## COMMUTATIVE, NOETHERIAN RINGS OVER WHICH EVERY MODULE HAS A MAXIMAL SUBMODULE

## ROSS M. HAMSHER1

In [1, p. 470] Professor Hyman Bass mentions the following conjecture: a ring R is left perfect if, and only if, every nonzero left R-module has a maximal submodule and R has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. If a ring R is right or left noetherian, then R has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. We shall show that for commutative, noetherian rings Bass' conjecture is true.

LEMMA. If R is a commutative ring over which every nonzero module has a maximal submodule, then every proper prime ideal of R is maximal.

PROOF. Let P be a proper prime ideal of R so S=R/P is an integral domain over which every nonzero module has a maximal submodule. Let sQ be a nonzero, injective S-module. Then sQ has a simple epimorphic image, say S/M where M is a maximal ideal of S. If  $m \in M$  and  $m \neq 0$ , then, being the quotient of an injective module, S/M is divisible, and there is an  $s \in S$  with m(s+M) = ms + M = 1 + M. Hence  $1 \in M$ , a contradiction. Thus M = 0, and S is a field.

If  $_RM$  is an R-module, then  $_RM$  is R-projective if for each epimorphism  $\sigma:_RR\to_RC$  and each homomorphism  $\pi:_RM\to_RC$ , there is a homomorphism  $\tau:_RM\to_RR$  such that  $\tau\sigma=\pi$ . We call a ring R a test module for projectivity if every R-projective module is projective.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a commutative, noetherian ring. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) R is a test module for projectivity,
- (ii) every nonzero R-module has a maximal submodule, and
- (iii) R is artinian.

PROOF. (iii)⇒(i). As Professor Barbara L. Osofsky shows in [3], this implication follows from Sandomierski [4, Theorems 4.1 and 4.4].

(i)⇒(ii): Every nonzero projective module has a nonzero homo-

Received by the editors June 6, 1966.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This note forms a part of the author's Henry Rutgers thesis written at Rutgers, the State University, under the direction of Professor Barbara L. Osofsky on a project sponsored by the National Science Foundation through Undergraduate Research Participation Grants GE-1886, GE-4206 and GE-6287.

morphic image in a cyclic. If a module M has no nonzero homomorphic image in a cyclic, M is trivially R-projective so by (i) M is projective and M=0. Thus, if M is a nonzero module, there exists a nonzero homomorphism  $\sigma$  of M to a cyclic. Then Im  $\sigma$  is finitely generated, so Im  $\sigma$  has a maximal submodule, and so must M.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). It suffices to show that R is perfect by a remark in Bass [1, p. 475]. Since R has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents, R is perfect if every nonzero R-module has a simple submodule [1]. Let M be a nonzero R-module with  $m \in M$ ,  $m \neq 0$ . Select a maximal ideal from  $\{(0:rm): r \in R, rm \neq 0\}$ , say (0:sm). Suppose that  $ab \in (0:sm)$ , but  $a \in (0:sm)$ . Then  $asm \neq 0$  and  $(0:sm) \subset (0:asm)$  imply (0:sm) = (0:asm). This shows that  $b \in (0:sm)$ , and (0:sm) is a proper prime ideal of R. By the lemma (0:sm) is maximal so Rsm is simple.

THEOREM 2. A commutative ring R is perfect if, and only if, every nonzero R-module has a maximal submodule and R/J (where J is the Jacobson radical of R) satisfies the ascending chain condition on the annihilators of principal ideals.

PROOF. Clearly if R is perfect the second part of the theorem holds; see [1]. Conversely, if the second part of the theorem holds, then by a remark in [1, p. 470] to show R is perfect it suffices to show that R/J is semi-simple artin. The condition on annihilator ideals implies that R/J has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. Then using the obvious modification of the technique in (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) above, we obtain that R/J is an essential extension of its socle. Thus R/J is semi-simple artin.

## REFERENCES

- 1. H. Bass, Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 466-488.
  - 2. B. Eckmann and A. Shopf, Über injective moduln, Arch. Math. 4 (1953), 75-78.
  - 3. B. Osofsky, Global dimension of valuation rings (to appear).
- 4. F. Sandomierski, Relative injectivity and projectivity, Ph.D. Thesis, Penn. State Univ., University Park, Penn., 1964.

RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY