

ON THE ZEROS OF THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

BRUCE C. BERNDT

1. Introduction. If

$$R(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s),$$

the functional equation for the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ is given by $R(s) = R(1-s)$. Berlowitz [1] has recently shown that if $0 < \lambda < 1$, then both $\operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + it)$ and $\operatorname{Im} R(\lambda + it)$ vanish infinitely often. In this note we shall give an improvement on this result.

Let $N_R(\lambda, T)$ denote the number of zeros for $\operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + it)$ on $0 < t < T$. Similarly, $N_I(\lambda, T)$ denotes the number of zeros for $\operatorname{Im} R(\lambda + it)$ on $0 < t < T$.

THEOREM. *If $0 < \lambda < 1$, then*

$$(1.1) \quad N_R(\lambda, T) > AT$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad N_I(\lambda, T) > AT,$$

where $A = A(\lambda)$.

Here and elsewhere A , A_1 and A_2 denote positive constants and K_1 and K_2 complex constants, none of which is necessarily the same with each occurrence.

For $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, the result follows from a famous theorem of Hardy and Littlewood [2, p. 222]. In fact, we use their method [2, pp. 222–226] in our proof.

2. Proof of the theorem. We prove (1.1) for the case $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$. The proof for $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ will then follow from the functional equation $R(s) = R(1-s)$.

From [1] we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + it) e^{i\xi t} dt = (e^{-\lambda\xi} + e^{-(1-\lambda)\xi}) \psi(e^{-2\xi}) - \frac{1}{2} (e^{\lambda\xi} + e^{(1-\lambda)\xi}),$$

where $\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-n^2\pi x)$ and $\operatorname{Re} e^{-2\xi} > 0$. Putting

$$\xi = -i(\pi/4 - \delta/2) - y, \quad \delta > 0,$$

we see that

Received by the editors September 5, 1968.

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + it) e^{(\pi/4-\delta/2)t}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= (e^{\lambda\{i(\pi/4-\delta/2)+y\}} + e^{(1-\lambda)\{i(\pi/4-\delta/2)+y\}}) \psi(e^{i(\pi/2-\delta)+2y}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (e^{-\lambda\{i(\pi/4-\delta/2)+y\}} + e^{-(1-\lambda)\{i(\pi/4-\delta/2)+y\}}) \end{aligned}$$

are Fourier transforms. We now use formula (10.7.1), [2, p. 223], i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_t^{t+H} F(u) du \right|^2 dt &\leq 2H^2 \int_0^{1/H} |f(y)|^2 dy \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{1/H}^{\infty} |f(y)|^2 y^{-2} dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $H \geq 1$ is a constant to be chosen later. Letting $y = \log x$ and $G = e^{1/H}$, we see that (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (2.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_t^{t+H} F(u) du \right|^2 dt &= O \left\{ H^2 \int_1^G |\psi(e^{i(\pi/2-\delta)x^2})|^2 dx \right\} \\ &\quad + O \left\{ \int_G^{\infty} |\psi(e^{i(\pi/2-\delta)x^2})|^2 \frac{x^{1-2\lambda}}{\log^2 x} dx \right\} + O(H). \end{aligned}$$

The first integral on the right side of (2.2) is estimated in [2, p. 224], and is $O(H\delta^{-1/2})$ as δ tends to 0.

To estimate the second we write

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad &|\psi(e^{i(\pi/2-\delta)x^2})|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp[-2n^2\pi x^2 \sin \delta] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \neq n}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp[-(m^2 + n^2)\pi x^2 \sin \delta + i(m^2 - n^2)\pi x^2 \cos \delta]. \end{aligned}$$

To examine the contribution of the first sum on the right side of (2.3), divide the interval (G, ∞) into the subintervals $(G, \delta^{-1/2})$ and $(\delta^{-1/2}, \infty)$ and let the corresponding integrals be denoted by I_1 and I_2 , respectively. The sum under consideration is $O(x^{-1}\delta^{-1/2})$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= O\left(\delta^{-1/2} \int_G^{\delta^{-1/2}} \frac{x^{1-2\lambda}}{x \log^2 x} dx\right) \\ &= O(H\delta^{\lambda-1}), \end{aligned}$$

upon an integration by parts. The sum is also $O(\exp(-2\pi x^2\delta))$ for $x^2\delta \geq 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= O\left(\int_{\delta^{-1/2}}^{\infty} \frac{x^{1-2\lambda}}{\log^2 x} \exp(-2\pi x^2\delta) dx\right) \\ &= O\left(\delta^\lambda \int_{\delta^{-1/2}}^{\infty} x \exp(-2\pi x^2\delta) dx\right) \\ &= O(\delta^{\lambda-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The contribution of the second term on the right side of (2.3) is $O(H\delta^{-1/2})$ by the same argument as that in [2, p. 224].

Letting $\delta = 1/T$ and

$$I = \int_t^{t+H} \operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + iu) e^{(\pi/4-1/T)u} du,$$

we have shown that

$$(2.4) \quad \int_T^{2T} |I|^2 dt = O(HT^{1-\lambda}).$$

From the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + it) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ R(\lambda + it) + R(\lambda - it) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ R(\lambda + it) + R(1 - \lambda + it) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above and Stirling's formula for $\Gamma(\sigma + it)$, we find that

$$|\operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + it)| \geq e^{-\pi t/4} |K_1 t^{(\lambda-1)/2} \zeta(\lambda + it) + K_2 t^{-\lambda/2} \zeta(1 - \lambda + it)|.$$

Thus, if $T \leq t \leq 2T$,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_t^{t+H} |\operatorname{Re} R(\lambda + iu)| e^{(\pi/4-1/T)u} du \\ &\geq T^{(\lambda-1)/2} \int_t^{t+H} |K_1 \zeta(\lambda + iu) + K_2 u^{1/2-\lambda} \zeta(1 - \lambda + iu)| du \\ &\geq T^{(\lambda-1)/2} \left| \int_t^{t+H} \{K_1 \zeta(\lambda + iu) + K_2 u^{1/2-\lambda} \zeta(1 - \lambda + iu)\} du \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Using a simple approximation for $\zeta(s)$ [2, p. 67], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^{(1-\lambda)/2} J &\geq \left| \int_t^{t+H} \left\{ K_1 \left(\sum_{n \leq AT} n^{-\lambda-iu} + O(T^{-\lambda}) \right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + K_2 u^{1/2-\lambda} \left(\sum_{n \leq AT} n^{\lambda-1-iu} + O(T^{\lambda-1}) \right) \right\} du \right| \\
 &\geq (A_1 + A_2 T^{1/2-\lambda}) H + O \left\{ \left| \int_t^{t+H} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} n^{-\lambda-iu} du \right| \right\} \\
 &\quad + O \left\{ \left| \int_t^{t+H} u^{1/2-\lambda} \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} n^{\lambda-1-iu} du \right| \right\} + O(HT^{-\lambda}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Employing the second mean value theorem for integrals to the second integral on the right side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^{(1-\lambda)/2} J &\geq A_1 T^{1/2-\lambda} H + O(HT^{-\lambda}) \\
 (2.5) \quad &+ O \left\{ \left| \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} (1/n^{\lambda+i(t+H)} \log n - 1/n^{\lambda+it} \log n) \right| \right\} \\
 &+ O \left\{ T^{1/2-\lambda} \left| \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} (1/n^{1-\lambda+i(t+H)} \log n - 1/n^{1-\lambda+it} \log n) \right| \right\} \\
 &\geq A_1 T^{1/2-\lambda} H + \Psi,
 \end{aligned}$$

say, where $t < \tau < t+H$.

We show next that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_T^{2T} |\Psi|^2 dt = O(T^{2-2\lambda}).$$

Clearly, it is sufficient to examine

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} 1/n^{\lambda+it} \log n \right|^2 dt \\
 &= \int_T^{2T} \sum_{2 \leq m \leq AT} 1/m^{\lambda+it} \log m \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} 1/n^{\lambda-it} \log n dt \\
 &= T \sum_{2 \leq n \leq AT} 1/n^{2\lambda} \log^2 n + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq m, n \leq AT \\ m \neq n}} (1/(mn)^\lambda \log m \log n) \int_T^{2T} (n/m)^{it} dt \\
 &= O(T^{2-2\lambda}) + O \left(\sum_{2 \leq m < n \leq AT} 1/(mn)^\lambda \log m \log n \log n/m \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

This last sum is estimated in exactly the same manner as (7.2.1),

[2, p. 116], and is $O(T^{2-2\lambda})$. Replacing λ by $1-\lambda$ in the above calculation, we find

$$\int_T^{2T} \left| \sum_{2 \leq n \leq 4T} 1/n^{1-\lambda+it} \right|^2 dt = O(T^{2\lambda}).$$

Thus, we have proved (2.6).

Now let S denote the subset of $(T, 2T)$ where $I=J$. Thus,

$$\int_S |I| dt = \int_S J dt.$$

From (2.4),

$$(2.7) \quad \int_S |I| dt \leq \int_T^{2T} |I| dt \leq \left\{ T \int_T^{2T} |I|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ \leq A_2 H^{1/2} T^{1-\lambda/2}.$$

Also, from (2.5) and (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S J dt &\geq T^{(\lambda-1)/2} \int_S (A_1 T^{1/2-\lambda} H + \Psi) dt \\ &\geq A_1 H T^{-\lambda/2} m(S) - T^{(\lambda-1)/2} \int_T^{2T} |\Psi| dt \\ &\geq A_1 H T^{-\lambda/2} m(S) - T^{(\lambda-1)/2} \left\{ T \int_T^{2T} |\Psi|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\geq A_1 H T^{-\lambda/2} m(S) - A T^{1-\lambda/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m(S)$ denotes the measure of S . Combining the above with (2.7), we find that

$$A_1 H T^{-\lambda/2} m(S) \leq A T^{1-\lambda/2} + A_2 H^{1/2} T^{1-\lambda/2},$$

or

$$m(S) \leq A H^{-1/2} T.$$

Divide $(T, 2T)$ into $[T/2H]$ pairs of abutting intervals j_1, j_2 , each, except for possibly the last j_2 , of length H . Then, if j_1 does not contain entirely points of S , either j_1 or j_2 contains a zero of $\text{Re } R(\lambda+it)$. Thus, if there are ν j_1 -intervals containing only points of S , $\nu H \leq m(S)$, and $\text{Re } R(\lambda+it)$ has at least

$$[T/2H] - \nu > T/3H - AT/H^{3/2} > T/4H$$

zeros if H is large enough.

To prove (1.2) we merely observe that

$$i \operatorname{Im} R(\lambda + it) = \frac{1}{2} \{ R(\lambda + it) - R(1 - \lambda + it) \},$$

and then proceed as before.

REFERENCES

1. B. Berlowitz, *Extensions of a theorem of Hardy*, Acta Arith. **14** (1968), 203–207.
2. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS