## ON THE HAUSDORFF OPEN CONTINUOUS IMAGES OF HAUSDORFF PARACOMPACT p-SPACES

## H. H. WICKE1

- 1. Introduction. Ponomarev proved the following remarkable theorem: Every  $T_0$  first-countable space of infinite cardinality is an open continuous image of a zero-dimensional metrizable space of the same weight [8].<sup>2</sup> This theorem clearly and succinctly summarizes the behavior of metrizable spaces under open mappings. The purpose of this article is to prove an analogue of Ponomarev's theorem in a not necessarily first-countable situation and to develop some of its consequences. This analogue, Theorem 1 below, is a joint discovery of the author and Dr. J. M. Worrell, Jr. [10]. Remark 4 shows how a proof of Ponomarev's theorem may be derived from the proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 1 leads directly to a characterization (Theorem 2) of the class of Hausdorff open continuous images of Hausdorff paracompact p-spaces as the class of Hausdorff spaces of pointcountable type. The latter class generalizes the class of Hausdorff first-countable spaces. Both the concept of p-space and of space of point-countable type are due to Arhangel'skii [3], [4]. Theorem 3, a rather direct consequence of Theorem 1, answers a question of Arhangel'skii by generalizing a theorem of his to the Hausdorff case. A relation between Theorem 1, which involves single-valued mappings, and Theorem 3, which involves many-valued mappings, is pointed out in Remark 3.
- 2. **Terminology.** The general terminology used here is much like that of [7], one exception being that spaces called *compact* in [7] are here called *bicompact*. The usage of [7] in letting X ambiguously denote the topological space (X, 3) is followed where convenient, and *product space* refers to a Cartesian product of spaces endowed with the product topology [7]. A base for X means a base for the topology of X. The letter X denotes the set of positive integers and if X is a set, X (X) denotes the cardinal number of X. The weight [2] of a topological space X is defined as the smallest cardinal num-

Presented to the Society, April 19, 1969 under the title On a problem of Arhangel'skii; received by the editors June 24, 1968.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ponomarev does not point out that infinite cardinality is required. In fact, if S is a finite  $T_0$  but not  $T_1$  space any  $T_1$  open continuous preimage of S has infinite weight and cardinality. In Theorem 1 infinite cardinality is not required since the spaces here are assumed to be  $T_2$ .

ber m such that 3 has a base of cardinal m. A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called perfect [1] if and only if it is closed, continuous, and  $f^{-1}(y)$  is bicompact for all  $y \in Y$ . If  $\alpha$  is a collection of sets then  $St(x, \alpha)$  denotes  $\bigcup \{A \in \alpha: x \in A\}$ . A  $T_1$ -space X is called a p-space [3] if and only if there exists a sequence  $g_1, g_2, \cdots$  of collections of open subsets of the Wallman bicompactification  $\omega X$  of X covering X such that if  $x \in X$ ,  $\bigcap \{St(x, g_n): n \in N\} \subset X$ . If X is a Tychonoff space this definition is equivalent to one in which  $\beta X$  (the Stone-Čech bicompactification of X) replaces  $\omega X$ . A principal theorem for p-spaces, suggestive of the naturality of their use in Theorem 1, is that of Arhangel'skii: A  $T_2$ -space is a paracompact p-space if and only if there exists a perfect mapping of it onto a metrizable space [3, Theorem 5.1].

3. **Theorems.** If (X, 5) is a space and  $A \subset X$ , a subcollection  $\mathfrak{D}$  of  $\mathfrak{I}$  whose members include A is called a *base at* A if and only if for every  $U \in \mathfrak{I}$  such that  $U \supset A$ , there exists  $D \in \mathfrak{D}$  such that  $A \subset D \subset U$ .

If X is a space and  $A \subset X$ , then A is said to be of *countable character* [4] if and only if there exists a countable base at A.

A space X is said to be of *point-countable type* [4] if and only if X is covered by a collection of bicompact subspaces of countable character.

REMARK 1. Any first-countable space is of point-countable type. REMARK 2. The property of being of point-countable type is preserved by open continuous mappings.

The following lemma was stated by Arhangel'skiĭ [5, p. 158]. A proof is sketched here for completeness.

LEMMA 1. A Tychonoff p-space is of point-countable type.

**PROOF.** Every point of such a space X lies in a bicompact subset of X which is a  $G_{\delta}$ -set in  $\beta X$  and every such set has countable character.

LEMMA 2. In a Hausdorff space X the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) X is of point-countable type.
- (ii) If U is open in X and  $x \in U$  there exists a bicompact set B of countable character such that  $x \in B \subset U$ .

PROOF. Clearly (ii) implies (i). Suppose  $x \in U$  and U is open. There exists a bicompact set B of countable character containing x. Let  $\{U_k : k \in N\}$  be a base at B such that  $U_{k+1} \subset U_k$  for all  $k \in N$ . Then since X is Hausdorff  $B = \bigcap \{\overline{U}_k : k \in N\}$ . Let  $V_1 = U$ . Suppose open sets  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  have been defined such that  $x \in V_k \subset U_k \cap V_{k-1}$  and  $\overline{V}_k$  is disjoint from  $B \sim V_{k-1}$  for  $1 < k \le n$ . Since  $B \sim V_n$  is bicompact,  $x \in V_n$  and X is  $T_2$ , there exists an open set V such that  $x \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset X$   $\sim (B \sim V_n)$ . Let  $V_{n+1} = V \cap V_n \cap U_{n+1}$ . Thus there exists a sequence

 $\{V_n\}$  such that for all  $n \in N$ ,  $x \in V_{n+1} \subset V_n \cap U_{n+1}$  and  $\overline{V}_{n+1}$  is disjoint from  $B \sim V_n$ . Let  $C = \bigcap \{\overline{V}_n : n \in N\}$ . Then C is a closed (therefore bicompact) subset of B containing x. Since  $\overline{V}_{n+1} \subset (X \sim B) \cup V_n$ ,  $C = \bigcap \{V_n : n \in N\}$  and  $C \subset U$ . Suppose W is open and  $C \subset W$ . If no  $V_n \subset W$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  such that each  $x_k \in V_k \sim W$ . Since  $\bigcap \{\overline{V}_k \sim W : k \in N\} = \emptyset$  and B is bicompact, there exists n such that  $\overline{V}_k \sim W \subset X \sim B$  for all  $k \geq n$ . Let  $A = \{x_k : k \geq n\}$ . Then  $\overline{A} \subset X \sim W$  and  $\overline{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . For if  $B \subset X \sim \overline{A}$ , then for some  $k \geq n$ ,  $U_k \subset X \sim \overline{A} \subset X \sim A$  contradicting  $x_k \in A$ . If  $y \in \overline{A} \cap B$ ,  $y \in \overline{V}_k \sim W$  for all  $k \in N$ , again a contradiction. Hence some  $V_n \subset W$ , so that C has countable character.

THEOREM 1. Suppose X is a Hausdorff space of point-countable type. Then X is the range of an open continuous mapping  $\phi$  such that: (1) The domain Y of  $\phi$  is a Hausdorff paracompact p-space. (2) The weight of Y is the weight of X. (3) Y is a subspace of the product space of a zero-dimensional metrizable space and X.

Proof. See §4.

COMMENT. For Tychonoff spaces, part (1) can be derived from [4, Theorem 3.14] by the method of Remark 3 below.

THEOREM 2. A Hausdorff space is of point-countable type if and only if it is an open continuous image of a Hausdorff paracompact p-space.

PROOF. This follows from Theorem 1, Lemma 1, and Remark 2.

Recall that a many-valued mapping  $f: X \to Y$  is called *continuous* (from above) [9] if and only if for every  $x \in X$  if  $V \subset Y$  is open and  $fx \subset V$  there exists an open  $U \subset X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $f(U) \subset V$ . The mapping f is called range-bicompact (or Y-bicompact [9]) if and only if fx is bicompact for every  $x \in X$ . Arhangel'skil proved the following theorem with the additional hypothesis that X is a Tychonoff space [4, Theorem 3.14] and asked [4, p. 54] whether it is valid for a wider class of spaces.

THEOREM 3. Suppose X is a Hausdorff space. Then X is of point-countable type if and only if X is the range of an open continuous (possibly many-valued) range-bicompact mapping of a metrizable space.

PROOF. By Theorem 1, there exists a continuous mapping  $\phi$  of a  $T_2$  paracompact p-space Y onto X. By Arhangel'skii's theorem (see §2) there exists a perfect mapping  $\theta$  of Y onto a metrizable space M. It is straightforward to show that  $\phi \circ \theta^{-1}$  is an open continuous range-bicompact mapping of M onto X. The sufficiency follows from [4, Proposition 3.6].

REMARK 3. Theorem 3 can be used to derive part (1) of Theorem 1. For if f is an open continuous many-valued range-bicompact mapping of a metrizable space X onto a Hausdorff space Y of point-countable type, let  $Z = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in fx\}$ , under the topology induced by the product topology. The set Z is called the graph of f by Ponomarev [9]. If  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  denote the projections of Z onto X and Y respectively, then it may be seen that  $f = \phi$  o  $\theta^{-1}$  where  $\phi$  is open and continuous and  $\theta$  is perfect. (This statement may be proved in a fashion similar to that used by Ponomarev in showing that a perfect mapping f factors into  $\phi$  o  $\theta^{-1}$  where  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are perfect [9, Theorem 1, §2].) Hence Z is a paracompact p-space by Arhangel'skii's theorem and  $\phi$  maps Z onto Y.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF. Assume  $\aleph(X)$  is infinite. Let  $\mathfrak C$  denote  $\{B \subset X : B \text{ is bicompact and of countable character}\}$ . For some base  $\mathfrak W$  of X such that weight of  $X = \aleph(\mathfrak W)$ , let  $\mathfrak T$  denote the collection of all unions of finite subcollections of  $\mathfrak W$ . Then  $\aleph(\mathfrak T) = \text{weight of } X \text{ and } \mathfrak W \subset \mathfrak T$ . Call a sequence  $\alpha$  admissible if and only if for each  $n \in \mathbb N$ : (1)  $\alpha(n) \in \mathfrak T$ ; (2)  $\alpha(n+1) \subset \alpha(n)$ ; (3) for some  $B \in \mathfrak C$ ,  $B = \bigcap \{\alpha(k) : k \in \mathbb N\}$  and  $\{\alpha(k) : k \in \mathbb N\}$  is a base at B. Using bicompactness it may be seen that for each  $B \in \mathfrak C$  there exists an admissible sequence  $\alpha$  satisfying (3) with respect to B.

Consider  $\mathfrak F$  as a topological space with the discrete topology and let  $\Delta$  denote the product space of countably many copies of  $\mathfrak F$ . Let  $\Gamma = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha \text{ is admissible}\}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a metrizable zero-dimensional space (it is a subspace of a Baire space [6]). Let  $\Gamma \times X$  denote the product space of  $\Gamma$  and X and let

$$Y = \{(\alpha, x) \in \Gamma \times X : x \in \bigcap \{\alpha(k) : k \in N\}\},\$$

with the topology induced by the product topology. Note that Y is Hausdorff. Let  $\theta = \pi_1 | Y$  and  $\phi = \pi_2 | Y$ , where  $\pi_i$  denotes projection onto the *i*th coordinate. Then  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are continuous mappings of Y onto  $\Gamma$  and X respectively.

If  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , let  $S(\alpha \mid n) = \{\alpha' \in \Gamma : \alpha'(k) = \alpha(k), k = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\{S(\alpha \mid n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha \in \Gamma\}$  is a base for  $\Gamma$ . For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{F}$  such that  $V \subset \alpha(n)$  let  $D(\alpha \mid n; V)$  denote  $(S(\alpha \mid n) \times V) \cap Y$ . Then  $\mathfrak{B} = \{D(\alpha \mid n; V) : \alpha \in \Gamma, n \in \mathbb{N}, V \in \mathfrak{F}, \text{ and } V \subset \alpha(n)\}$  is a base for Y. Since  $\Re(\mathfrak{F}) = \text{weight of } X$ ,  $\Re(\mathfrak{B}) = \text{weight of } X$ .

Suppose  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $V \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and  $V \subset \alpha(n)$ . Then clearly  $\phi[D(\alpha \mid n; V)] \subset V$ . If  $x \in V$ , then by Lemma 2 there exists  $B \in \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $x \in B \subset V$ . Let  $\beta \in \Gamma$  be such that  $\{\beta(k) : k \in N\}$  is a base at B. There exists k such that  $\beta(k) \subset V$ . The sequence  $\alpha'$  such that  $\alpha'(j) = \alpha(j)$ ,  $1 \le j \le n$ 

and  $\alpha'(j) = \beta(k+j)$  for j > n is admissible and  $(\alpha', x) \in D(\alpha \mid n; V)$ . Hence  $\phi[D(\alpha \mid n; V)] = V$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is an open mapping.

If it is shown that  $\theta$  is a perfect mapping, then by Arhangel'skii's theorem cited in §2, Y is a paracompact p-space. Suppose  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $B = \bigcap \{\alpha(k) : k \in N\}$ . Then, since B is bicompact,  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha) = \{\alpha\} \times B$  is bicompact. Hence  $\theta$  is a bicompact mapping. To show that  $\theta$  is closed suppose W is open in Y and  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha) \subset W$ . There exist  $m \in N$  and sets  $D_k = D(\alpha_k \mid n(k); V_k) \in \mathbb{G}$  intersecting  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , such that  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha) \subset \bigcup \{D_k : k \leq m\} \subset W$ . Since  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha)$  meets each  $D_k$ ,  $\alpha_k(j) = \alpha(j)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n(k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . Also  $B \subset \bigcup \{V_k : k \leq m\}$ . By conditions (2) and (3) on admissible sequences there exists  $n \geq \max\{n(k) : k \leq m\}$  such that  $B \subset \alpha(n) \subset \bigcup \{V_k : k \leq m\}$ . If  $(\alpha', x) \in D = D(\alpha \mid n; \alpha(n))$ , then  $x \in V_k$  for some k, and therefore  $(\alpha', x) \in D_k \subset W$ . Hence  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha) \subset D \subset W$ . Since any  $\theta^{-1}(\alpha')$  intersecting D is a subset of D,  $D = \theta^{-1}\theta(D)$ . It follows that  $\theta$  is a closed mapping.

REMARK 4. If the space X is  $T_0$  and first-countable, then  $\mathfrak C$  in the above proof can be taken as the collection  $\{\{x\}:x\in X\}$ . Then each admissible sequence  $\alpha$  is such that  $\bigcap \{\alpha(k):k\in N\}=\{x\}$  for some  $x\in X$ . It follows that Y is homeomorphic to  $\Gamma$  and thus X is an open continuous image of  $\Gamma$ . This proves Ponomarev's theorem.

## REFERENCES

- 1. P. S. Aleksandrov (= P. S. Alexandroff), On certain results in the theory of topological spaces obtained in the last twenty-five years, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 15 (1960), No. 2(92), 25-95 = Russian Math. Surveys 15 (1960), No. 2, 23-83.
- 2. P. S. Aleksandrov and P. S. Uryson (= P. S. Urysohn), Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts, Verh. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Afd. Natuurk. Sect. I 14, No. 1 (1929), 1-96.
- 3. A. V. Arhangel'skii, On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally bicompact spaces, Mat. Sb. 67 (1965), 55-85. (Russian)
- 4. ——, Bicompact sets and the topology of spaces, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 13 (1965), 3-55 = Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 1965, 1-62.
- 5. ——, Mappings and spaces, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 21 (1966), No. 4(130), 133-184 = Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966), No. 4, 115-162.
  - 6. F. Hausdorff, Mengenlehre, 3rd ed., Gruyter, Berlin-Leipzig, 1935.
  - 7. J. L. Kelley, General topology, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
- 8. V. I. Ponomarev, Axioms of countability and continuous mappings, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 8 (1960), 127-133. (Russian)
- 9. ——, Properties of topological spaces preserved under multivalued continuous mappings, Mat. Sb. 51(93) (1960), 515-537; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)38 (1964), 119-140.
- 10. J. M. Worrell, Jr. and H. H. Wicke, Concerning a class of completely regular spaces described by Arhangel'skii, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1968), 352.

SANDIA LABORATORIES, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO