AN ANALOG OF THE LUSIN-PRIVALOFF RADIAL UNIQUENESS THEOREM

K. F. TSE

1. Let $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ and $C = \{|z| = 1\}$. For $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, and $-\pi/2 < \phi < \pi/2$, let $\rho(\theta) = \{re^{i\theta} : 0 \leq r < 1\}$ and $\rho(\theta, \phi) = \{e^{i\theta}(1 - re^{i\phi}) : 0 < r \leq \cos \phi\}$. If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in D , and $G \subseteq D$ such that $e^{i\theta} \in \overline{G} \cap C$, then $C_G(f, e^{i\theta})$ represents the cluster set of $f(z)$ at $e^{i\theta}$ restricted in G . $F(f)$ will denote the set of Fatou points of $f(z)$ on C . (For a definition of Fatou points, see [6, p. 61].) Finally, a subset S of C is said to be metrically dense on an arc A of C if $\text{meas}(A' \cap S) > 0$ for each subarc A' of A .

Barth and Schneider have proved the following analog of the F. and M. Riesz uniqueness theorem for bounded holomorphic functions.

THEOREM A [3, THEOREM 1]. *Let μ be a decreasing function on $[0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(r) = 0$, and let S be a subset of C of second category. If $f(z)$ is a bounded holomorphic function in D and $|f(re^{i\theta})| = o(\mu(r))$ for each $e^{i\theta}$ in S , then $f(z) \equiv 0$.*

In Remark 4 of [3], the question whether the above theorem holds for holomorphic functions has been raised. We shall show that it even holds for meromorphic functions.

THEOREM. *Let μ and S be the same as stated in Theorem A. If $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in D and $|f(re^{i\theta})| = o(\mu(r))$ for each $e^{i\theta}$ in S , then $f(z) \equiv 0$.*

Before showing the proof, we wish to remark that our theorem is an analog of the Lusin-Privaloff uniqueness theorem which states:

If $f(z)$ is a holomorphic function in D and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(re^{i\theta}) = 0$ for each $e^{i\theta}$ in S , S being both metrically dense and of second category on an arc A of C , then $f(z) \equiv 0$.

2. To prove our theorem, we need two lemmas. The first one is very elementary in applying the notion of Baire categories. The second one is an extension of [4, Lemma 1].

LEMMA. 1. *Let S be a set of second category in an interval J , then there exists a subinterval I of J such that $S \cap I$ is both dense and of second category in I .*

Received by the editors May 17, 1969 and, in revised form, June 28, 1969.

PROOF. The existence of an interval in which S is of second category and of an interval in which S is dense are immediate consequences of the definition of "second category." We must prove the existence of an interval with both of these properties. By the remark following the proof of [5, Theorem 35, p. 201], there exists a non-empty closed subinterval I' of J such that the intersection of S with any neighborhood N of a point in I' is of second category in I' . Thus a subinterval I of I' in which S is dense has all the properties required.

Applying Lemma 1, it is easy to see that we can modify the proof of [4, Lemma 1, pp. 170–171] and obtain

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in D and that for some fixed ϕ , $-\pi/2 < \phi < \pi/2$, and some complex number β , finite or infinite, there exists a set S of second category on C and such that*

$$\beta \notin \bigcup_{e^{i\theta} \in S} C_{\rho(\theta, \phi)}(f, e^{i\theta}).$$

Then there exists an arc A on C such that

- (1) *Either $f(z)$ or $1/(f(z) - \beta)$ is uniformly bounded in a relative neighborhood of A in D according as $\beta = \infty$ or $\beta \neq \infty$,*
- (2) *$A \cap S$ is dense in A , and*
- (3) *$A \cap S$ is of second category in A .*

3. We now proceed to prove our theorem. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(r) = 0$ and $|f(re^{i\theta})| = o(\mu(r))$ for each $e^{i\theta}$ in S , we have

$$\infty \notin \bigcup_{e^{i\theta} \in S} C_{\rho(\theta)}(f, e^{i\theta}).$$

Note that S is of second category. By Lemma 2, there exists an arc A of C such that $f(z)$ is uniformly bounded in a relative neighborhood of A in D and that $A \cap S$ is of second category in A .

On the other hand, by a theorem [2, p. 6] of Barth and Schneider, there exists a *nonconstant* holomorphic function $g(z)$ in D such that

$$\max_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})| < 1/\mu(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} g(re^{i\theta}) = 0$$

for each $e^{i\theta}$ in T , where T is a subset of C of measure 2π . Now, consider the function $h(z) = f(z)g(z)$. Note that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} h(re^{i\theta}) = 0$$

for each $e^{i\theta}$ in $(S \cup T) \cap A$. Since $(S \cup T) \cap A$ is both metrically dense and of second category in A , $h(z)$ satisfies the hypotheses of [4, Theorem 1] and we have $h(z) \equiv 0$. Hence $f(z) \equiv 0$.

4. Gauthier (in a written communication to the author) has kindly pointed out that our theorem is sharp in the following sense:

THEOREM B. *Let μ be as in Theorem A and S be any set of first category on C . Then there exists a nonconstant holomorphic function $f(z)$ in D such that $|f(re^{i\theta})| = o(\mu(r))$ for each $e^{i\theta}$ in S .*

PROOF. Since S is of first category, $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$, where S_n , for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is nowhere dense on C . Thus $S' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{S}_n$ is also of first category on C and $S \subseteq S'$. Consider the set

$$E' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{re^{i\theta} : e^{i\theta} \in \bar{S}_n, 1 - 1/2n \leq r < 1\}.$$

Choose θ_0 such that $e^{i\theta_0} \notin S'$ and let $E = E' \cup \rho(\theta_0)$. Note that E is relatively closed and nowhere dense in D . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &= 0, & z \in E', \\ &= 1, & z \in \rho(\theta_0). \end{aligned}$$

$\phi(z)$ is continuous on E . Hence by a theorem of Arakeljan (see Arakeljan [1]), there exists a holomorphic function $f(z)$ in D such that $|f(z) - \phi(z)| \leq \mu(|z|)(1 - |z|)$ for each z in E . It follows that $|f(re^{i\theta})| = o(\mu(r))$ for each $e^{i\theta}$ in S' (note that $S \subseteq S'$), and $f(z) \not\equiv 0$ because $f(z)$ is near to one on $\rho(\theta_0)$.

5. The author wishes to thank Dr. P. Gauthier for his kind permission to include Theorem B in this paper and Mr. P. S. Pun for a stimulating discussion.

REFERENCES

1. N. U. Arakeljan, *Uniform and tangential approximation with analytic functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Ser. Mat. **3** (1968), no. 45, 273–286. (Russian)
2. K. F. Barth and W. J. Schneider, *On the impossibility for extending the Riesz uniqueness theorem to functions of slow growth*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI **432** (1968), 9 pp.
3. ———, *An asymptotic analog of the F. and M. Riesz radial uniqueness theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 53–54.
4. E. F. Collingwood, *On the linear and angular cluster sets of functions meromorphic in the unit circle*, Acta Math. **91** (1954), 165–185. MR **16**, 460.
5. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955. MR **16**, 1136.
6. K. Noshiro, *Cluster sets*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Heft 28, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1960. MR **24** #A3295.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13210