

## A PROPERTY OF $l_p$ SPACES

J. R. CALDER

**1. Introduction.** In 1936 J. A. Clarkson [1, p. 396] introduced the notion of uniform convexity of the norm in a Banach space and showed [1, p. 403] that if  $1 < p < \infty$  then the space  $l_p$  is uniformly convex.

It is the object of this paper to consider a generalized type of uniform convexity, which we shall call weak uniform convexity. In §2 we prove that if  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  then  $l_p$  is weakly uniformly convex. In §3 we introduce the concepts of a norm interval and a norm convex set, and we prove a "nearest point" theorem for norm convex sets.

This paper is based on a thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree at the University of Texas. I wish to thank sincerely Professor H. S. Wall for the guidance he has given me.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** The statement that the Banach space  $S$  is uniformly convex means that if  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ , then  $\|\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\| \leq 1 - \delta$ .

**DEFINITION 1.2.** The statement that the Banach space  $S$  is weakly uniformly convex means that if  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ , then there exists a point,  $w$ , such that  $\|x - w\| + \|w - y\| = \|x - y\|$  and  $\|w\| \leq 1 - \delta$ .

**2. A property of  $l_p$  spaces.** Recall that  $l_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) is defined to be the space of real number sequences  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p$  converges, with norm  $\|x\| = [\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p]^{1/p}$ , and that  $l_{\infty}$  is the space of bounded real number sequences with least upper bound norm. We note that if  $S$  is a uniformly convex Banach space then  $S$  is weakly uniformly convex. Hence if  $1 < p < \infty$  then  $l_p$  is weakly uniformly convex.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The space  $l_{\infty}$  is weakly uniformly convex.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ , and suppose that  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  are points of  $l_{\infty}$  such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ . Let  $m = \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|$  and let  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$  be the point of  $l_{\infty}$  such that for each positive integer  $i$ ,  $r_i$  is the number in the common part of  $[x_i - m, x_i + m]$  and  $[y_i - m, y_i + m]$  which is smallest in absolute value.

---

Presented to the Society, January 24, 1963 under the title *Concerning completely convex sets*. Preliminary report; received by the editors May 21, 1965.

Now for each positive integer  $i$ ,  $|x_i - r_i| \leq m$  and  $|y_i - r_i| \leq m$ . Thus  $|x_i - r_i| + |r_i - y_i| \leq \|x - y\|$ , and hence  $\|x - r\| + \|r - y\| = \|x - y\|$ .

Also, if  $x_i \leq y_i$ , then:

$$r_i = \begin{cases} y_i - m, & \text{if } y_i - m \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } y_i - m < 0 \leq x_i + m, \\ x_i + m, & \text{if } x_i + m < 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $y_i - m \geq 0$ , then  $|r_i| = y_i - m \leq 1 - m$ , and if  $x_i + m < 0$ , then  $|r_i| = |x_i + m| = -x_i - m \leq 1 - m$ .

By a similar argument if  $y_i \leq x_i$  then  $|r_i| \leq 1 - m$ .

Thus  $\|r\| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ , and  $l_\infty$  is weakly uniformly convex.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *The space  $l_1$  is weakly uniformly convex.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$ , and suppose that  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  are points of  $l_1$  such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ . Let  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$  be the point of  $l_1$  defined by:

$$r_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x_i y_i \leq 0, \\ x_i, & \text{if } x_i y_i > 0 \text{ and } |x_i| \leq |y_i|, \\ y_i, & \text{if } x_i y_i > 0 \text{ and } |y_i| < |x_i|. \end{cases}$$

Then by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following equalities:

- (1)  $|x_i - r_i| + |r_i - y_i| = |x_i - y_i|$ ,
- (2)  $|x_i - r_i| = |x_i| - |r_i|$ ,
- (3)  $|r_i - y_i| = |y_i| - |r_i|$ .

Thus  $\|x - r\| + \|r - y\| = \|x - y\|$ , and  $\|r\| \leq 1 - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ . Hence  $l_1$  is weakly uniformly convex.

**3. Some properties of norm convex sets.** In this section we suppose that  $S$  is a Banach space with origin  $N$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Suppose that  $P$  and  $Q$  are points of  $S$ . Then  $[P, Q]^*$  (called the norm interval from  $P$  to  $Q$ ) is the point set

$$A = \{R \text{ in } S \mid \|P - R\| + \|R - Q\| = \|P - Q\|\}.$$

**DEFINITION 3.2.** The statement that the point set  $M$  is norm convex means that if  $P$  and  $Q$  are points of  $M$  then each point of  $[P, Q]^*$  is in  $M$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exist point  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $[P, Q]^* \neq [P, Q]$ ,*
- (2) *there exist three points  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $w$  such that  $w$  is in  $[x, y]$  and  $\|x\| = \|y\| = \|w\| = 1$ .*

PROOF. Suppose (1) is true, and suppose  $R$  is a point of  $[P, Q]^*$  which is not in  $[P, Q]$ . Let:

$$t = \frac{\|R - Q\|}{\|P - Q\|} \text{ and let } M = tP + (1 - t)Q.$$

Then  $\|P - M\| = \|P - R\|$ .

Now if  $Z = \frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}M$ , then  $\|P - Z\| \leq \|P - R\|$  and  $\|Z - Q\| \leq \|R - Q\|$ , and it follows that  $\|P - Z\| = \|P - R\|$ .

Hence, if

$$x = \frac{P - R}{\|P - R\|}, \quad y = \frac{P - M}{\|P - R\|}, \quad \text{and} \quad w = \frac{P - Z}{\|P - R\|},$$

then  $\|x\| = \|y\| = \|w\| = 1$ . Since  $w = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y$ , (2) is true.

Suppose (2) is true. Then it follows from the triangle inequality that if  $r = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y$  then  $\|r\| = 1$ . Thus:

$$\|(x + y) - N\| = \|(x + y) - x\| + \|x - N\|.$$

Hence  $x$  is in  $[N, x + y]^*$  but  $x$  is not in  $[N, x + y]$ . Therefore (1) is true.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is weakly uniformly convex;
- (2) if  $\epsilon > 0$  then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 + \delta$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ , then there exists a point,  $w$ , in  $[x, y]^*$  such that  $\|w\| < 1$ .

PROOF. Suppose (1) is true, and suppose that  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $c = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ . Then there exists a number,  $\delta$ , such that  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ , and if  $\|r\| = \|s\| = 1$  and  $\|r - s\| \geq c$  then there exists a point  $t$  in  $[r, s]^*$  such that  $\|t\| \leq 1 - \delta$ .

Now, suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are points such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 + \delta$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ . Let

$$r = \frac{x}{1 + \delta} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{y}{1 + \delta}.$$

Then  $\|r\| = \|s\| = 1$  and  $\|r - s\| \geq c$ . Let  $t$  be a point of  $[r, s]^*$  such that  $\|t\| \leq 1 - \delta$ , and let  $w = (1 + \delta)t$ . Then  $w$  is in  $[x, y]^*$  and  $\|w\| \leq (1 + \delta)(1 - \delta) < 1$ . Thus (1) implies (2).

Now, suppose (2) is true, and suppose  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1 + \delta$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ , then there exists a point  $w$  in  $[x, y]^*$  such that  $\|w\| < 1$ .

Suppose  $r$  and  $s$  are points such that  $\|r\| = \|s\| = 1$  and  $\|r - s\| \geq \epsilon$ , and let:

$$d = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \delta}; \quad x = (1 + \delta)r; \quad y = (1 + \delta)s.$$

Then  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ , and there is a point  $w$  in  $[x, y]^*$  such that  $\|w\| < 1$ . Now, if  $t = w/(1 + \delta)$ , then  $t$  is in  $[r, s]^*$  and  $\|t\| \leq 1 - d$ .

Thus (2) implies (1).

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose that  $S$  is weakly uniformly convex, and suppose that  $M$  is a closed, norm convex point set at a distance 1 from  $N$ . Then  $M$  contains only one point  $Q$  such that  $\|Q\| = 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $S$  is weakly uniformly convex,  $M$  does not contain two points of unit length.

Suppose  $M$  contains no point of unit length, and suppose that  $\{P_i\}$  is a sequence of points of  $M$  such that  $\{\|P_i\|\}$  converges to 1. Then  $\{P_i\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, and there exists a number  $r > 0$  such that if  $J$  is a positive integer then there exist positive integers  $i$  and  $j \geq J$  such that  $\|P_i - P_j\| \geq r$ .

By Theorem 3.2, there exists a number  $h > 0$  such that if  $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1 + h$  and  $\|u - v\| \geq r$ , then there exists a point  $w$  in  $[u, v]^*$  such that  $\|w\| \leq 1$ .

Let  $P$  and  $R$  be points of  $\{P_i\}$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\| &\geq \|R\|, \\ \|P - R\| &\geq r, \\ \|P\| &< 1 + h, \\ \|P\| &< 1 + \frac{rh}{2(1 + h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T$  be a point of  $[N, P]$  such that  $\|P - T\| = \|T - R\| = s$ . Then  $r/2 \leq s \leq \|P\|$ .

Now, if:

$$\begin{aligned} P' &= \frac{1 + h}{s} (P - T), \\ R' &= \frac{1 + h}{s} (R - T), \end{aligned}$$

then  $\|P'\| = \|R'\| = 1 + h$ , and  $\|P' - R'\| \geq r$ . Hence there exists a point,  $K'$ , of  $[P', R']^*$  such that  $\|K'\| < 1$ .

Let  $K = T + (s/(1 + h)) \cdot K'$ . Then it follows that  $K$  is in  $[P, R]^*$ , and hence  $K$  is in  $M$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K - T\| + \frac{sh}{1+h} &\leq \frac{s}{1+h} \|K'\| + \frac{sh}{1+h} \\
&\leq s \\
&= \|P - T\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|K - T\| \leq \|P - T\| - \frac{sh}{1+h}.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K\| &\leq \|T\| + \|P - T\| - \frac{sh}{1+h} \\
&< 1 + \frac{rh}{2(1+h)} - \frac{sh}{1+h} \\
&= 1 - \left(s - \frac{r}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{h}{1+h} \\
&\leq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|K\| < 1$ , which is a contradiction since  $K$  is in  $M$ .

Thus  $\{P_i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $\{\|P_i\|\}$  converges to 1, the sequential limit,  $Q$ , of  $\{P_i\}$ , has norm 1, and is the point of  $M$  nearest to  $N$ .

We note that if  $S$  is not reflexive, then  $S$  contains a closed convex point set  $M$  at a distance 1 from  $N$  such that if  $Q$  is in  $M$  then there is a point  $P$  of  $M$  such that  $\|P\| < \|Q\|$ . Since  $l_1$  is not reflexive but is weakly uniformly convex, the condition of Theorem 3.3 that  $M$  be norm convex cannot be replaced by the condition that  $M$  be convex.

#### REFERENCE

1. J. A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1936), 396-414.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY