i_0+1}^1 \cup E_{i_0+2}^1 \cup \cdots \cup E_{h(E)}^1 \cup G),$$

where  $G$  is a set of  $m - (|E_{i_0+1}^1| + |E_{i_0+2}^1| + \cdots + |E_{h(E)}^1|)$  elements taken arbitrarily from  $E_{i_0}^1$ . Then  $h(F) = h(E) - 1$  and for  $i = h(E) - i_0 + 1, h(E) - i_0 + 2, h(E) - i_0 + 3, \cdots, h(F)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(F) &= w_{i+1}(E) - m \\ &\leq (i + 1 + t - h(E) - 1)m \\ &= (i + t - 1 - h(F))m. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$w_i(F) \leq (i + t - 1 - h(F))m$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, h(E) - i_0$ . Suppose on the contrary that there exists a  $j_0, 1 \leq j_0 \leq h(E) - i_0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{j_0}(F) &> (j_0 + t - 1 - h(F))m \\ &= (j_0 + t - h(E))m. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $j_0$  is the smallest integer having this property. Then

$$|F_{h(F)+1-j_0}| = |F_{h(E)-j_0}| > m$$

from which we have  $|E_{h(E)-j_0}| > m$  and therefore by the condition (0),

$$|E_{h(E)-j_0}^1| + |E_{h(E)-j_0+1}^1| + \cdots + |E_{h(E)}^1| \geq m.$$

Hence  $h(E) - j_0 \leq i_0$  which implies  $i_0 = h(E) - j_0$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{j_0+1}(E) &= w_{j_0}(F) + m \\ &> (j_0 + 1 + t - h(E))m, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the assumption that  $E$  is  $(m, t)$  bounded.

LEMMA 2 [2, p. 844]. *Let  $E$  be a partially ordered set of finite order which is not  $(m, t)$  bounded. Then, there does not exist a strictly isotone mapping  $f$  of  $E$  into the linearly ordered set  $L = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < t\}$  such that the cardinal number of  $f^{-1}(n)$  is not greater than  $m$  for every  $n \in L$ .*

PROOF. If  $E$  is not  $(m, t)$  bounded, there exists an  $i$  such that

$$w_{h(E)-i+1} > (t + 1 - i)m.$$

Suppose on the contrary that there exists a mapping  $f$  described in the statement. Then, since each of  $f^{-1}(1), f^{-1}(2), \cdots, f^{-1}(t+1-i)$  consists of at most  $m$  elements, there must exist an  $x_i \in E_i$  such that

$f(x_i) > t + 1 - i$ . Since  $f$  is strictly isotone, there must exist an  $x_1 \in E_1$  such that  $f(x_1) > t$ , contrary to the assumption that  $f(x) \leq t$  for every  $x \in E$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Suppose that  $E$  is  $(m, t)$  bounded. Since every element of  $E$  is covered by at most a single element, the condition (0) in Lemma 1 is satisfied by every subset of  $E$ , and a desired mapping can be constructed, by finite induction, by means of Lemma 1. The converse is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.

UNSOLVED PROBLEM. Let  $m$  and  $t$  be two positive integers. Find a necessary and sufficient condition under which there exists a strictly isotone mapping  $f$  of a more general partially ordered set  $E$  into the linearly ordered set  $L = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < t\}$  such that the cardinal number of  $f^{-1}(n)$  is not greater than  $m$  for every  $n \in L$ .

The author is indebted to T. C. Hu for personal communication.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 25., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1948.
2. T. C. Hu, *Parallel sequencing and assembly line problems*, Operations Res. **9** (1961), 841-848.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO