

# EXISTENCE OF INVARIANT MEASURES FOR MARKOV PROCESSES. II<sup>1</sup>

S. R. FOGUEL

The purpose of this note is to improve the results obtained in [2] by relaxing the assumptions on the processes under consideration. Thus we impose weaker topological structure on the states space and, what is more important, the continuity of  $P(x, A)$ , when  $A$  is open, is *not* assumed.

**1. Notation.** We shall use the notation of [1] for topological and measure theoretic concepts.

Let  $X$  be a normal topological space. Let  $P(x, A)$  be the transition probabilities of a Markov Process:

1.1. *For a fixed  $x \in X$  the set function  $P(x, \cdot)$  is a measure, on the Borel sets, of total measure one.*

1.2. *For a fixed Borel set  $A$ , the function  $P(\cdot, A)$  is Borel measurable.*

By a measure we shall mean a countably additive positive measure, unless otherwise stated. Let us denote by **r b a** the set of regular bounded finitely additive signed measures on  $X$  and by **r c a** those elements of **r b a** which are countably additive. The transition probabilities induce an operator on the bounded measurable functions by

$$1.3. (Pf)(x) = \int f(y)P(x, dy).$$

Also if  $\mu$  is a bounded finitely additive signed measure one defines

$$1.4. (\mu P)(A) = \int P(x, A)\mu(dx).$$

It is well known that

$$1.5. \int (Pf)(x)\mu(dx) = \int f(x)(\mu P)(dx)$$

and that  $\mu P$  is countably additive if  $\mu$  is.

Throughout the paper we assume:

1.6. *If  $f \in C(X)$  then  $Pf \in C(X)$ , where  $C(X)$  denotes the continuous functions. Also:*

1.7. *If  $\mu \in \mathbf{r c a}$  then  $\mu P \in \mathbf{r c a}$ .*

These two conditions are always satisfied under the assumptions of [2]: under the assumptions of [2] every countably additive measure is regular. Another example is given by  $(Pf)(x) = f(\phi(x))$  where  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto  $X$ .

---

Received by the editors May 16, 1965

<sup>1</sup> The research reported in this document has been sponsored in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, under Grant AF EOAR 65-27 through the European Office of Aerospace Research (OAR) United States Air Force.

**2. Invariant measures.** Let  $P^*$  be the adjoint operators of  $P$  on  $C(X)$ . Thus by Theorem IV.6.2 of [1]  $P^*$  is defined on  $\mathbf{rba}$  and from 1.5 and 1.7 follows that:

2.1. If  $\mu \in \mathbf{rca}$  then  $P^*\mu = \mu P$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a positive finitely additive measure. Then  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  where  $\mu_1$  is countably additive and  $\mu_2$  is purely finitely additive i.e.: if  $\mu_2 \geq \lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda$  is countably additive then  $\lambda = 0$ . Also  $\mu_1 \geq 0$ ,  $\mu_2 \geq 0$ . This decomposition and its uniqueness are proved in [3, p. 52]. Clearly if  $\mu$  is regular so are  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $\mu \in \mathbf{rba}$  and  $\mu \geq 0$ . If  $P^*\mu = \mu$  then  $P^*\mu_1 = \mu_1$ .

PROOF. Since  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = P^*\mu_1 + P^*\mu_2$  and  $P^*\mu_1$  is countably additive it follows that  $\mu_1 \geq P^*\mu_1$ : let  $P^*\mu_2 = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$  where  $\sigma_1$  is countably additive and  $\sigma_2$  purely finitely additive then  $\mu_1 = P^*\mu_1 + \sigma_1$ . But

$$\mu_1(X) = \int d\mu_1 = \int P1d\mu_1 = (P^*\mu_1)(X),$$

where 1 is the function identically to one and by 1.1  $P1 = 1$ . Thus  $0 \leq (\mu_1 - P^*\mu_1)(A) \leq (\mu_1 - P^*\mu_1)(X) = 0$ .

For any  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rba}$  put

$$(2.2) \quad \mu_n = \frac{\mu + P^*\mu + \cdots + P^{*n-1}\mu}{n}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $A$  be a fixed compact set. Then either

- (a) for every  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rba}$   $\lim \mu_n(A) = 0$ , or
- (b) there exists a measure  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rca}$  with  $\mu = \mu P$  and  $\mu(A) \neq 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rca}$  be such that  $\mu_{n_i}(A) \geq \delta > 0$  for a subsequence,  $n_i$ , of the integers. Let  $\mu$  be a weak star limit of  $\mu_{n_i}$ . Clearly  $P^*\mu = \mu$ . If  $B$  is any open set containing  $A$  let  $f \in C(X)$  satisfy  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f(X-B) = 0$ ,  $f(A) = 1$ . Then

$$\mu(B) \geq \int f d\mu = \lim \int f d\mu_{n_i} \geq \delta.$$

Since the measure  $\mu$  is regular also  $\mu(A) \geq \delta$ . Finally let  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  be the decomposition of Lemma 1. The theorem will be proved if we show that  $\mu_2(A) = 0$  since then  $\mu_1$  will satisfy (b). But the restriction of  $\mu_2$  to  $A$  is countably additive, by Theorem III.5.13 of [1] and thus is zero.

Given an invariant measure  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rca}$  if  $\int f d\mu = 0$  where  $0 \leq f \in C(X)$ , then  $\int P f d\mu = 0$  too, hence  $\int (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n f) d\mu = 0$ . If  $P$  is such that whenever  $0 \leq f \in C(X)$  and  $f \neq 0$   $\sum P^n f > 0$  then  $\mu$  never vanishes

on open sets, or the kernel of  $\mu$  is all of  $X$ .

Let  $K(\mu)$  be the kernel of  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rca}$  i.e.: if  $x \in K(\mu)$  and  $N$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  then  $\mu(N) \neq 0$ .

THEOREM 2. *Let  $0 \leq \mu \in \mathbf{rca}$  be invariant. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad P^n(x, K(\mu)) = 1, \quad x \in K(\mu), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

PROOF. Let us show (2.3) for  $n=1$ . Take a fixed  $x \in K(\mu)$ . The measure  $P(x, \cdot) = \delta_x P$  is regular by 1.7. Thus it is enough to show that if  $A$  is a closed set disjoint to  $K(\mu)$  then  $P(x, A) = 0$ . Let  $f \in C(X)$  be such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f(K(\mu)) = 0$  and  $f(A) = 1$ . Then

$$0 = \int f d\mu = \int (Pf) d\mu.$$

Thus  $Pf = 0$  a.e. and since  $Pf$  is continuous and  $x \in K(\mu)$   $(Pf)(x) = 0$ . Finally  $P(x, A) \leq (Pf)(x)$  since  $f(A) = 1$ .

Following [2] let us define:

DEFINITION. *A set  $A \subset X$  is called self-contained if  $P(x, A) = 1$  for all  $x \in A$ .*

Also put for a self contained set  $A$

$$(2.4) \quad A^n = \{x: P^n(x, A) > 0\}, \quad A^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n - A.$$

Then if  $\mu$  is an invariant measure

$$(2.5) \quad \mu(A^*) = 0$$

and also if  $A$  is self contained so is  $X - A^* - A$ .

These facts are proved in Theorem 4 and Lemma 5 of [2], respectively, and the proof is valid in our case too.

It should be noted that even when  $A$  is closed  $A \cup A^*$  does not have to be open: consider the identity transformation. Thus we can not continue to prove results obtained in Theorem 6 and 7 of [2]. Clearly the proof of Theorem 9 fails in our case.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
2. S. R. Foguel, *Existence of invariant measures for Markov processes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 833-838.
3. K. Yosida and E. Hewitt, *Finitely additive measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 46-66.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY