A GENERALIZATION OF THE GÖLLNITZ-GORDON PARTITION THEOREMS

GEORGE E. ANDREWS¹

1. Introduction. Among the most striking results in the theory of partitions are the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [9, p. 291]. These may be stated combinatorially as follows.

(1.1) The number of partitions of n with minimal difference 2 is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts of the forms $5m+1$ and $5m+4$.

(1.2) The number of partitions of n into parts not less than 2, and with minimal difference 2, is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts of the forms $5m+2$ and $5m+3$.

In 1926, I. J. Schur proved the following theorem which is similar to the above results [10].

(1.3) The number of partitions of n of the form $n = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$, where $b_i - b_{i+1} \geq 3$, and $b_i - b_{i+1} > 3$ if $3 \mid b_i$, is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts of the forms $6m+1$ and $6m+5$.

However, in 1948 H. L. Alder shut the door on further generalizations in this direction by proving the following three theorems [1]. Here $q_{d,m}(n)$ is the number of partitions of n into parts differing by at least d , each part being greater than or equal to m .

(1.4) Let S be any fixed set of positive integers, then $q_{d,m}(n)$ is not always equal to the number of partitions of n into parts taken from S if $d > 2$.

(1.5) Let S be any fixed set of positive integers, then $q_{d,m}(n)$ is not equal to the number of partitions of n into distinct parts taken from S if $d > 1$.

(1.6) Let S be any fixed set of positive integers, then the number of partitions of n into parts differing by at least d and where no consecutive multiples of d appear is not equal to the number of partitions of n into parts taken from S if $d > 3$.

The case of (1.6) in which $d=2$ was treated independently by H. Göllnitz [6, p. 33-34] in 1960 and by B. Gordon [8, p. 741] in 1965. They proved the following two identities.

(1.7) The number of partitions of any positive integer n into parts $-1, 4, 7 \pmod{8}$ is equal to the number of partitions of the form $n = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$, where $b_i - b_{i+1} \geq 2$, and $b_i - b_{i+1} > 2$ if b_i is even.

Received by the editors August 28, 1966.

¹ Supported in part by NSF grant GP-5593.

(1.8) The number of partitions of any positive integer n into parts $\equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$ is equal to the number of partitions of the form $n = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$ satisfying $b_s \geq 3$ in addition to the inequalities of (1.7).

A different form of generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities was discovered in 1961 by B. Gordon [7]. He proved the following result.

(1.9) Let a and k be integers with $0 < a \leq k$. Let $A_{k,a}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts not of the forms $(2k+1)m$, $(2k+1)m \pm a$. Let $B_{k,a}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n of the form $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ (here f_i is the number of times the part i appears in the partition) with $f_1 \leq a-1$ and for all $i \geq 1$,

$$f_i + f_{i+1} \leq k - 1.$$

Then $A_{k,a}(n) = B_{k,a}(n)$.

When $k=a=2$, (1.9) reduces to (1.1), and when $k=2$, $a=1$, (1.9) reduces to (1.2). Further theorems of this nature have been proved in subsequent papers [2], [3], [4].

The object of this paper is to generalize the Göllnitz-Gordon identities, (1.7) and (1.8), in the same manner that (1.9) generalizes (1.1) and (1.2). Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let a and k be integers with $0 < a \leq k$. Let $C_{k,a}(n)$ be the number of partitions of n into parts which are neither $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ nor $\equiv 0, \pm(2a-1) \pmod{4k}$. Let $D_{k,a}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n of the form $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ with $f_1 + f_2 \leq a-1$ and for all $i \geq 1$,*

$$f_{2i-1} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2i} + f_{2i+1} + f_{2i+2} \leq k - 1.$$

Then $C_{k,a}(n) = D_{k,a}(n)$.

When $k=a=2$, the theorem reduces to (1.7), and when $k=2$, $a=1$, the theorem reduces to (1.8). As an example, if $k=a=3$, the seven partitions enumerated by $D_{3,3}(8)$ are 8, 7+1, 6+2, 5+3, 5+2+1, 4+4, 4+3+1; the seven partitions enumerated by $C_{3,3}(8)$ are 8, 4+4, 4+3+1, 4+1+1+1+1, 3+3+1+1, 3+1+1+1+1+1, 1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1.

In §2, we shall prove Theorem 1. In §3, we shall prove some analogues of the analytic form of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [9, p. 290].

2. Proof of Theorem 1. We shall study the following functions. Throughout, $|q| < 1$, and $x \neq -q^{-2n+1}$ for any $n \geq 1$.

$$(2.1) \quad E_{k,i}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2 + (2k-2i+1)n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) \\ \cdot \left\{ 1 - x^i q^{(2n+1)(2i-1)} \frac{(1+q^{2n+1})}{1+xq^{2n+1}} \right\};$$

$$(2.2) \quad F_{k,i}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2 + (1-2i)n} \frac{(1-x^i q^{4in})}{(1-xq^{2n})} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})};$$

$$(2.3) \quad H_{k,i}(x) = F_{k,i}(x) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+xq^{2j-1})}{(1-xq^{2j})};$$

$$(2.4) \quad J_{k,i}(x) = E_{k,i}(x) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+xq^{2j-1})}{(1-xq^{2j})}.$$

From the above definitions, we have immediately

$$(2.5) \quad F_{k,0}(x) = H_{k,0}(x) = 0,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad H_{k,i}(0) = J_{k,i}(0) = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

To prove Theorem 1 we shall need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. $H_{k,i}(x) - H_{k,i-1}(x) = x^{i-1} J_{k,k-i+1}(x)$.

PROOF. We prove equivalently

$$F_{k,i}(x) - F_{k,i-1}(x) = x^{i-1} E_{k,k-i+1}(x) \cdot \\ F_{k,i}(x) - F_{k,i-1}(x) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2+n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) (1-xq^{2n})^{-1} \\ \cdot (q^{-2in} - x^i q^{2in} - q^{-2in+2n} + x^{i-1} q^{2in-2n}) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2+n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) (1-xq^{2n})^{-1} \\ \cdot [\{q^{-2in}(1-q^{2n})\} + \{x^{i-1} q^{2in-2n}(1-xq^{2n})\}].$$

We now split our sum into two separate parts and replace n by $n+1$ in the first part. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_{k,i}(x) - F_{k,i-1}(x) \\
&= - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn+k} q^{2kn^2+4kn+2k+n+1-2in-2i} \frac{(1+q^{2n+1})}{(1+xq^{2n+1})} \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \\
&\quad + x^{i-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2-n+2in} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \\
&= x^{i-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2+(2k-2(k-i+1)+1)n} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{ 1 - x^{k-i+1} q^{(2n+1)(2(k-i+1)-1)} \frac{(1+q^{2n+1})}{1+xq^{2n+1}} \right\} \\
&= x^{i-1} E_{k,k-i+1}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. $J_{k,i}(x) = H_{k,i}(xq^2) + xqH_{k,i-1}(xq^2)$.

PROOF. We prove equivalently

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{k,i}(x) = (1-xq^2)(1+xq)^{-1}(F_{k,i}(xq^2) + xqF_{k,i-1}(xq^2)). \\
& E_{k,i}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{kn} q^{2kn^2+(2k-2i+1)n} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (1+xq^{2n+1})^{-1} \{ (1-x^i q^{(2n+1)2i}) + xq^{2n+1}(1-x^{i-1} q^{(2n+1)2(i-1)}) \} \\
&= \frac{(1-xq^2)}{(1+xq)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (xq^2)^{kn} q^{2kn^2+(1-2i)n} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^2 q^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^2 q^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (1-(xq^2)^i q^{4ni}) (1-(xq^2) q^{2n})^{-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{xq(1-xq^2)}{(1+xq)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (xq^2)^{kn} q^{2kn^2+(1-2(i-1))n} \\
&\quad \cdot \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1+q^{2j+1})(1-xq^2 q^{2j+2})}{(1+xq^2 q^{2j+1})(1-q^{2j+2})} \right) \\
&\quad \cdot (1-(xq^2)^{i-1} q^{4n(i-1)}) (1-(xq^2) q^{2n})^{-1} \\
&= (1-xq^2)(1+xq)^{-1}(F_{k,i}(xq^2) + xqF_{k,i-1}(xq^2)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. $J_{k,1}(x) = J_{k,k}(xq^2)$.

PROOF. In Lemma 1, put $i=1$; then (since $H_{k,0}(x)=0$ by (2.5)) $H_{k,1}(x)=J_{k,k}(x)$. In Lemma 2, put $i=1$; thus $J_{k,1}(x)=H_{k,1}(xq^2)$. Combining these two results, we obtain Lemma 3.

LEMMA 4. $J_{k,i}(x) - J_{k,i-1}(x) = x^{i-1}q^{2i-3}(qJ_{k,k-i+1}(xq^2) + J_{k,k-i+2}(xq^2))$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{k,i}(x) - J_{k,i-1}(x) &= (H_{k,i}(xq^2) - H_{k,i-1}(xq^2)) \\ &\quad + xq(H_{k,i-1}(xq^2) - H_{k,i-2}(xq^2)) \\ &= x^{i-1}q^{2i-3}(qJ_{k,k-i+1}(xq^2) + J_{k,k-i+2}(xq^2)), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation follows from Lemma 1. Thus we have Lemma 4.

We are now ready to treat our main theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We may expand $J_{k,i}(x)$ as follows

$$(2.7) \quad J_{k,i}(x) = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} c_{k,i}(M, N) x^M q^N,$$

where the double sum is subject to those conditions listed before (2.1).

Then by means of Lemmas 3 and 4 and equations (2.1) and (2.4), we easily verify that for $1 \leq i \leq k$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{k,i}(M, N) &= 1 \quad \text{if } M = N = 0, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if either } M \leq 0 \text{ or } N \leq 0, \text{ and } M^2 + N^2 \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.9) \quad c_{k,1}(M, N) = c_{k,k}(M, N - 2M),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.10) \quad c_{k,i}(M, N) - c_{k,i-1}(M, N) \\ = c_{k,k-i+1}(M - i + 1, N - 2M) \\ + c_{k,k-i+2}(M - i + 1, N - 2M + 1), \quad 1 < i \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

One easily verifies by mathematical induction that the $c_{k,i}(M, N)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ are uniquely determined by (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10).

Let $p_{k,a}(M, N)$ denote the number of partitions of N into M parts of the form $N = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ with $f_1 + f_2 \leq a - 1$ and for all $i \geq 1$, $f_{2i-1} \leq 1$ and $f_{2i} + f_{2i+1} + f_{2i+2} \leq k - 1$. We wish to show that the $p_{k,i}(M, N)$ satisfy (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10). Now (2.8) is satisfied by definition.

As for (2.9), let us consider any partition enumerated by $p_{k,1}(M, N)$. Since neither 1 nor 2 appears, every summand is ≥ 3 . Subtracting 2 from every summand, we obtain a partition of $N - 2M$

into M parts with $f_1+f_2 \leq k-1$ and $f_{2i}+f_{2i+1}+f_{2i+2} \leq k-1$, $f_{2i-1} \leq 1$. Thus we have a partition of the type enumerated by $p_{k,k}(M, N-2M)$. The above procedure establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the partitions enumerated by $p_{k,1}(M, N)$ and the partitions enumerated by $p_{k,k}(M, N-2M)$. Thus

$$p_{k,1}(M, N) = p_{k,k}(M, N-2M).$$

Finally we treat (2.10). We note that $p_{k,a}(M, N) - p_{k,a-1}(M, N)$ enumerates the number of partitions of N into M parts of the form $N = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ with $f_1+f_2=a-1$ and $f_{2i}+f_{2i+1}+f_{2i+2} \leq k-1$, $f_{2i-1} \leq 1$. Hence either $f_2=a-1$, or $f_1=1$ and $f_2=a-2$. In case $f_2=a-1$, we see that $f_3+f_4 \leq k-1-(a-1)$; subtracting 2 from every summand, we obtain a partition of $N-2M$ into $M-a+1$ parts with $f_1+f_2 \leq (k-a+1)-1$ and for all $i \geq 1$, $f_{2i-1} \leq 1$ and $f_{2i}+f_{2i+1}+f_{2i+2} \leq k-1$. Thus we have a partition of the type enumerated by $p_{k,k-a+1}(M-a+1, N-2M)$. In case $f_2=a-2$ and $f_1=1$, we see that $f_3+f_4 \leq k-1-(a-2)$; subtracting 2 from every summand ≥ 2 and removing the summand 1, we obtain a partition of $N-(2M-1)$ into $M-a+1$ parts with $f_1+f_2 \leq (k-a+2)-1$ and for all $i \geq 1$, $f_{2i-1} \leq 1$ and $f_{2i}+f_{2i+1}+f_{2i+2} \leq k-1$. Thus we have a partition of the type enumerated by $p_{k,k-a+2}(M-a+1, N-2M+1)$. The above procedure establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the partitions enumerated by $p_{k,a}(M, N) - p_{k,a-1}(M, N)$ and the partitions enumerated by

$$p_{k,k-a+1}(M-a+1, N-2M) + p_{k,k-a+2}(M-a+1, N-2M+1).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k,a}(M, N) - p_{k,a-1}(M, N) &= p_{k,k-a+1}(M-a+1, N-2M) \\ &\quad + p_{k,k-a+2}(M-a+1, N-2M+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the comment following (2.10),

$$(2.11) \quad c_{k,i}(M, N) = p_{k,i}(M, N), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Thus for $1 \leq a \leq k$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} C_{k,a}(N) q^N &= \prod_{n=1; n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}; n \not\equiv 0, \pm(2a-1) \pmod{4k}}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{-1} \\ &= J_{k,a}(1) = \sum_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{M=-\infty}^{\infty} p_{k,a}(M, N) q^N \\ &= 1 + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} D_{k,a}(N) q^N, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equation follows from Jacobi's identity [9, p. 283]. Therefore $C_{k,a}(N) = D_{k,a}(N)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Analytic identities. Since $J_{1,1}(x) = J_{1,1}(xq^2)$ and $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} J_{1,1}(h) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,1}(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n q^{2n^2-n} (1 - xq^{4n}) (1 - xq^{2n})^{-1} \\ &\cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2j+1})(1 - xq^{2j+2})}{(1 + xq^{2j+1})(1 - q^{2j+2})} \\ (3.1) \quad &= E_{1,1}(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - xq^{2n})}{(1 + xq^{2n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily deduced from Lemmas 3 and 4 that

$$(3.2) \quad J_{2,2}(x) = (1 + xq)J_{2,2}(xq^2) + xq^2J_{2,2}(xq^4).$$

Expanding $J_{2,2}(x)$ in powers of x and using (3.2) and $J_{2,2}(0) = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{2,2}(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m q^{m^2} (1 + q)(1 + q^3) \cdots (1 + q^{2m-1})}{(1 - q^2)(1 - q^4) \cdots (1 - q^{2m})} \\ (3.3) \quad &= H_{2,1}(x) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + xq^{2m-1})}{(1 - xq^{2m})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{2n} q^{4n^2-n} \\ &\cdot \frac{(1 - xq^{4n})}{(1 - xq^{2n})} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2j+1})(1 - xq^{2j+2})}{(1 + xq^{2j+1})(1 - q^{2j+2})}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.3) was the identity from which Göllnitz originally deduced (1.7) and (1.8).

When $k=3$, the related q -identity becomes more complicated. If in equation (10.1) of [5, p. 431] we replace x by q and then put $a=x$, $f=-x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{3,3}(x) &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - xq^m) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n q^{n^2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + xq^{2j})}{(1 - q^{j+1})(1 - xq^{2j+1})(1 + xq^j)} \\ (3.4) \quad &= F_{3,1}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{3n} q^{6n^2-n} \frac{(1 - xq^{4n})}{(1 - xq^{2n})} \\ &\cdot \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(1 + q^{2j+1})(1 - xq^{2j+2})}{(1 + xq^{2j+1})(1 - q^{2j+2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $x=1$ in (3.1), we obtain a special case of Jacobi's identity [9, p. 283]. Putting $x=1$ in (3.3), we obtain equation (36) of [11, p.

155]. Putting $x=q^2$ in (3.3), we obtain equation (34) of [11, p. 155]. Putting $x=q^2$ in (3.4), we obtain equation (49) of [11, p. 156]. Putting $x=1$ in (3.4), we obtain equation (54) of [11, p. 157] (there appears to be a minor misprint in Slater's equation (54) which is easily corrected).

REFERENCES

1. H. L. Alder, *The nonexistence of certain identities in the theory of partitions and compositions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 712–722.
2. G. E. Andrews, *An analytic proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identities*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 844–846.
3. ———, *Partition theorems related to the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, J. Combinatorial Theory (to appear).
4. ———, *Some new partition theorems*, J. Combinatorial Theory (to appear).
5. W. N. Bailey, *Some identities in combinatorial analysis*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **49** (1947), 421–435.
6. H. Göllnitz, *Einfache Partitionen* (unpublished), Diplomarbeit W. S. 1960, Göttingen, 65 pp.
7. B. Gordon, *A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Amer. J. Math. **83** (1961), 393–399.
8. ———, *Some continued fractions of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Duke J. Math. **31** (1965), 741–748.
9. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1960.
10. I. J. Schur, *Zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, S.-B. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (1926), 488–495.
11. L. J. Slater, *Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **54** (1951–1952), 147–167.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY