FINDING A BOUNDARY FOR A 3-MANIFOLD

L. S. HUSCH¹

In [1] Browder, Levine and Livesay considered the following problem: "Given an open manifold M , when is it the interior of a compact manifold with boundary?" They were able to show that if dimension of $M \geq 6$ and if M was 1-connected at infinity, then a necessary and sufficient condition was that the homology of M be finitely generated. Edwards [4] and Wall [10] showed that if dimension of M was 3 and if M was 1-connected at infinity then M was homeomorphic to the interior of a compact manifold. Siebenmann [9] obtained necessary and sufficient conditions when dimension of $M \geq 6$. In this note, we prove the following.

THEOREM. *Let M be a connected, orientable 3-manifold with compact boundary and one end. The interior of M is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact 3-manifold if and only if there exists a positive integer N such that every compact subset of M is contained in the interior of a compact 3-manifold M' with connected boundary such that*

1. $\pi_1(M - M')$ is finitely generated;
2. genus (bdry M') $\leq N$;
3. every contractible 2-sphere in $M - M'$ bounds a 3-cell.

REMARKS. The referee has pointed out to the author that the boundary is unique by [12].

If the Poincaré Conjecture is true, hypothesis 3 is unnecessary. However if there is a counterexample to the Poincaré Conjecture it is possible to construct a counterexample to the theorem if 3 is not assumed. Whitehead's example [11] shows that 2 and 3 are not sufficient. It is unknown to the author whether 1 and 3 are sufficient. There is no loss of generality to assume that we are working in the piecewise linear category.

By van Kampen's Theorem [3, p. 71], it follows that $\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated. Hence it follows that $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i$ such that for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$

1. M_i is a compact 3-manifold with connected boundary such that every contractible 2-sphere in $M - M_i$ bounds a 3-cell;
2. $M_i \subseteq \text{int } M_{i+1}$ (=interior M_{i+1});
3. $\pi_1(M - M_i)$ is finitely generated;

Presented to the Society, August 29, 1967; received by the editors June 26, 1967.

¹ Research supported in part by National Science Foundation Grants GP-5458 and GP-6613.

4. $H_k(M, M_i) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1$;

5. *genus (bdry M_i) = n where n is an integer such that if $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i'$ where M_i' satisfy 1-4, then $\text{genus bdry } M_i' \geq n$ for all i .*

For all i , let $N_i = M_{i+1} - \text{int } M_i$. We have two cases to consider. If $n = 0$, it follows from [6] that if $\pi_1(N_i)$ is trivial, then N_i is homeomorphic to the product of the 2-sphere with the interval. Hence if all but a finite number of N_i 's have trivial fundamental group, we are through. If not, it follows from van Kampen's Theorem and [5, p. 192] that $\pi_1(M - M_1)$ is not finitely generated.

Let us now suppose that $n \geq 1$. We make the following claim.

6. *The natural map $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} N_k)$ is a monomorphism for all but a finite number of i 's.*

Suppose that $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} N_k)$ is not a monomorphism. By the Loop Theorem [7], there exists a simple loop S on $\text{bdry } M_i$ which is homotopically nontrivial on $\text{bdry } M_i$ but S is contractible in $\bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} N_k$. It follows from Dehn's Lemma [8] that $S = \text{bdry } D$ where D is a 2-cell such that $D \cap \text{bdry } M_i = S$. Let N be a regular neighborhood of D in $\bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} N_k$ which meets $\text{bdry } M_i$ in a regular neighborhood of S . Let $M_i' = M_i \cup N$.

We have two possibilities. If S does not separate $\text{bdry } M_i$, then M_i is a compact 3-manifold with connected boundary of genus $(n-1)$. Suppose S separates $\text{bdry } M_i$. Since $H_k(M, M_i) = 0$, $k = 0, 1$, then $H_k(M, M_i') = 0$, $k = 0, 1$. Hence $H_k(M - \text{int } M_i', \text{bdry } M_i') = 0$, $k = 0, 1$. Therefore $H_0(\text{bdry } M_i') = H_0(M - \text{int } M_i')$ and $M - \text{int } M_i'$ has two components, one of which is bounded, say B . Let $M_i'' = M_i' \cup B$. Then M_i'' is a compact 3-manifold with connected boundary of genus $< n$.

If $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} N_k)$ is not a monomorphism for infinitely many i 's then $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i'$ where $\text{genus (bdry } M_i') < n$ contradicting 5. Hence by reindexing we may assume

6'. *For $i = 1, 2, \dots$, the natural map $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bigcup_{k=i}^{\infty} N_k)$ is a monomorphism.*

7. *There are only finitely many i 's such that there exists a surface S in N_i which separates $\text{bdry } M_i$ from $\text{bdry } M_{i+1}$ and which has genus $< n$.*

This claim follows from 5. Hence we have by reindexing

7'. *If S is a surface in N_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, which separates $\text{bdry } M_i$ from $\text{bdry } M_{i+1}$, then $\text{genus } S \geq n$.*

8. *For $i = 2, 3, \dots$, the natural map $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bigcup_{k=i}^i N_k)$ is a monomorphism.*

The proof of 8 is by induction on i . Suppose $i = 2$ and $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_2) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_1)$ is not one-one. Then as in the proof of 6, there exists a 2-cell D in N_1 such that $D \cap \text{bdry } M_2 = \text{bdry } D$ is a nontrivial loop on M_2 .

Again we have two cases to consider depending upon whether $\text{bdry } D$ separates $\text{bdry } M_2$.

If $\text{bdry } D$ does not separate $\text{bdry } M_2$, then we can easily find a surface in the interior of N_1 separating $\text{bdry } M_1$ from $\text{bdry } M_2$ of genus $< n$. This contradicts 7'. Now consider the case when $\text{bdry } D$ separates $\text{bdry } M_2$.

Let $\bar{N}$ be a regular neighborhood of D in N_1 such that $\bar{N} \cap \text{bdry } M_2$ is a regular neighborhood of $\text{bdry } D$ in $\text{bdry } M_2$. Let $M'_2 = M_2 - \text{int}(\bar{N} \cup N_2)$. Since M_1 can be chosen to contain the carriers of elements of $H_1(M)$, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(M, M'_2) \rightarrow H_0(M'_2) \rightarrow H_0(M) = 0.$$

But

$$H_1(M, M'_2) = H_1(M - \text{int } M'_2, \text{bdry } M'_2).$$

Again,

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(\text{bdry } M'_2) &\rightarrow H_1(M - \text{int } M'_2) \rightarrow H_1(M - \text{int } M'_2, \text{bdry } M'_2) \\ &\rightarrow H_0(\text{bdry } M'_2) \rightarrow H_0(M - \text{int } M'_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{bdry } D$ separates $\text{bdry } M_2$ implies that $H_0(\text{bdry } M'_2) = Z$ (=integers). Since $H_1(M - \text{int } M'_2, \text{bdry } M'_2)$ maps onto Z and is free Abelian (for it is isomorphic to $H_0(M'_2)$), $H_1(M - \text{int } M'_2, \text{bdry } M'_2)$ has rank greater than zero. Hence $H_0(M'_2)$ has rank greater than zero and thereby the number of components of M'_2 is greater than one. The boundary components of M'_2 are A_1 and A_2 where $A_i \cap \text{bdry } M_2 \neq \emptyset$; in fact $A_1 \cap \text{bdry } M_2$ is separated from $A_2 \cap \text{bdry } M_2$ by $\text{bdry } D$. Since N_1 is a connected compact manifold, it follows that the component R_1 of M'_2 which contains $\text{bdry } M_1$ also contains one of the A_i 's, say A_1 . By using the collar of A_1 in R , one can find a homeomorphic copy of A_1 , say $\bar{A}_1$, such that $\bar{A}_1$ separates $\text{bdry } M_1$ from A_1 . Since N_1 is connected, there is only one other component of M'_2 , say R_2 , and $\text{bdry } R_2 = A_2$. Thus $\bar{A}_1$ separates $\text{bdry } M_1$ from $\text{bdry } M_2$ and genus $\bar{A}_1 < n$. This contradicts 7'. Hence $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_2) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_1)$ is a monomorphism.

The induction argument is essentially the same as the case $i=2$, except that the disk D may not lie in N_i ; i.e., D may intersect $R = \bigcup_{k=2}^{i-1} \text{bdry } M_k$. If so, put D into general position with respect to R , keeping $\text{bdry } D$ fixed. Then $D \cap R$ is a finite collection of simple closed curves $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^n$. Pick an innermost S_j on D ; suppose S_j bounds D_j on D and $S_j \subseteq \text{bdry } M_k$. Then D_j lies either in N_k or N_{k-1} . By either the induction hypothesis or 6', S_j bounds a disk D'_j on $\text{bdry } M_k$. Replace D by $D' = (D - D_j) \cup D'_j$. By using the collar of $\text{bdry } M_k$ we may

"pop" D_j off $\text{bdry } M_k$, eliminating the singularity S_j without introducing any new singularities. After a finite number of these steps we finally get a disk $D'' \subseteq N_i$ such that $D'' \cap \text{bdry } N_i = \text{bdry } D$. We continue then as in the case $i=2$ to get a contradiction.

9. If for $i=2, 3, \dots$, the natural maps $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_i)$ are epimorphisms, then the theorem is true.

This claim follows from the following fact. If $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_i)$ is an epimorphism, then by [2], N_i is homeomorphic to $(\text{bdry } M_i) \times [0, 1]$. We are left to consider the possibility that for infinitely many i 's, $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_i)$ is not onto. There is no loss of generality to assume that this occurs for all $i=2, 3, \dots$. By van Kampen's theorem,

$$\pi_1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} N_i, p_{k+1}\right) = \pi_1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k N_i, p_{k+1}\right) *_{G_{k+1}} \pi_1(N_{k+1}, p_{k+1})$$

i.e., if p_{k+1} is a point in $\text{bdry } M_{k+1}$, then the fundamental group of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} N_i$ is the free product of the fundamental groups of $\bigcup_{i=1}^k N_i$ and N_{k+1} with amalgamated subgroup $G_{k+1} = \pi_1(\text{bdry } M_{k+1}, p_{k+1})$. It follows from 6' and 8 that the "natural map"

$$\phi: \pi_1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k N_i, p_{k+1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_1\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} N_i, p_{k+1}\right)$$

is a monomorphism. Since $\pi_1(\text{bdry } M_{k+1}, p_{k+1}) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_{k+1}, p_{k+1})$ is not onto, ϕ is not onto. Hence we may assume that $\pi_1(\bigcup_{i=1}^k N_i, p_1)$ is identified with a proper subgroup of $\pi_1(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} N_i, p_1)$ with "identifying map" ϕ_k . Then $\pi_1(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i)$ is the direct limit of $\{\pi_1(\bigcup_{i=1}^k N_i), \phi_k\}$ and hence can be written as the infinite monotone union of proper subgroups which implies that $\pi_1(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i) = \pi_1(M - M_i)$ is infinitely generated. This contradiction establishes the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. W. Browder, J. Levine and G. R. Livesay, *Finding a boundary for an open manifold*, Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 1017-1028.
2. E. M. Brown, *Unknotting in $M^2 \times I$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1966), 480-505.
3. R. Crowell and R. H. Fox, *Introduction to knot theory*, Ginn, New York, 1963.
4. C. H. Edwards, Jr., *Open 3-manifolds which are simply connected at infinity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 391-395.
5. A. Karass, W. Magnus and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience, New York, 1966.
6. J. Milnor, *A unique decomposition theory for 3-manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 1-7.

7. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, *On solid tori*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 7 (1957), 281–299.

8. ———, *On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots*, Ann. of Math. (2) 66 (1957), 1–26.

9. L. Siebenmann, *The obstruction to finding a boundary for an open manifold of dimension greater than five*, Doctoral Dissertation, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N. J., 1965.

10. C. T. C. Wall, *Open 3-manifolds which are 1-connected at infinity*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 16 (1965) 263–268.

11. J. H. C. Whitehead, *A certain open manifold whose group is unity*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 6 (1935) 268–279.

12. C. H. Edwards, Jr., *Concentricity in 3-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1964), 406–423.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY AND
UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA