COMMUTATIVITY OF THE INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON A SYMMETRIC SPACE¹

WILLIAM SMOKE

It is known (see Helgason [1]) that the algebra of invariant differential operators on a Riemannian symmetric space is commutative. The algebra may be defined algebraically. We give here an algebraic proof of its commutativity.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero and let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Extend the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{f}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\text{ad } x$, $x \in \mathfrak{f}$, acts as a derivation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $(\text{ad } x)(u) = xu - ux$ for $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. For this is the case if u belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ generates $U(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows from the formula that the invariant elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ —those annihilated by the action of $\mathfrak{f}$ —are the elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ which commute with the elements of $\mathfrak{f}$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ be the subalgebra of invariant elements.

Now let $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ be the left ideal generated by $\mathfrak{f}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$. This left ideal is preserved by the action of $\mathfrak{f}$. Moreover, $[U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}]^{\mathfrak{f}} = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}} \cap U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ is a two-sided ideal in $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$. Let $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ be the quotient algebra. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of a Lie group G and $\mathfrak{f}$ that of a connected subgroup K then $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ is the algebra of invariant differential operators on the homogeneous space G/K (Smoke [2]). If K is compact and the fixed point set of an involutive automorphism of G then G/K is a Riemannian symmetric space and the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ is commutative.

THEOREM. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with an involutive Lie algebra automorphism $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$ and let $\mathfrak{f}$ be the subalgebra of fixed elements. Suppose that $\mathfrak{f}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ is commutative.*

The proof essentially reduces to the fact that an automorphism and an antiautomorphism coincide on $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$.

Extend the Lie algebra automorphism $z \rightarrow \bar{z}$ to an automorphism $u \rightarrow \bar{u}$ of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then for $x \in \mathfrak{f}$, $(\text{ad } x)(\bar{u}) = x\bar{u} - \bar{u}x = (xu)^{-} - (ux)^{-} = ((\text{ad } x)(u))^{-}$, so $u \rightarrow \bar{u}$ preserves the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$. The ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ is also preserved, so $[U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}]^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is preserved and an automorphism is induced on $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$.

Recall that $U(\mathfrak{g})$ has a canonical antiautomorphism $u \rightarrow u^*$, characterized by the fact that $z^* = -z$ for $z \in \mathfrak{g}$. We have $(\text{ad } x)(u^*)$

Received by the editors October 17, 1966.

¹ This research was partly supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant GP-6392.

$=xu^* - u^*x = (xu - ux)^* = [(\text{ad } x)(u)]^*$ for $x \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, so the subalgebra $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is preserved. Evidently the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ is mapped into the right ideal $\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g})$. Thus $[U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}]^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is mapped into $[\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g})]^{\mathfrak{f}}$. We must show that $[\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g})]^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is contained in $[U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}]^{\mathfrak{f}}$. Since $\mathfrak{f}$ is reductive in $\mathfrak{g}$ the representation of $\mathfrak{f}$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the direct sum $U(\mathfrak{g}) = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}} \oplus [\mathfrak{f}, U(\mathfrak{g})]$. Here, $[\mathfrak{f}, U(\mathfrak{g})]$ is the subspace generated by the commutators $xu - ux$, $x \in \mathfrak{f}$ and $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. But $\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f} + [\mathfrak{f}, U(\mathfrak{g})]$, and it follows that the projection of $\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g})$ on $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is contained in the projection of $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$. Since $\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ are invariant subspaces of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ their projections on $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ are respectively their intersections with this subspace. This shows that $[\mathfrak{f}U(\mathfrak{g})]^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is contained in $[U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}]^{\mathfrak{f}}$. The antiautomorphism $u \rightarrow u^*$ therefore preserves the ideal $[U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}]^{\mathfrak{f}}$, inducing an antiautomorphism on $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$.

It remains to show that the induced automorphism and antiautomorphism coincide. For this, we find a subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}$, mapping onto $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$, on which the automorphism and antiautomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ coincide.

Recall that $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is filtered by subspaces $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$, where $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is spanned by the monomials of degree at most p in the elements of $\mathfrak{g}$. The associated graded algebra is the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $S^p(\mathfrak{g})$ is the component of degree p , the natural map from $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ onto $S^p(\mathfrak{g})$ has kernel $U_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ and takes a monomial $z_1 \cdots z_p$ of degree p into the corresponding commutative monomial $z_1 \cdots z_p$ in $S^p(\mathfrak{g})$. There is a linear map $\lambda: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by

$$\lambda(z_1 \cdots z_p) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma} z_{\sigma 1} \cdots z_{\sigma p},$$

the sum running over all permutations. It is clear that $\lambda: S^p(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ followed by the natural map $U_p(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S^p(\mathfrak{g})$ is the identity on $S^p(\mathfrak{g})$. This implies that the element $z_1 \cdots z_p - \lambda(z_1 \cdots z_p)$ of $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ belongs to $U_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Now let $\mathfrak{b}$ be the subspace of those elements y of $\mathfrak{g}$ which satisfy $\bar{y} = -y$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$. We may regard $S(\mathfrak{b})$ as a subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{g})$.

LEMMA. $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f} + \lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})] = U(\mathfrak{g})$.

To prove the lemma, choose an ordered basis (x_i) for $\mathfrak{f}$ and ordered basis (y_j) for $\mathfrak{b}$. The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem then implies that the monomials $y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_p} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_q}$, $j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_p$ and $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_q$, form a basis of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Clearly $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}$ contains all but those of the form $y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_p}$. The ground field $U_0(\mathfrak{g})$ belongs to $\lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]$. Assume that $U_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ is contained in $U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f} + \lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]$. Since $y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_p}$

$-\lambda(y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_p})$ belongs to $U_{p-1}(\mathfrak{g})$ we find that $U_p(\mathfrak{g})$ is contained in $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}} + \lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]$, so the lemma follows inductively.

The action of $\mathfrak{f}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ extends to $S(\mathfrak{g})$, with $\text{ad } x$, $x \in \mathfrak{f}$, again acting as a derivation. Since $\mathfrak{b}$ is invariant in $\mathfrak{g}$, $S(\mathfrak{b})$ is invariant in $S(\mathfrak{g})$. It is easy to see that $\lambda: S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ commutes with the action of $\mathfrak{f}$. It follows that $\lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]$ is an invariant subspace of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Each of the spaces in the lemma decomposes as a direct sum under the action of $\mathfrak{f}$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}} = [U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}}]^{\mathfrak{f}} + \lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]^{\mathfrak{f}}$. It follows that the natural map $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ maps $\lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]^{\mathfrak{f}}$ onto $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$.

It remains only to show that the automorphism and antiautomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ agree on $\lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]^{\mathfrak{f}}$. In fact they agree on $\lambda[S(\mathfrak{b})]$. For if $y_1, \dots, y_p$ are any elements of $\mathfrak{b}$ we have $\lambda(y_1 \cdots y_p)^- = (-1)^p \lambda(y_1 \cdots y_p)$. On the other hand, $\lambda(y_1 \cdots y_p)^* = (-1)^p \cdot \lambda(y_1 \cdots y_p)$ also, since $\lambda(y_1 \cdots y_p)$ is a sum over all permutations.

It is now clear that $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ is commutative. If v and w belong to $U(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ then $(vw)^- = (vw)^* = w^*v^* = \bar{w}\bar{v} = (wv)^-$, so $vw = wv$.

REFERENCES

1. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
2. W. Smoke, *Invariant differential operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 460-494.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE