

2. Colin Clark and C. A. Swanson, *Comparison theorems for elliptic differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 886–890.
3. F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices*, Vol. I, Chelsea, New York, 1959.
4. Philip Hartman and Aurel Wintner, *On a comparison theorem for self-adjoint partial differential equations of elliptic type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 862–865.
5. Walter Leighton, *Comparison theorems for linear differential equations of second order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 603–610.
6. M. H. Protter, *A comparison theorem for elliptic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1959), 296–299.

THE UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

---

## $q$ -ANALOGUES OF CAUCHY'S FORMULAS

WALEED A. AL-SALAM

1. Let  $q$  be a given number and let  $\alpha$  be real or complex. The  $\alpha$ th “basic number” is defined by means of  $[\alpha] = (1 - q^\alpha)/(1 - q)$ . This has served as a basis for an extensive amount of literature in mathematics under such titles as Heine, basic, or  $q$ -series and functions. The basic numbers also occur naturally in many theta identities. The works of Jackson (for bibliography see [2]) and Hahn [3] have stimulated much interest in this field.

One important operation that is intimately connected with basic series as well as with difference and other functional equations is the  $q$ -derivative of a function  $f$ . This is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad Df(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(x)}{x(q - 1)}.$$

Jackson defined the operations, which he called  $q$ -integration,

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^x f(t) d(q, t) = x(1 - q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^k f(xq^k)$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \int_x^{\infty} f(t) d(q, t) = x(1 - q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{-k} f(xq^{-k})$$

provided the series on the right hand side are convergent. Both (1.2) and (1.3) are inverse operations to (1.1) and are analogues of the definite integrals  $\int_0^x f(t)dt$  and  $\int_x^\infty f(t)dt$  respectively. In fact each approach the respective integral as  $q \rightarrow 1$  when  $f$  is Riemann integrable in the intervals of integration.

The definite  $q$ -integral  $\int_a^x f(t)d(q, t)$  is defined by means of

$$(1.4) \quad \int_a^x f(t)d(q, t) = \int_0^x f(t)d(q, t) - \int_0^a f(t)d(q, t).$$

The purpose of this note is to obtain  $q$ -analogues of Cauchy's formulas for multiple integrals

$$(1.5) \quad \int_a^x \int_a^{x_{n-1}} \cdots \int_a^{x_1} f(t) dt dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \\ = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-1} f(t) dt$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \int_x^\infty \int_{x_{n-1}}^\infty \cdots \int_x^\infty f(t) dt dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \\ = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{n-1} f(t) dt.$$

The  $q$ -analogues of (1.4) and (1.5) are given in Theorems 1 and 2 below. We remark that (3.1) and (3.2) can be regarded as a transformations of  $n$ -fold infinite series to a single infinite series. These are basically different from a finite analogue of (1.5) that has been recently given by Traub [4]. We further note that (3.1) and (3.2) can be used to define fractional  $q$ -integral and  $q$ -derivative in the same way that (1.4) and (1.5) have been used to define fractional integrals and derivative. This we shall give elsewhere.

2. Let for a nonnegative integer  $n$ ,  $[0]! = 1$ ,  $[n]! = [n][n-1] \cdots [1]$  if  $n > 0$ , and let further,  $0 < q < 1$  and

$$(a+b)_0 = 1, (a+b)_n = (a+b)(a+bq) \cdots (a+bq^{n-1}) \text{ if } n > 0.$$

We shall also use the notation

$$(a)_0 = 1, (a)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}) \text{ if } n > 0,$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k(q)_{n-k}},$$

We first give the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. For integers  $n \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 0$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad A_m = \sum_{j=0}^m q^j(q^j - q^{m+1})_{n-1} = \frac{(q^{m+1})_n}{1 - q^n}.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m u^m &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} u^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j(q^j - q^{m+1})_{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u^j q^{nj} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (q^{m+1})_{n-1} u^m \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - uq^n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q)_{m+n-1}}{(q)_m} u^m, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m u^m = \frac{(q)_{n-1}}{1 - uq^n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^n)_m}{(q)_m} u^m.$$

Let us recall the formula [1, p. 66]

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(q)_k} u^k = \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - auq^r}{1 - uq^r},$$

so that (2.2) can now be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_m u^m &= \frac{(q)_{n-1}}{1 - uq^n} \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - uq^{r+n}}{1 - uq^r} \\ &= (q)_{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - uq^{n+r+1}}{1 - uq^r} = (q)_{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{n+1})_k}{(q)_k} u^k. \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of  $u^m$  we get (2.1).

LEMMA 2. For integral  $m \geq 1$  we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m q^{-j}(q^{-m-1} - q^{-j})_{n-1} = \frac{q^{-n(m+1)+1}}{1 - q^n} (q^m)_n.$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 3. For nonnegative integer *n*,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^{n+1}(1 - q^n) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j(q^j - q^{k+1})_{n-1} \\
 (2.4) \qquad \qquad \qquad - ax(1 - q^n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^j(xq^j - aq^{k+1})_{n-1} - a^{n+1}(q^{k+1})_n \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad = - a(x - aq^{k+1})_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. To prove this lemma we recall the identity due to Euler

$$(2.5) \qquad (a + b)_n = \sum_{r=0}^n (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-1)/2} a^{n-r} b^r,$$

so that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j(q^j - q^{k+1})_{n-1} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-1)/2} \frac{q^{r(k+1)}}{1 - q^{n-r}}.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 a^{n+1}(1 - q^n) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j(q^j - q^{k+1})_{n-1} \\
 (2.6) \qquad \qquad \qquad = a^{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r-1)/2} q^{r(k+1)} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad = a^{n+1}(1 - q^{k+1})_n - (-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2+n(k+1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 ax(1 - q^n) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j(xq^j - aq^{k+1})_{n-1} \\
 (2.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad = a[(x - aq^{k+1})_n - (-1)^n q^{(1/2)n(n-1)+n(k+1)} a^n].
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.6) and (2.7) in the left hand side of (2.4) we get the right hand side of (2.4).

3. We now prove our main results.

THEOREM 1. If  $n \geq 1$  is a given integer

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^n f(x) &= \int_a^x \int_a^{x_{n-1}} \cdots \int_a^{x_1} f(t) d(q, t) d(q, x_1) \cdots d(q, x_{n-1}) \\
 (3.1) \qquad &= \frac{1}{[n-1]!} \int_a^x (x - qt)_{n-1} f(t) d(q, t),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

THEOREM 2. *If  $n \geq 1$  is an integer*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad K^n f(x) &= \int_x^\infty \int_{x_{n-1}}^\infty \cdots \int_{x_1}^\infty f(t) d(q, t) d(q, x_1) \cdots d(q, x_{n-1}) \\
 &= \frac{q^{-(1/2)n(n-1)}}{[n-1]!} \int_x^\infty (t-x)_{n-1} f(tq^{1-n}) d(q, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Both of these theorems can be proved by induction. We give the proof of the first as the proof of the second is similar. To prove Theorem 1 assume (3.1) is true for  $n = N$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^{N+1}f(x) &= \frac{1-q}{[N-1]!} \int_a^x \sum_{k=0}^\infty q^k \{ t(t-tq^{k+1})_{N-1} f(tq^k) \\
 &\quad - a(t-aq^{k+1})_{N-1} f(aq^k) \} d(q, t) \\
 &= \frac{(1-q)^2}{[N-1]!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^\infty q^{k+j} (1-q^{k+1})_{N-1} \{ x^{N+1} q^N j f(xq^{k+j}) \\
 &\quad - a^{N+1} q^N j f(aq^{k+j}) \} \\
 &\quad - \frac{(1-q)^2}{[N-1]!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^\infty q^{k+j} f(aq^k) \{ ax(xq^j - aq^{k+1})_{N-1} \\
 &\quad - a^{N+1} (q^j - q^{k+1})_{N-1} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This reduces after some simplification to

$$\begin{aligned}
 [N-1]! I^{N+1}f(x) &= (1-q)^2 \sum_{s=0}^\infty q^s f(xq^s) (x^{N+1} - a^{N+1}) \sum_{j=0}^\infty q^j (q^j - q^{s+1})_{N-1} \\
 &\quad - (1-q)^2 \sum_{s=1}^\infty q^s f(aq^s) ax \sum_{j=0}^\infty q^j (xq^j - aq^{s+1})_{N-1} \\
 &\quad + (1-q)^2 \sum_{s=0}^\infty q^s f(aq^s) a^{N+1} \sum_{j=0}^\infty q^j (q^j - q^{s+1})_{N-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the inside sums in the right hand side of the above equation by means of Lemmas 1 and 3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I^{N+1}f(x) &= \frac{(1-q)}{[N]!} \sum_{s=0}^\infty q^s \{ x(x-xq^{s+1})_N f(xq^s) - a(x-aq^{s+1})_N f(aq^s) \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{[N]!} \int_a^x (x-qt)_N f(t) d(q, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (3.1) is true for  $n=1$  our proof is complete. We remark that Lemma 2 is required in the proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. N. Bailey, *Generalized hypergeometric series*, Cambridge Tract No. 32, Cambridge, 1935.
2. T. W. Chaundy, *Frank Hilton Jackson (obituary)*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1962), 126-128.
3. W. Hahn, *Über die höheren Heineschen Reihen und eine einheitliche Theorie der sogenannten speziellen Funktionen*, Math. Nachr. **3** (1950), 257-294.
4. J. F. Traub, *Generalized sequences with applications to the discrete calculus*, Math. Comp. **19** (1965), 177-200.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA AT CALGARY