

A CLOSED SUBSPACE OF $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ WHICH IS NOT AN LF-SPACE

M. J. KASCIC, JR. AND B. ROTH¹

ABSTRACT. With proper choice of region $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and constant coefficient linear partial differential operator P , namely Ω being P -convex but not strong P -convex, the range of P in $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ whose subspace topology differs from its canonical LF-topology. In the present paper this result is proved and an example of a pair Ω, P satisfying the above hypotheses is presented.

Dieudonné and Schwartz, in their pioneering work [1] on locally convex spaces, raised a number of fundamental questions concerning LF-spaces. X is an LF-space if $X = \bigcup X_n$ where $\{X_n\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of Fréchet spaces such that the canonical injection of X_n into X_{n+1} is a homeomorphism for every n and X is equipped with the finest locally convex topology making the canonical injection of X_n into X continuous for every n . One of the questions was:

If M is a closed subspace of X , is M an LF-space?

Grothendieck [2, p. 89] gave an ingenious example involving Köthe spaces which answered this question (together with many others) negatively. We here present another example of a closed subspace of an LF-space which is not an LF-space. However, this example involves the principal LF-spaces arising in the theory of distributions, namely the class of spaces $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ where Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and the subspace of $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ exhibiting the pathology is the image of $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ under a linear partial differential operator with constant coefficients. We are indebted to Richard M. Aron for clarifying our results.

Hörmander proved [3, Theorems 3.63 and 3.64, pp. 85–87] that $P(\mathfrak{D})\mathfrak{D}'(\Omega) = \mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$ if and only if Ω is strongly P -convex. This powerful theorem provides the key to proving the following result.

THEOREM. *If Ω is P -convex, but not strongly P -convex, then $P(-\mathfrak{D})\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ which is not an LF-space.*

Presented to the Society, January 24, 1969 under the title *Two LF-space questions of Dieudonné and Schwartz*; received by the editors September 5, 1968 and, in revised form, August 7, 1969.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 4601; Secondary 3552.

Key Words and Phrases. LF-space, constant coefficient linear partial differential operator, P -convexity, strong P -convexity.

¹ Both authors are supported in part by a Carnegie grant at Dartmouth College.

PROOF. It is shown in Trèves [5, Theorem 5.1, p. 287] that if Ω is P -convex, then $P(-D)\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$. Now suppose $P(-D)\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is an LF-space. By the open mapping theorem (see Husain [4, p. 44]), $P(-D): \mathfrak{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow P(-D)\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is a linear homeomorphism. Let $T \in \mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$. Then the linear form $P(-D)f \rightarrow T(f)$ is continuous and hence by the Hahn Banach theorem can be extended to a continuous linear functional S on $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$. Since $S(P(-D)f) = T(f)$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $P(D)S = T$. Therefore $P(D)\mathfrak{D}'(\Omega) = \mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$, contradicting the fact that Ω is not strongly P -convex. Hence $P(-D)\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$ is not an LF-space.

For the sake of completeness, we explicitly provide an example of a constant coefficient linear partial differential operator and an open set in \mathbf{R}^3 satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem.

EXAMPLE. Let $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^3: \phi(x) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - 1 < 0\}$, and $P(D) = D_1^2 + D_2^2 - D_3^2$. Then Ω and P satisfy the hypothesis of the theorem. First, there are no points on $\text{bd}(\Omega)$ which are characteristic with respect to P . The P -convexity of Ω follows directly from a result of Hörmander [3, Theorem 3.74, p. 92]. However, Ω fails to be strongly P -convex because the normal curvature at the point $(1, 0, 0)$ in the tangential bicharacteristic direction $(0, 1, 1)$ is negative (see Hörmander [3, Theorem 3.75, p. 93]).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Dieudonné and L. Schwartz, *La dualité dans les espaces (F) et (LF)*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **1** (1949), 61–101. MR **12**, 417.
2. A. Grothendieck, *Sur les espaces (F) et (DF)*, Summa Brasil. Math. **3** (1954), 57–123. MR **17**, 765.
3. L. Hörmander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Bd. 116, Academic Press, New York and Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1963. MR **28** #4221.
4. T. Husain, *The open mapping and closed graph theorems in topological vector spaces*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1965. MR **31** #2589.
5. F. Trèves, *Linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients: Existence, approximation and regularity of solutions*, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 6, Gordon & Breach, New York, 1966. MR **37** #557.

DARTMOUTH COLLEGE AND
STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY