

argument (see [1, Lemma 2.4]) that this last series is finite.

As a corollary to the theorem we note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n n^{-1} P(|S_n| > n\epsilon)$$

is convergent for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . In [2] it is shown that this series is absolutely convergent for all  $\epsilon > 0$  if and only if  $EX_1 = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Rosenblatt, *On the oscillation of sums of random variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 165-178.
2. F. Spitzer, *A combinatorial lemma and its application to probability theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 323-339.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI AND  
UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

## THE BOUNDARY OF THE RANGE OF A VECTOR MEASURE

VOLKER BAUMANN

Let  $(X, \mathcal{S})$  be a measure space,  $\mu_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) signed measures on  $(X, \mathcal{S})$ . Then  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  is a ( $n$ -dimensional) *vector measure* on  $(X, \mathcal{S})$ ,  $\mu$  is *finite* and *purely nonatomic* if every  $\mu_i$  is finite and purely nonatomic, respectively. Consider the range of a finite  $n$ -dimensional vector measure as a subset of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E^n$ . A. Liapounoff [4] and P. R. Halmos [2] have shown:

- (1) *The range of a finite vector measure is closed,*
- (2) *the range of a finite and purely nonatomic vector measure is convex.*

For any (infinite) vector measure  $\mu$  call  $R = \{\mu(M) : M \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \mu(M) \text{ finite}\}$  the *finite range* of  $\mu$ . Then it is an immediate consequence of (2) that

- (3) *the finite range of a purely nonatomic vector measure is convex.*

Two simple examples due to R. Borges [1] however show that there are purely nonatomic as well as purely atomic vector measures the finite range of which is not closed:

(a)  $\mathcal{S}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ring of the one-dimensional Lebesgue sets,  $\mu_1$  the Lebesgue measure and  $\mu_2(M) = \int_M \exp(-z^2) dz$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{S}$ . The positive

$x_1$ -axis does not belong to the finite range  $R$  of  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ , but it belongs to the closure  $\bar{R}$  of  $R$ .

(b)  $\mathcal{S}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ring of all subsets of the set of positive integers,  $\mu$  the one-dimensional vector measure defined by  $\mu(M) = \sum_{n \in M} (2 - 2^{-n})$ ,  $M \in \mathcal{S}$ . The set of the even positive integers does not belong to  $R$ , but it belongs to  $\bar{R}$ .

As to boundary points of the finite range  $R$  of a vector measure lying moreover on the boundary of the convex hull of  $R$  here it will be proved the

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\pi$  be a supporting hyperplane of the finite range  $R$  of a vector measure,  $\bar{R}$  the closure of  $R$ . If  $\pi \cap \bar{R}$  is bounded, then  $\pi \cap \bar{R} \subset R$ .*

Restricted to purely nonatomic vector measures the theorem was proved by R. Borges [1].

**PROOF.** Given  $\pi$ , there is a real linear function  $L$  on  $E^n$  and a real number  $u$  such that  $\pi = \{ \xi \in E^n : L(\xi) = u \}$  and

$$(4) \quad u = \inf \{ \nu(M) : M \in \mathcal{S}_0 \},$$

where  $\nu(M) = L(\mu(M))$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \{ M \in \mathcal{S} : \mu(M) \text{ finite} \}$ . Let  $\xi \in \pi \cap \bar{R}$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{ M_j \}$ ,  $M_j \in \mathcal{S}_0$ ,  $\lim \mu(M_j) = \xi$ . We can assume that

$$(5) \quad \nu(M_j) \leq u + 2^{-j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Write  $F_j^k = \bigcup_{i=j}^{j+k} M_i$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is a ring (see [3, p. 19 and 119]) and  $\nu$  is additive on  $\mathcal{S}_0$  it is easy to verify by induction for  $k$ , using (4) and (5), that

$$u \leq \nu(F_j^k) \leq u + 2^{-j} \sum_{m=0}^k 2^{-m} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots ; k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Therefore for all  $j, k$

$$(6) \quad u \leq \nu(F_j^k) \leq u + 2^{1-j}.$$

Assume that  $F = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} M_j \notin \mathcal{S}_0$ . Then, given  $c > 0$ , for every  $j$  there is a nonnegative integer  $k$  such that

$$(7) \quad \| \mu(F_j^k) \| \geq c,$$

where  $\| \cdot \|$  denotes the Euclidean norm (see [3, p. 120]). Since for every  $i$  the upper or the lower variation of  $\mu_i$  is bounded, say by  $w_i/2$ , the total variation  $|\mu_i|$  of  $\mu_i$  satisfies for every  $M \in \mathcal{S}_0$  the inequality  $|\mu_i|(M) \leq |\mu_i(M)| + w_i$ , especially  $|\mu_i(F_j^{k+1} - F_j^k)| \leq |\mu_i|(M_{j+k+1}) \leq |\mu_i|(M_{j+k+1}) + w_i$  and therefore for every  $j, k$

$$\|\mu(F_j^{k+1} - F_j^k)\| \leq w,$$

where  $w = n \sup \{ |\mu_i(M_j)| + w_i : i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, 2, \dots \}$  is finite and independent of  $j$  and  $k$ . Also  $\|\mu(F_j^0)\| = \|\mu(M_j)\| \leq w$ . For every  $j$  let  $k(j)$  be the smallest nonnegative integer  $k$  satisfying (7). Then

$$(8) \quad c \leq \|\mu(F_j^{k(j)})\| \leq c + w \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

A closed and bounded subset of the  $E^n$  is compact. Thus it follows from (6) and (8) that there is an  $\eta \in \pi \cap \overline{R}$ ,  $\|\eta\| \geq c$ ;  $c$  was given arbitrarily positive, in contradiction to the boundedness of  $\pi \cap \overline{R}$ . Hence  $F \in S_0$ .

The restriction  $\mu'$  of  $\mu$  to the  $\sigma$ -ring  $S' = \{F \cap M : M \in S\}$  is a finite vector measure. Since  $M_j \in S'$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\xi$  is a cluster point of the range of  $\mu'$ . According to (1),  $\xi \in R$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Borges, *Randpunkte des Wertbereichs allgemeiner Vektormasse ohne Sprünge*, Arch. Math. **16** (1965), 208–213.
2. P. R. Halmos, *The range of a vector measure*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 416–421.
3. ———, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
4. A. Liapounoff, *Sur les fonctions-vecteurs complètement additives*. Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS Sér. Math. **4** (1940), 465–478.

UNIVERSITÄT KÖLN, GERMANY