

## SHORTER NOTES

The purpose of this department is to publish very short papers of an unusually elegant and polished character, for which there is no other outlet.

### EVERY OPERATOR IS THE SUM OF TWO IRREDUCIBLE ONES

HEYDAR RADJAVI

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable (complex) Hilbert space. An operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  is called *irreducible* if it has no reducing subspaces other than the trivial ones,  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ . Halmos [2] has recently aroused interest in these operators by showing that they are dense in the algebra of all operators. The present note was motivated by a paper of Fillmore and Topping [1] in which it is proved that every operator is the sum of four irreducible operators. We shall make use of the obvious fact that  $A$  is irreducible if and only if the only subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  invariant under both  $\operatorname{Re} A$  and  $\operatorname{Im} A$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**LEMMA.** *Let  $S$  be a finite or countably infinite set of nonscalar operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then there exists a hermitian operator  $K$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that no member of  $S$  leaves invariant a nontrivial invariant subspace of  $K$ .*

The special case of this lemma, where  $S$  has one element, is proved in [3]. The Baire-Category proof given there immediately extends to the more general case.

**THEOREM.** *Every operator on a separable Hilbert space is the sum of two irreducible operators.*

**PROOF.** Let  $A$  be any operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $A$  is scalar, then any irreducible operator  $B$  will give the desired decomposition  $A = (A - B) + B$ . Hence assume  $A$  is not scalar and let  $M = \operatorname{Re} A$  and  $N = \operatorname{Im} A$ .

Assume first that both  $M$  and  $N$  are nonscalar. Apply the lemma with  $S = \{M, N\}$  to obtain a hermitian operator  $K$ . Then  $A = A_1 + A_2$ , where

$$A_1 = (M - K) - iK \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = K + i(N + K).$$

Since every subspace invariant under  $M - K$  and  $K$  is also invariant under  $M$ , the choice of  $K$  implies that  $A_1$  is irreducible. So is  $A_2$  by a similar argument.

Since  $M$  and  $N$  are not both scalar, to complete the proof we must only treat the case where exactly one of them is scalar. Assume, con-

---

Received by the editors May 10, 1968.



sidering  $iA$  instead of  $A$  if necessary, that  $M$  is scalar:  $M = cI$ . Apply the lemma with  $\mathfrak{s} = \{N\}$  to obtain  $K$ . Then

$$A_1 = K + cI + iN/2 \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = -K + iN/2$$

are both irreducible and  $A = A_1 + A_2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Peter A. Fillmore and David M. Topping, *Sums of irreducible operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 131–133.
2. Paul R. Halmos, *Irreducible operators*, Michigan Math. J. **15** (1968), 215–223.
3. Heydar Radjavi and Peter Rosenthal, *Matrices for operators and generators of  $B(\mathfrak{H})$* , (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO