- 4. I. Kaplansky, Infinite abelian groups, Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1954.
  - 5. E. Mares, Semi-perfect modules, Math. Z. 82 (1963) 300.

GEORGIA STATE COLLEGE

## AN ADDITION TO ADO'S THEOREM<sup>1</sup>

## G. HOCHSCHILD

The main purpose of this note is to point out the following strengthened (with respect to the nilpotency property) form of the theorem on the existence of a faithful finite-dimensional representation of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra.

THEOREM 1. Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over an arbitrary field, and let  $\alpha$  denote the adjoint representation of L. There exists a faithful finite-dimensional representation  $\rho$  of L such that  $\rho(x)$  is nilpotent for every element x of L for which  $\alpha(x)$  is nilpotent.

For the suggestion that this nilpotency property of  $\rho$  might be secured I am indebted to Leonard Ross who used the characteristic 0 case of Theorem 1 in his proof of Ado's Theorem for graded Lie algebras (Thesis, Cohomology of graded lie algebras, University of California, Berkeley, 1964).

In the case of characteristic 0, it is known that there exists a faithful finite-dimensional representation of L whose restriction to the maximum nilpotent ideal of L is nilpotent [1, pp. 202-203]. Hence, in order to establish Theorem 1 in the case of characteristic 0, it suffices to make the following observation:

Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0, and let M be a finite-dimensional L-module on which the maximum nilpotent ideal N of L is nilpotent. Let x be an element of L whose adjoint image  $\alpha(x)$  is nilpotent. Then x is nilpotent on M.

PROOF. Write L=S+R, where R is the radical of L and S is a semisimple subalgebra of L. Accordingly, write x=s+r, with s in S and r in R. Since  $\alpha(x)$  is nilpotent, it is clear that the adjoint representation of S sends s onto a nilpotent derivation of S. Since S

Received by the editors July 23, 1965.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Research done with support of NSF Grant GP 1610.

is semisimple, it follows from (the easy part of) [1, Chapter III, Theorem 17] that there is an element t in S such that [s, t] = s. Now regard L as a module for the solvable Lie algebra spanned by s and t, via the adjoint representation. By Lie's Theorem, the commutator subalgebra of this solvable Lie algebra is nilpotent on L, which means that  $\alpha(s)$  is nilpotent. Since N and s are nilpotent on L and  $[s, N] \subset N$ , it follows by a familiar elementary argument that the Lie algebra spanned by s and s is still nilpotent on s. Since s is nilpotent on s and s is nilpotent on s. Since s is nilpotent on s. Hence s is nilpotent on s. Since s belongs to the radical of s, this gives s.

Our above argument for showing that  $\alpha(s)$  is nilpotent applies also to show that s is nilpotent on M. Now s and N are nilpotent on M, and it follows as above that the Lie algebra spanned by s and N is nilpotent on M. Since this Lie algebra contains s+r=x, this proves that x is nilpotent on M.

In order to prove Theorem 1 in the case of nonzero characteristic, we must establish the following result concerning the center of the universal enveloping algebra of L.

THEOREM 2. Let L be a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over the field F of nonzero characteristic p, and let U denote the universal enveloping algebra of L. Let C denote the center of LU. Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} UC^n = (0)$ .

PROOF. It is well known that U is left Noetherian [1, Chapter V, Theorem 6]. Thus the ideal UC has a finite ideal basis, whence it is clear that there is a finite subset  $(c_1, \dots, c_k)$  of C such that  $UC = Uc_1 + \dots + Uc_k$ . We may take this subset such that it contains a nontrivial p-polynomial  $x^{p^e} + a_1 x^{p^{e-1}} + \dots + a_e x$ , with each  $a_i$  in F, corresponding to each element x of a chosen basis of L; see [1, Chapter VI, Lemma 5]. Let R denote the subring of U that is generated by F and  $(c_1, \dots, c_k)$ . Then R is a Noetherian commutative ring, and U is finitely generated as an R-module, because of the presence of the above p-polynomials among the  $c_i$ 's (see [1, Chapter V, Lemma 4]).

Let J be the ideal of R that is generated by  $(c_1, \dots, c_k)$ . Since U has no nonzero divisors of 0 and  $J \subset LU$ , it is clear that  $(1+j)u \neq 0$  for every j in J and every nonzero u in U. Hence it follows from the well-known generalized form of Krull's Theorem [2, p. 253] that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^n U = (0)$ . Now  $UC^n = (UC)^n = (JU)^n = J^n U$ , so that Theorem 2 is proved.

In particular, there exists an exponent n such that  $(UC^n) \cap L = (0)$ . The left multiplication action of L on U defines the structure of an L-module on  $U/(UC^n)$ , and it is clear from the choice of n that this representation of L on  $U/(UC^n)$  is faithful. Moreover,  $U/(UC^n)$  is of finite dimension over F, because U is finitely generated as an R-module. Now let x be an element of L such that  $\alpha(x)$  is nilpotent. Then there is an exponent e such that  $\alpha(x)^{p^e}=0$ , whence  $x^{p^e}\in C$ . Hence x is nilpotent on  $U/(UC^n)$ , so that the characteristic p case of Theorem 1 is proved.

## REFERENCES

- 1. N. Jacobson, Lie algebras, Interscience, New York, 1961.
- 2. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative algebra, Vol. 1, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1958.

University of California, Berkeley