

# ZEROS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH INFINITELY DIFFERENTIABLE BOUNDARY VALUES

JAMES G. CAUGHRAN<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** A necessary and sufficient condition is proved that a set of points  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$  in the unit disk be the set of zeros of an analytic function with infinitely differentiable boundary values for every choice of  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $0 < r_n < 1$  and  $\sum (1 - r_n) < \infty$ .

**1. Introduction.** The algebra  $A^\infty$  is the class of all functions analytic in the open unit disk  $D$  with all derivatives bounded in  $D$  or, alternatively, the class of all bounded analytic functions with boundary values  $f(e^{i\theta}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta})$  having infinitely many continuous derivatives. Beurling [1, p. 13], Carleson [2], and Novinger [5] have characterized the boundary zeros of such functions, while Taylor and Williams [6] have discovered several further properties of this class relating to zeros. Little, however, is known about the zeros within  $D$  beyond a few partial results (see [4] and [8]).

In this paper, an apparently unrelated sufficient condition on the points is presented (Theorem 2). If  $z_n = r_n e^{i\theta_n}$  satisfy the Blaschke condition and if the closure of the set  $\{e^{i\theta_n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  of projections of the points to the boundary  $T$  of  $D$  forms a Carleson set, then there is a nonzero function  $f \in A^\infty$  such that  $f(z_n) = 0$ . Thus the points may converge to their limit set as tangentially as desired provided they are "well spaced out."

Together with a slightly altered version of the construction in [4], Theorem 1, this result provides a necessary and sufficient condition that  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$  be the zeros of an  $A^\infty$  function for every choice of  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $0 < r_n < 1$  and  $\sum (1 - r_n) < \infty$ .

The construction requires some knowledge of  $A^\infty$  functions in other domains than the unit disk. This is discussed in §2, where the analogue of the Carleson-Novinger result on boundary zeros is formulated in a simply-connected Jordan domain with smooth boundary. §3 is devoted to some growth estimates used in the construction. Finally, the construction forms §4 of this paper.

---

Presented to the Society, November 29, 1969; received by the editors June 20, 1969.

*AMS Subject Classifications.* Primary 30B5, 30B7; Secondary 46B30.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Bounded analytic function, zero set, boundary zeros, domain with smooth boundary, Carleson set, Blaschke product.

<sup>1</sup> This research was partially supported by NSF grants GP 8225 and GP 11725.

**2. Boundary zeros of  $A^\infty$  functions.** Let  $R$  be a domain in the complex plane, and let  $A^\infty(R) = \{f: f^{(n)} \text{ is analytic and bounded in } R, \text{ for } n=1, 2, \dots\}$ . In this section the possible boundary zeros of functions in  $A^\infty(R)$  are determined for a Jordan domain  $R$  with a *smooth boundary*, i.e.,  $R$  is bounded by a rectifiable Jordan curve  $w=w(s)$ , where  $w$  is infinitely differentiable with respect to arc length  $s$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $R$  is a Jordan domain with smooth boundary, then if  $\psi$  is the mapping function from  $R$  to the unit disk  $D$ ,  $\psi \in A^\infty(R)$  and  $\phi = \psi^{-1} \in A^\infty(D)$ . Moreover,  $\phi'(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in \bar{D}$ .*

**PROOF.** That  $\phi \in A^\infty(D)$  follows from a theorem of Kellogg (see [7]): if the boundary function  $w$  has  $n+2$  bounded derivatives with respect to arc-length ( $n \geq 1$ ), then  $\phi$  has  $n+1$  bounded derivatives in  $D$ , and thus  $n$  derivatives continuously extendable to the closed disk  $\bar{D}$ . Warschawski also showed  $\phi'(z)$  is nowhere zero in  $\bar{D}$ . Thus it is possible to solve for the derivatives of  $\psi$  in terms of those of  $\phi$ , and therefore they are bounded in  $R$ .

A Carleson set  $E$  is a closed set of measure zero contained in the unit circle  $T$  for which, if the intervals complementary to  $E$  have lengths  $\epsilon_n$ ,  $\sum \epsilon_n \log \epsilon_n > -\infty$ . Novinger [5] showed that every Carleson set is the set of boundary zeros of an  $A^\infty$  function, while Beurling [1] proved that the zeros in  $T$  of any function, analytic in  $D$  and continuous in  $\bar{D}$  which satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $T$ , must form a Carleson set.

We define a Carleson set for a Jordan domain  $R$  with smooth boundary  $\partial R$  to be a closed set  $E \subset \partial R$  of linear measure zero, whose complementary arcs satisfy the same finiteness condition. The following lemma is an easy consequence of the boundedness of the first derivatives of the mapping functions.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $R$  is a Jordan domain with smooth boundary,  $\psi$  is the mapping function from  $R$  to the unit disk, and  $E \subseteq \partial R$ , then  $E$  is a Carleson set in  $\partial R$  if and only if  $\psi(E)$  is a Carleson set in  $T$ .*

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a Jordan domain with smooth boundary. If  $f$  is analytic in  $R$  and continuous in  $\bar{R}$ , and if  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition on  $\partial R$ , then the zeros of  $f$  in  $\partial R$  form a Carleson set in  $\partial R$ . Conversely, if  $E$  is a Carleson set in  $\partial R$ , there is a function  $f \in A^\infty$  which has a zero of infinite order at each point of  $E$  (i.e.,  $f^{(j)}(z) = 0$ ,  $j=0, 1, 2, \dots$  for  $z \in E$ ), and no other zeros.*

**PROOF.** If  $f$  is analytic in  $R$  and satisfies the Lipschitz condition  $|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq K|z_1 - z_2|^\alpha$  for  $z_1, z_2 \in \partial R$ , then by Lemma 1,  $f \circ \phi$  is

analytic in  $D$  and satisfies a Lipschitz condition of the same order, where  $\phi$  is the mapping function from  $D$  to  $R$ . By Beurling's proof, the zero set of  $f \circ \phi$  is a Carleson set, and by Lemma 2, the boundary zero set of  $f$  is a Carleson set in  $\partial R$ .

If  $E$  is a Carleson set in  $\partial R$ , Novinger's construction provides a function  $g \in A^\infty(D)$  vanishing on  $\phi^{-1}(E)$  and nowhere else. By Lemma 1,  $f = g \circ \phi^{-1}$  is the desired function.

**3. Magnitude of Blaschke products and  $A^\infty$  functions.** In this section we present some estimates on the derivatives of Blaschke products and  $A^\infty$  functions. Similar estimates were proved by Wells [8].

If  $z_k \in D$  and  $\sum (1 - |z_k|) < \infty$ , then the Blaschke product with zeros  $z_k$ ,

$$B(z) = \prod \frac{\bar{z}_k}{|z_k|} \frac{z_k - z}{1 - \bar{z}_k z},$$

converges in  $D$  to a bounded analytic function with radial limits  $B(e^{i\theta})$  of modulus 1 almost everywhere. Any bounded function  $f$  analytic in  $D$  has a factorization  $f = FB$ , where  $B$  is a Blaschke product and  $F$  has no zeros. Thus estimates of the growth of the derivatives of Blaschke products are essential to the construction.

**LEMMA 3.** *If  $B$  is a Blaschke product with zeros  $z_k = r_k e^{i\theta_k}$ , where  $r_k > 1/2$ , then there is a sequence of positive numbers  $N_j$  for which, if  $A$  is any subproduct of  $B$ ,*

$$|A^{(j)}(z)| \leq N_j \text{dist}(z, K)^{-2j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $K = \{1/\bar{z}_k: k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**PROOF.** Differentiating  $B$ ,

$$B'(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(z) \frac{r_k^2 - 1}{(1 - \bar{z}_k z)^2},$$

where  $B_k(z) = B(z)(1 - \bar{z}_k z)/(z_k - z)$ . The modulus of  $B'$  is thus bounded by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - r_k^2}{|1 - \bar{z}_k z|^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8(1 - r_k)}{|\bar{z}_k^{-1} - z|^2} \leq 8 \text{dist}(z, K)^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_k).$$

Since this estimate improves if a subproduct is taken in place of  $B$ , the lemma holds for  $j = 1$ .

Suppose that constants  $N_j$  have been determined for which the inequality holds for indices  $j \leq m$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $a_{mj}$  such that

$$|A^{(m+1)}(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_k) \sum_{j=0}^m a_{mj} |A_k^{(m-j)}(z)| |1 - \bar{z}_k z|^{-(j+2)}$$

and

$$|A^{(m+1)}(z)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_k) \sum_{j=0}^m a_{mj} N_{m-j} |1 - \bar{z}_k z|^{-(j+2)} \text{dist}(z, K)^{-2(m-j)}$$

by the inductive hypothesis. Since  $\frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(z, K) \leq |1 - \bar{z}_k z| \leq 2$ , this is bounded by

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - r_k) \sum_{j=0}^m a_{mj} N_{m-j} 2^{2j+2} \right) \text{dist}(z, K)^{-2(m+1)},$$

and the estimate holds for  $A^{(m+1)}$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *If  $f \in A^{\infty}(R)$  and  $f$  has a zero of infinite order at  $z_0 \in \partial R$ , and the line joining  $z$  to  $z_0$  lies in  $R$ , then there are positive constants  $M_{jk}$  for which*

$$|f^{(j)}(z)| \leq M_{jk} |z - z_0|^k, \quad j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This lemma is easily proved by integrating  $f^{(k+j+1)}$   $k$  times.

**4. Construction of an  $A^{\infty}$  function with given zeros.** In this section the required function is constructed after the construction of a curve which is fundamental to the argument.

**LEMMA 5.** *If  $R_k e^{i\theta_k}$  is a sequence of points outside the unit disk with limit points in a closed set  $E$  of zero Lebesgue measure in  $T$ , there is a rectifiable curve  $r = h(\theta)$  which is  $C^{\infty}$  with respect to arc-length, and for which  $1 < h(\theta_k) \leq R_k$ .*

The proof is left to the reader.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\{e^{i\theta_n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a set of points on the unit circle whose closure is a Carleson set, and if  $0 < r_n < 1$  and  $\sum (1 - r_n) < \infty$ , there is a function  $f \in A^{\infty}$  for which  $f(r_n e^{i\theta_n}) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$  is contained in the zero set of an  $A^{\infty}$  function for every choice of  $\{r_n\}$ ,  $0 < r_n < 1$  and  $\sum (1 - r_n) < \infty$ , then  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}$  is a Carleson set.*

**PROOF.** Let  $z_n = r_n e^{i\theta_n} \in D$ , where the closure of  $\{e^{i\theta_n} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a Carleson set and  $\sum (1 - r_n) < \infty$ . We may assume  $r_n > 1/2$ , for otherwise the function resulting from ignoring the points  $z_n$  with  $r_n \leq 1/2$  can be multiplied by the finite Blaschke product with those zeros.

By Lemma 5, there is a  $C^{\infty}$  curve  $r = h(\theta)$  between the unit circle and the points  $R_n e^{i\theta_n}$ , where  $R_n = \inf \{1/r_k : \theta_k = \theta_n\}$ , and  $h(\theta) > 1$  unless  $e^{i\theta}$  is a limit point of  $\{z_n\}$ . This curve will form the boundary of a

Jordan domain  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $K = \{1/\bar{z}_n: n=1, 2, \dots\}$ , and let  $E$  be the closure of the set of points  $h(\theta_n)e^{i\theta_n}$ . It is clear that  $E$  is a Carleson set in  $\partial\mathbf{R}$ . By Theorem 1, there is a function  $F \in A^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  which has a zero of infinite order at each point of  $E$ . Further, the restriction of  $F$  to  $\mathbf{D}$  is in  $A^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ .

Let  $B$  be the Blaschke product with zeros  $z_n$ , and let  $f(z) = F(z)B(z)$  for  $z \in \bar{\mathbf{D}}$ . By Lemma 4,  $|f^{(j)}(z)| \leq M_{jk} \text{dist}(z, E)^k \leq M_{jk} \text{dist}(z, K)^k$  for some constants  $M_{jk}$  and  $j, k=0, 1, \dots$ . By Lemma 3 there are constants  $N_j$  for which  $|B^{(j)}(z)| \leq N_j \text{dist}(z, K)^{-2j}$ . If  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ ,

$$|f^{(j)}(z)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} F^{(j-i)}(z) B^{(i)}(z) \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} M_{j-i, 2i} N_i,$$

so  $f^{(j)}$  is bounded,  $j=1, 2, \dots$ .

Conversely, if  $\{e^{i\theta_n}\}^-$  is not a Carleson set, a slight modification of the construction in Theorem 1 of [4] yields a sequence  $\{r_n\}$  for which  $\{r_n e^{i\theta_n}\}$  is not contained in the zero set of any function with finite Dirichlet integral, and thus not of any  $A^\infty$  function.

Necessary conditions are difficult to find. Carleson's formula for the Dirichlet integral [3] yields the condition

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \log \sum \frac{1 - |z_n|^2}{|e^{it} - z_n|^2} dt < \infty$$

which he used to create the counterexample in [4]. This, the Blaschke condition, and the requirement that the limit points lie in a Carleson set seem to be all that is known. The distance from these conditions to the known sufficient conditions is rather great.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Beurling, *Ensembles exceptionnels*, Acta Math. **72** (1939), 1-13. MR **1**, 226.
2. L. Carleson, *Sets of uniqueness for functions regular in the unit circle*, Acta Math. **87** (1952), 325-345. MR **14**, 261.
3. ———, *A representation formula for the Dirichlet integral*, Math. Z. **73** (1960), 190-196. MR **22** #3803.
4. J. G. Caughran, *Two results concerning the zeros of functions with finite Dirichlet integral*, Canad. J. Math. **21** (1969), 312-316.
5. W. P. Novinger, *Holomorphic functions with infinitely differentiable boundary values*, (to appear).
6. B. A. Taylor and D. L. Williams, *Ideals in rings of analytic functions with smooth boundary values*, (to appear).
7. S. Warschawski, *Über einen Satz von O. D. Kellogg*, Gött. Nach. (1932), 73-86.
8. J. H. Wells, *On the zeros of functions with derivatives in  $H^1$  and  $H^\infty$* , Canad. J. Math. (to appear).

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY