

# LEBESGUE CHARACTERIZATIONS OF UNIFORMITY- DIMENSION FUNCTIONS

JAMES C. SMITH, JR.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(X, p)$  be a metric space, let  $\dim(X)$  be the covering dimension of  $X$ , and let  $d_0(X, p)$  be the metric dimension of  $X$ . Let  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  denote the metric-dependent dimension functions introduced by Nagami and Roberts [7], and let  $d_6$  and  $d_7$  be the metric-dependent dimension functions introduced by Smith [9]. Characterizations of some of these metric-dependent dimension functions in terms of Lebesgue covers have been given by Egorov [1], Wilkinson [11] and Smith [9]. These results are described by the following table.

Metric-dependent dimension function	Characterization
$d_2(X, p) \leq n$	Every Lebesgue cover consisting of $n+2$ members has an open refinement of order $\leq n+1$
$d_3(X, p) \leq n$	Every finite Lebesgue cover has an open refinement of order $\leq n+1$
$d_6(X, p) \leq n$	Every countable Lebesgue cover has an open refinement of order $\leq n+1$
$d_7(X, p) \leq n$	Every locally finite Lebesgue cover has an open refinement of order $\leq n+1$
$d_0(X, p) \leq n$	Every Lebesgue cover has an open refinement of order $\leq n+1$

Soniat [10] has generalized the dimension functions  $d_0$ ,  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  for uniform spaces and obtained Lebesgue-type characterizations for  $d_3$  and  $d_0$ . In this paper we complete the above characterization table for uniform spaces. In §2 we develop Lebesgue cover properties for uniform spaces and characterize  $d_2$ . In §§3 and 4 we generalize the dimension functions  $d_6$  and  $d_7$  to uniform spaces and characterize them in terms of Lebesgue covers.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathfrak{D} = \{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda : \lambda \in A\}$  be a family of collections of subsets of  $X$ . For each  $\lambda \in A$ , let  $\mathfrak{D}_\lambda = \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in A_\lambda\}$ . Then

$$\bigwedge_{\lambda \in A} \{\mathfrak{D}_\lambda\} = \{\bigcap D_{\alpha(\lambda)} : \alpha(\lambda) \in A_\lambda, \lambda \in A\}.$$

---

Received by the editors September 20, 1968.

DEFINITION. Throughout this paper  $J$  will denote the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  and  $J' = J \cup \{n+2\}$ , where the integer  $n$  will always be understood.

**2. Characterization of  $d_2$  for uniform spaces.** The reader is referred to the papers by Nagami and Roberts [7], Smith [9], and Soniat [10] for the definitions of the dimension functions  $d_0, d_2, d_3, d_6$  and  $d_7$  and the generalizations of  $d_0, d_2$ , and  $d_3$  to uniform spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $C$  and  $C'$  be subsets of a uniform space  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$ . We say that  $C$  and  $C'$  are *separated* provided there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $(C \times C') \cap U = \emptyset$ . If  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\alpha, C'_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a family of pairs  $(C_\alpha, C'_\alpha)$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *uniformly separated* if there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $(C_\alpha \times C'_\alpha) \cap U = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A cover  $\mathfrak{D}$  of a uniform space  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  is called *Lebesgue* if there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A cover  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of a uniform space  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  is called  *$\mathfrak{U}$ -shrinkable* if there exists some  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  and a cover  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  such that

- (1)  $F_\alpha \subset D_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ .
- (2)  $\{F_\alpha, X - D_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is uniformly separated by  $U$ .

THEOREM 2.4. A cover  $\mathfrak{D}$  of a uniform space  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  is Lebesgue if and only if  $\mathfrak{D}$  is  $\mathfrak{U}$ -shrinkable.

PROOF (Necessity). Let  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a Lebesgue cover of  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$ . Then there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Choose  $V \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $V$  is symmetric and  $V^2 \subset U$ . Define  $F_\alpha = \{x : V(x) \cap (X - D_\alpha) = \emptyset\}$  for  $\alpha \in A$ .

(i) We assert that  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  covers  $X$ . Clearly  $F_\alpha \subset D_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ . Let  $x \in D_\alpha - F_\alpha$ . Then since  $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$ , there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that  $V(x) \subset U(x) \subset D_\beta$ . Hence  $V(x) \cap (X - D_\beta) = \emptyset$  so that  $x \in F_\beta$ .

(ii) We now assert that  $\{F_\alpha, X - D_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is uniformly separated by  $V$ . Suppose there exists some  $\beta \in A$  such that  $[F_\beta \times (X - D_\beta)] \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $(x, y) \in [F_\beta \times (X - D_\beta)] \cap V$ . Then  $x \in F_\beta$ ,  $y \in X - D_\beta$  and  $x \in V(y)$  and  $y \in V(x)$ . But  $x \in F_\beta$  implies  $V(x) \cap [X - D_\beta] = \emptyset$  so  $y \notin V(x)$ , a contradiction.

REMARK. It should be noted at this point that the cover  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  defined above is Lebesgue. If  $x \in X$ , then there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that  $x \in U(x) \subset D_\beta$ . Clearly  $x \in F_\beta$  and we assert that  $V(x) \subset F_\beta$ . Let  $y \in V(x)$  and  $z \in V(y)$ , so that  $(x, y) \in V$  and  $(y, z) \in V$ . Hence  $(x, z) \in V^2 \subset U$  and therefore  $z \in U(x) \subset D_\beta$ . It now follows that  $V(y) \cap (X - D_\beta) = \emptyset$  and  $y \in F_\beta$ . Thus  $V(x) \subset F_\beta$ .

(Sufficiency). Suppose  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is  $\mathfrak{U}$ -shrinkable to  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ , where  $\{F_\alpha, X - D_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is uniformly separated by symmetric  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a cover of  $X$ , there exists  $\beta \in A$  such that  $x \in F_\beta$ . Let  $y \in U(x)$  so that  $(x, y) \in U$ . But

$$U \cap [F_\beta \times (X - D_\beta)] = \emptyset$$

and hence  $y \notin X - D_\beta$ . Thus  $y \in D_\beta$  and  $U(x) \subset D_\beta$ . Therefore  $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is Lebesgue.

For normal uniform spaces  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  Soniat has shown the following [10, Theorem 3.8].

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a normal uniform space. Then  $d_2(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if and only if for every uniformly separated collection  $\{C_i, C'_i : i \in J\}$  of closed sets, there exists a closed collection  $\{B_i : i \in J\}$  such that  $B_i$  separates  $C_i$  and  $C'_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i \in J} B_i = \emptyset$ .*

*Note.* Here the separating sets  $B_i$  are subsets of  $X$  and separate  $C_i$  and  $C'_i$  in the usual sense and are not to be confused with elements of the uniformity  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

The next theorem now follows directly from [9, Theorem 2.3] where the Lebesgue covers are now in the uniformity sense rather than the metric sense.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a completely normal uniform space. Then  $d_2(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if and only if for every collection  $\{\mathfrak{D}_i : i \in J\}$  of  $n+1$  binary Lebesgue covers of  $X$ , the cover  $\mathfrak{D} = \bigwedge_{i \in J} \mathfrak{D}_i$  of  $X$  has an open refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ .*

We now obtain a Lebesgue characterization of  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  analogous to [9, Theorem 2.4].

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a completely normal uniform space. Then  $d_2(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if and only if every Lebesgue cover  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n+2}\}$  of  $X$  consisting of  $n+2$  members has an open refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ .*

**PROOF (Necessity).** Suppose  $d_2(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$ , and let  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n+2}\}$  be a Lebesgue cover of  $X$ . Then there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$ . By Theorem 2.4 above we can uniformly shrink  $\mathfrak{D}$  to a closed Lebesgue cover  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n+2}\}$  such that  $F_i \subset D_i$  for  $i \in J'$ . Then for each  $i \in J$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_i = \{D_i, X - F_i\}$  is a binary Lebesgue cover of  $X$ . By Theorem 2.6 above  $\mathfrak{D}^* = \bigwedge_{i \in J} \mathfrak{D}_i$  has an open refinement  $\mathfrak{D}^{**}$  such that  $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{D}^{**}) \leq n+1$ . But  $\mathfrak{D}^*$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$  since  $\mathfrak{F}$  covers  $X$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{D}^{**}$  is the desired open cover.

(Sufficiency). Let  $\{C_i, C'_i: i \in J\}$  be a collection of  $n+1$  pairs of closed sets which are uniformly separated by  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Choose  $K$  and  $V$  symmetric in  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $K \subset K^2 \subset V \subset V^2 \subset U$ . Now define  $\mathcal{K} = \{K(x): x \in X\}$  and  $\mathfrak{V} = \{V(x): x \in X\}$ . Define for each  $i \in J$ ,  $D_i = \text{St}(C_i, \mathfrak{V})$  and  $H_i = [\text{St}(C_i, \mathcal{K})]^-$  where  $\text{St}(C_i, \mathfrak{V})$  is the star of  $C_i$  with respect to the cover  $\mathfrak{V}$ . Let  $D_{n+2} = X - \bigcup_{i \in J} H_i$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{n+2}\}$  is an open Lebesgue cover of  $X$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{D}$  has an open refinement  $\mathfrak{R} = \{R_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$  such that the  $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{R}) \leq n+1$ . Define  $f$  to be the function,  $f: A \rightarrow J'$ , such that

$$f(\alpha) = \{\text{smallest integer } i \in J' \text{ such that } R_\alpha \subset D_i\}.$$

Now define  $R_i = \bigcup \{R_\alpha: f(\alpha) = i\}$  for each  $i \in J'$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{n+2}\}$  may replace  $\{R_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ . Choose  $K^* \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $(K^*)^2 \subset K$  and define

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \{K^*(x): x \in X\}, \quad E_i = \{x: x \in C_i, x \notin R_i\},$$

$$S_i = \text{St}(E_i, \mathcal{K}^*), \quad R_i^* = R_i \cup S_i, \quad \text{for } i \in J, \quad \text{and} \quad R_{n+2}^* = R_{n+2}.$$

Now  $S_i \cap D_{n+2} = \emptyset$ ; for  $x \in S_i$  implies that  $x \in \text{St}(E_i, \mathcal{K}^*) \subset \text{St}(C_i, \mathcal{K}) \subset H_i$  so that  $x \notin D_{n+2}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{R}^* = \{R_1^*, R_2^*, \dots, R_{n+2}^*\}$  is an open cover of  $X$  such that  $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{R}^*) \leq n+1$  and  $C_i \subset R_i^*$  for  $i \in J$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}^*$  is finite there exists by [5, Lemma 1.5] a closed cover  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{n+2}\}$  of  $X$  such that  $C_i \subset F_i \subset R_i^*$  for  $i \in J$ , and  $F_{n+2} \subset R_{n+2}^*$ .  $X$  normal implies that there exist open sets  $0_i$  such that  $F_i \subset 0_i \subset \bar{0}_i \subset R_i^*$  for  $i \in J$ . Define  $B_i = \bar{0}_i - 0_i$  for  $i \in J$ . Clearly  $B_i$  is a closed set separating  $C_i$  and  $C'_i$  for  $i \in J$ . We assert  $\bigcap_{i \in J} B_i = \emptyset$ . Suppose there exists  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in J} B_i$ . Then  $x \notin F_i$  for each  $i \in J$ . Hence  $x \in F_{n+2} \subset R_{n+2}^*$ . But  $x \in R_i^*$  for all  $i \in J$  and hence  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in J'} R_i^*$ . This is a contradiction since  $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{R}^*) \leq n+1$ . Hence  $d_2(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$ .

### 3. The uniformity dimension function $d_6$

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a uniform space. If  $X = \emptyset$ ,  $d_6(X, \mathfrak{U}) = -1$ . Otherwise  $d_6(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  satisfies this condition:  $(D_6)$  Given any countable collection of closed pairs  $\{C_i, C'_i: i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that

- (1)  $\{C_i, C'_i: i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is uniformly separated,
- (2)  $\{X - C'_i: i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is locally finite,

then there exist closed sets  $B_i$  separating  $C_i$  and  $C'_i$  such that  $\text{ord} \{B_i: i = 1, 2, \dots\} \leq n$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a paracompact uniform space. Then  $d_6(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if and only if every countable, locally finite Lebesgue cover of  $X$  has an open refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ .

PROOF. The proof is essentially the same proof as that of [9, Theorem 3.2].

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a uniform space. Then every countable Lebesgue cover of  $X$  has a countable locally finite Lebesgue refinement.*

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{D} = \{D_1, D_2, \dots\}$  be a Lebesgue cover of  $X$ . Then there exists  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Choose  $V$  and  $K$  symmetric in  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $K \subset K^4 \subset V \subset V^2 \subset U$  and define  $F_i = \{x : V(x) \cap [X - D_i] = \emptyset\}$  for all  $i$ . As before  $\mathfrak{F} = \{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a Lebesgue cover of  $X$ . Now let

$$R_i = D_i - \bigcup_{j < i} [\text{St}(F_j, \mathfrak{K})]^- , \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{K} = \{K(x) : x \in X\}.$$

Clearly  $\mathfrak{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \dots\}$  refines  $\mathfrak{D}$  in a 1-1 manner. We assert that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a locally finite Lebesgue cover of  $X$ .

(i) Let  $x \in X$ . Choose the smallest  $i$  such that  $x \in [\text{St}(F_i, \mathfrak{K})]^-$ . Then  $x \in D_i - \bigcup_{j < i} [\text{St}(F_j, \mathfrak{K})]^- = R_i$  and hence  $R$  covers  $X$ . Also  $\text{St}(F_j, \mathfrak{K}) \cap R_i = \emptyset$  for all  $i > j$  so that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is locally finite.

(ii) Let  $x \in X$ . Choose the smallest  $i$  such that  $K(x) \cap [\text{St}(F_i, \mathfrak{K})]^- \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly  $K(x) \subset X - \bigcup_{j < i} [\text{St}(F_j, \mathfrak{K})]^-$ . We claim that  $K(x) \subset D_i$ . Then  $K(x) \subset R_i$  and hence  $\mathfrak{R}$  is Lebesgue.

Let  $y \in K(x)$ . Since  $K(x)$  can be open, there exists  $r \in X$  such that  $r \in K(x) \cap \text{St}(F_i, \mathfrak{K})$ . Thus there exist  $s \in X$  and  $t \in F_i$  such that  $r \in K(s)$  and  $t \in K(s) \cap F_i$ . Therefore we have  $t \in K(s)$ ,  $s \in K(r)$ ,  $r \in K(x)$ , and  $x \in K(y)$ . Hence  $(t, y) \in K^4 \subset V$  so that  $y \in V(t)$ . By definition  $t \in F_i$  implies that  $V(t) \cap [X - D_i] = \emptyset$ . Thus  $y \in V(t) \subset D_i$ ; so that  $K(x) \subset D_i$ .

We now have a Lebesgue characterization for  $d_6$ .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a paracompact uniform space. Then  $d_6(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if and only if every countable Lebesgue cover has an open refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ .*

#### 4. The uniformity dimension function $d_7$

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a uniform space. If  $X = \emptyset$ , then  $d_7(X, \mathfrak{U}) = -1$ . Otherwise,  $d_7(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  satisfies this condition.

(D<sub>7</sub>) Given any collection of closed pairs  $\{C_\alpha, C'_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  such that

- (1)  $\{C_\alpha, C'_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  are uniformly separated.
- (2)  $\{X - C'_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is locally finite.

Then there exist closed sets  $B_\alpha$  separating  $C_\alpha$  and  $C'_\alpha$  such that  $\text{ord}\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \leq n$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$  be a paracompact uniform space. Then  $d_7(X, \mathfrak{U}) \leq n$  if and only if every locally finite Lebesgue cover of  $X$  has a refinement of order  $\leq n+1$ .*

**PROOF.** The proof is essentially the same as proof of [9, Theorem 4.2]. Paracompactness is now required so that [6, Theorem 1.3] is applicable.

**5. Conclusion.** The table in paragraph 1 is now complete for uniform spaces  $(X, \mathfrak{U})$ . The Lebesgue characterizations are exactly the same as for metric spaces but complete normality is required for the dimension functions  $d_2$ ,  $d_3$  and paracompactness is required for  $d_6$ ,  $d_7$  and  $d_0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. V. I. Egorov, *On the metric dimension of point sets*, Mat. Sb. 48 (1959), 227–250. (Russian)
2. R. E. Hodel, *Note on metric-dependent dimension functions*, Fund. Math. 61 (1967), 83–89.
3. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
4. M. Katětov, *On the relation between metric and topological dimensions*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 83 (1958), 163–166. (Russian: English summary)
5. K. Morita, *On the dimension of normal spaces*. I, Japan J. Math. 20 (1950), 5–36.
6. ———, *On the dimension of normal spaces*. II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 2 (1950), 16–33.
7. K. Nagami and J. H. Roberts, *Study of metric-dependent dimension functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (1967), 414–435.
8. J. Nagata, *Modern dimension theory*, Interscience, New York, 1965.
9. J. C. Smith, *Characterizations of metric-dependent dimension functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1264–1269.
10. L. Soniat, Ph.D. dissertation, Duke University, 1967. (Unpublished)
11. J. B. Wilkinson, *Covering dimension and metric-dependent dimension functions*, Ph.D. dissertation, Duke University, 1966. (unpublished)

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE