

# ON A THEOREM OF REPRESENTATION OF LATTICES

S. P. WANG<sup>1</sup>

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $\Gamma$  a discrete subgroup of  $G$  and  $\mu$  a fixed right Haar measure of  $G$ .  $\mu$  induces a measure  $\bar{\mu}$  over  $G/\Gamma$ , the homogeneous space of left cosets of  $\Gamma$ .  $\Gamma$  is called a *lattice* of  $G$  if  $\bar{\mu}(G/\Gamma)$  is finite. We denote the set of all representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ , i.e., all homomorphisms from  $\Gamma$  into  $G$  by  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ . We shall give  $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$  the compact-open topology. Let  $H$  be any closed subgroup of  $G$ . We use  $U^H$  to mean the *induced unitary representation* of  $G$  by the trivial one dimensional unitary representation of  $H$ . In this note, we are going to prove the following

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factor,  $\Gamma$  a lattice of  $G$  and  $\{r_n\}$  a sequence of representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ . If  $\Gamma_n = r_n(\Gamma)$  are discrete for all  $n$  and  $\lim_n r_n = 1_\Gamma$ , then the trivial representation of  $\Gamma$  is weakly contained in  $\{\oplus U^{\Gamma_n} | \Gamma\}$ .<sup>2</sup>*

As a consequence of the preceding proposition and results in [3] and [6], one can establish the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple Lie group with each factor of  $\mathbf{R}$ -rank  $\geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma$  a lattice of  $G$  and  $\{r_n\}$  a sequence of representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ . If  $r_n(\Gamma)$  are all discrete and  $\lim_n r_n = 1_\Gamma$ , then  $r_n(\Gamma)$  are lattices of  $G$  for sufficiently large values of  $n$ .*

In general the theorem is not true without the restriction on the  $\mathbf{R}$ -rank of each factor of  $G$ . This can be illustrated by the classical counterexample in the case of the upper half-plane: the Hecke groups  $\Gamma_c$  generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $c \geq 2$ . They are all isomorphic to  $\Gamma_2$ , but only  $\Gamma_2$  is a lattice.

Before we give the proofs of the proposition and the theorem, let us verify some lemmas needed later.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G$  be a semisimple Lie group without compact factor,  $\Gamma$  a lattice of  $G$  and  $\{r_n\}$  a sequence of representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $G$  such that  $\Gamma_n = r_n(\Gamma)$  are all discrete. If  $\lim_n r_n = 1_\Gamma$ , then we have*

---

Received by the editors November 9, 1968.

<sup>1</sup> The author would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

<sup>2</sup> For weak containment, see [2].

(i)  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  is uniformly discrete, i.e., there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of identity  $e$  in  $G$  with  $\Gamma_n \cap V = \{e\}$  for all  $n$ .

(ii)  $\Gamma \cap Z(G)$  is of finite index in  $Z(G)$  and  $r_n|_{\Gamma \cap Z(G)} = 1_{\Gamma \cap Z(G)}$  for sufficiently large value of  $n$ , where  $Z(G)$  is the center of  $G$ .

PROOF. Since  $\Gamma$  is a lattice, by the density theorem of lattices [1],  $Z(G)\Gamma$  is also a lattice. Hence it follows easily that  $\Gamma \cap Z(G)$  is of finite index in  $Z(G)$ . Let  $\hat{G}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $\text{Ad}: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\hat{G})$  the adjoint representation of  $G$ . By the density theorem [1],  $l(\text{Ad}(\Gamma))$ , the linear span of  $\text{Ad}(\Gamma)$  in  $\text{End}(\hat{G})$ , equals  $l(\text{Ad}(G))$ . Since  $\lim_n r_n = 1_\Gamma$ ,  $\dim l(\text{Ad}(\Gamma_n)) = \dim l(\text{Ad}(\Gamma)) = \dim l(\text{Ad}(G))$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore  $l(\text{Ad}(\Gamma_n)) = l(\text{Ad}(G))$  holds for large  $n$ . It follows in particular that the  $r_n(\Gamma \cap Z(G))$  are central for large  $n$ . As  $Z(G)$  is discrete and  $\Gamma \cap Z(G)$  finitely generated,  $r_n|_{\Gamma \cap Z(G)} = 1_{\Gamma \cap Z(G)}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $G$  be a Lie group,  $\mu$  a Haar measure, and  $U, V, W$  three  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $G$ . If  $\mu(V - W) + \mu(W - V) < \epsilon$ , then

$$|\mu(U - V) - \mu(U - W)| < \epsilon.$$

PROOF.  $U - V = (U - (V \cup W)) \cup ((W - V) \cap U)$  and  $U - W = (U - (V \cup W)) \cup ((V - W) \cap U)$ .

Hence

$$|\mu(U - V) - \mu(U - W)| \leq \mu(V - W) + \mu(W - V) < \epsilon.$$

COROLLARY 3. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two finite  $\mu$ -measurable open subsets of  $G$  and  $(\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_n^{(m)}) \in G^n$  such that  $\lim_m (\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_n^{(m)}) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in G^n$ .

Then

$$\lim_m \mu(U - V\gamma_1^{(m)} - \dots - V\gamma_n^{(m)}) = \mu(U - V\gamma_1 - \dots - V\gamma_n).$$

PROOF. Let  $W$  be any finite  $\mu$ -measurable open subset of  $G$ . Then the function  $f_W(x) = \mu(W - Wx)$ ,  $x \in G$  is continuous. Hence

$$\lim_m \mu(V\gamma_i - V\gamma_i^{(m)}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_m \mu(V\gamma_i^{(m)} - V\gamma_i) = 0,$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then by Lemma 2, the corollary follows immediately.

COROLLARY 4. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two finite  $\mu$ -measurable open subsets,  $\Gamma$  a discrete subgroup of  $G$  and  $\{r_n\}$  a sequence of representations of  $\Gamma$  in  $G$  such that  $\lim_n r_n = 1_\Gamma$ . Then  $\lim_n \sup \mu(U - V\Gamma_n) \leq \mu(U - V\Gamma)$  where  $\Gamma_n = r_n(\Gamma)$  for all  $n$ .

PROOF. As  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\Gamma$  is countable. Let  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i, \dots\}$  and  $U_n = U - V\gamma_1 - \dots - V\gamma_n$ . Then  $\{U_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence of finite  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $G$ . Since  $\bigcap_n U_n = U - V\Gamma$ ,  $\lim_n \mu(U_n) = \mu(U - V\Gamma)$ . Let  $\epsilon$  be any positive number. There exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $0 \leq \mu(U_n) - \mu(U - V\Gamma) < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Let  $\gamma_i^{(m)} = r_m(\gamma_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly  $\lim_m (\gamma_1^{(m)}, \dots, \gamma_n^{(m)}) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ . Thus by Corollary 3,

$$\lim_m \mu(U - V\gamma_1^{(m)} - \dots - V\gamma_N^{(m)}) = \mu(U_N) < \mu(U - V\Gamma) + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\mu(U - V\Gamma_m) \leq \mu(-V\gamma_1^{(m)} - \dots - V\gamma_N^{(m)})$  for all  $m$ ,  $\lim_m \sup \mu(U - V\Gamma_m) \leq \mu(U - V\Gamma) + \epsilon$ . However  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary positive number. This implies

$$\limsup_n \mu(U - V\Gamma_n) \leq \mu(U - V\Gamma).$$

Now we are ready to prove the proposition and the theorem.

PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION. By Lemma 1, there exists an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $e$  in  $G$  such that  $W^{-1}W \cap \Gamma_n = \{e\}$  for all  $n$ . By constructing it properly, we can find a fundamental domain  $F$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $W \subset F$  and the boundary of  $F$  has 0  $\mu$ -measure. Let  $V$  be the interior of  $F$ . It is clear that  $\mu(V) = \bar{\mu}(G/\Gamma) < \infty$  and  $\mu(xV - V\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore by Corollary 4,  $\lim_n \mu(xV - V\Gamma_n) = 0$ . Let  $\bar{\mu}_n$  be the invariant measure of  $G/\Gamma_n$  induced from  $\mu$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_n(xV\Gamma_n - V\Gamma_n) &= \bar{\mu}_n((xV - V\Gamma_n)\Gamma_n) \\ &\leq \mu(xV - V\Gamma_n), \quad \text{for all } n, \end{aligned}$$

and all  $x \in G$ . Hence  $\lim_n \bar{\mu}_n(xV\Gamma_n - V\Gamma_n) = 0$ . Since  $W^{-1}W \cap \Gamma_n = \{e\}$  and  $W \subset V$ ,  $\bar{\mu}_n(V\Gamma_n) \geq \mu(W)$ . Now let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$  be any finite subset of  $\Gamma$ . We have  $\lim_n \bar{\mu}_n(\gamma_i V\Gamma_n - V\Gamma_n) / \bar{\mu}_n(V\Gamma_n) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Now denote the characteristic function of  $V\Gamma_n$  in  $G/\Gamma_n$  by  $\chi_n$ , i.e.,  $\chi_n(g\Gamma) = 1$  or 0 according as  $g \in V\Gamma_n$  or not, and  $f_n = \bar{\mu}_n(V\Gamma_n)^{-1/2} \chi_n$ . By the definition of induced representation,  $f_n$  is in the Hilbert space of the unitary representation  $U^{\Gamma_n}$ . Due to our construction,  $\lim_n \langle \gamma_i f_n, f_n \rangle = 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Therefore the trivial representation of  $\Gamma$  is weakly contained in  $\{\oplus U^{\Gamma_n} | \Gamma\}$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We shall prove it first for the case when  $G$  has no nontrivial center. Suppose the theorem to be false in this case. Then there is a subsequence  $(n')$  of  $(n)$  such that  $\Gamma_{n'}$  are not lattices of  $G$  for all  $n'$ . By the proposition, the trivial representation  $I$  of  $\Gamma$  is weakly contained in  $\{\oplus U^{\Gamma_{n'}} | \Gamma\}$ . However  $\Gamma$  has property (T) of [3] and [6]. It follows that  $I$  is a subrepresentation of  $\oplus U^{\Gamma_{n'}} | \Gamma$ . Therefore  $I \leq U^{\Gamma_{n'}} | \Gamma$  for certain  $n'$ , say  $n'_0$ . Then by Lemma

3.4 in [6],  $U^{\Gamma_n}_0$  contains the trivial representation of  $G$ . By Lemma 8.2 in [4],  $\Gamma_n$  has to be a lattice of  $G$ , which contradicts our choice of  $(n')$ . Next we shall reduce the general case to the previous one. Let  $Z(G)$  be the center of  $G$ . By Lemma 1 (ii),  $Z(G) \cap \Gamma$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $Z(G)$  and  $r_n|_{Z(G) \cap \Gamma} = 1_{Z(G) \cap \Gamma}$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Denote  $G/Z(G)$ ,  $\Gamma Z(G)/Z(G)$ ,  $\Gamma_n Z(G)/Z(G)$  by  $\overline{G}$ ,  $\overline{\Gamma}$  and  $\overline{\Gamma}_n$  respectively. Clearly for large  $n$ ,  $r_n$  induce  $r_n: \overline{\Gamma} \rightarrow \overline{G}$  such that  $r_n(\overline{\Gamma}) = \overline{\Gamma}_n$ . Since  $r_n|_{Z(G) \cap \Gamma} = 1_{Z(G) \cap \Gamma}$  for large  $n$  and  $Z(G) \cap \Gamma$  is of finite index in  $Z(G)$ , the  $\overline{\Gamma}_n$  are discrete for large  $n$ . Therefore  $\overline{G}$ ,  $\{\overline{\Gamma}_n\}$ , and  $\overline{\Gamma}$  satisfy the conditions of the theorem and  $\overline{G}$  has no nontrivial center. By what we have just proved, the  $\overline{\Gamma}_n$  are lattices of  $\overline{G}$  for large  $n$ . Since  $\Gamma_n$  contains  $Z(G) \cap \Gamma$ , which is of finite index in  $Z(G)$ , for large  $n$ , one concludes readily that the  $\Gamma_n$  are lattices of  $G$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

As suggested by the referee, the proposition and theorem can be generalized slightly in the following case.  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group,  $r_0: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  a homomorphism whose image is a lattice, and  $r_n: \Gamma_n \rightarrow G$  homomorphisms whose images are discrete, and which tend to  $r_0$ . It is easy to see that the slightly more general form can be obtained from the following lemma and our results.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $\Gamma$  a finitely generated group,  $r_0: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  a homomorphism whose image is discrete, and  $r_n: \Gamma \rightarrow G$  homomorphisms whose images are uniformly discrete and which tend to  $r_0$ . Then there exist  $r'_n \in R(r_0(\Gamma), G)$  such that  $r_n = r'_n \cdot r_0$  for large  $n$  and  $\lim_n r'_n = 1_{r_0(\Gamma)}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2m}\}$  be a set of generators of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\gamma_i^{-1} = \gamma_{i+m}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Denote  $r_i(\gamma_j)$  by  $\gamma_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the same argument as used in Theorem 4 [7], we know that there are finitely many relations  $R_1, \dots, R_N$  satisfied by  $(\gamma_1^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma_{2m}^{(0)})$  such that for any  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) \in G^{2m}$  satisfying  $R_1, \dots, R_N$  and close enough to  $(\gamma_1^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma_{2m}^{(0)})$ , the map  $\gamma_j^{(0)} \rightarrow x_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq 2m$ ) extends to a homomorphism of  $r_0(\Gamma)$  into  $G$ . Since the  $r_n(\Gamma)$  are uniformly discrete and  $\lim_i \gamma_j^{(i)} = \gamma_j^{(0)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2m$ , one sees easily that  $\gamma_j^{(0)} \rightarrow \gamma_j^{(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2m$  extend to homomorphisms  $r'_i: r_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow G$  for large  $i$ . Now the lemma follows immediately.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Borel, *Density properties of certain subgroups of semisimple groups without compact factor*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72** (1960), 179–188.
2. J. M. G. Fell, *Weak containment and induced representation of groups*, Canad. J. Math. **14** (1962), 237–268.
3. D. A. Kazhdan, *Connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen **1** (1967), 71–74.

4. G. W. Mackey, *Induced representation of locally compact groups*, I. Ann. of Math. (2) **55** (1962), 101–139.
5. H. C. Wang, *On a maximality property of discrete subgroup, with fundamental domain of finite measure*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 124–132.
6. S. P. Wang, *The dual space of semi-simple Lie groups*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
7. ———, *Limit of lattices in a Lie Group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 519–526.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY