

## GRAPHS WITH A LARGE CAPACITY

M. ROSENFELD

**ABSTRACT.** A constructive method for obtaining graphs with a relatively large capacity is given. The method uses products of graphs.

**Introduction.** In this note we present a constructive method for obtaining graphs with a relatively large capacity and obtain an upper bound for the capacity  $\theta(G)$  of a graph  $G$ . The capacity of a graph was introduced by Shannon [5], for investigations of problems concerning noisy channels in information theory. If  $\mu(G)$  is the maximal number of independent vertices in the graph  $G$ , it is well known [5], that  $\theta(G) \geq \mu(G)$ . Our method will yield for every  $k \geq 0$ , a graph  $G_k$  such that  $\theta(G_k) \geq k \cdot \mu(G_k)$ . The construction is based on the composition of graphs introduced by Harary [1].

The definitions and generally accepted notations throughout this paper will be those used in Harary [2].  $\mu(G)$  will denote the maximal number of independent vertices in  $G$ . Since the strong product and composition of graphs (for definitions see Harary [1]) are associative, powers of  $G$  with respect to each one of them is well defined. We denote those powers by  $G^n$  and  $G^{[n]}$  resp. The capacity of  $G$  is defined by

$$\theta(G) = \sup_n \mu(G^n)^{1/n}.$$

*Upper bound for  $\theta(G)$ :* If  $A$  and  $B$  are independent sets in  $G$  and  $H$  resp.,  $A \times B$  is independent in  $G \times H$ , hence

$$\mu(G \times H) \geq \mu(G) \cdot \mu(H) \Rightarrow \mu(G^n) \geq \mu^n(G) \Rightarrow \theta(G) \geq \mu(G).$$

To obtain an upper bound, we consider the function  $\alpha(G)$  introduced in [4], and defined as follows: Let  $V(G) = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \cdot \{C_1, \dots, C_s\}$  is a fixed ordering of all maximal complete subgraphs of  $G$ .  $\alpha_i^j = 1$  if  $g_i \in C_j$ ,  $\alpha_i^j = 0$  otherwise

$$P(G) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j x_i \leq 1, 1 \leq j \leq s \right\},$$

$$\alpha(G) = \max_{x \in P(G)} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

---

Received by the editors December 5, 1969.

*AMS subject classifications.* Primary 0540; Secondary 9420.

*Key words and phrases.* Graphs, independence number, capacity of graphs, composition, strong product.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  is an ordinary graph then*

$$\theta(G) \leq \alpha(G).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $H$  be any graph and let  $A \subset V(G \times H)$  be a maximal independent set of vertices in  $G \times H$  ( $\text{card } A = \mu(G \times H)$ ). Let

$$A_i = \{h \mid (g_i, h) \in A\}, \quad A'_i = \{(g_i, h) \mid (g_i, h) \in A\}.$$

Obviously,  $\{A'_i\}$  is a disjoint decomposition of  $A$ . Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{card } A'_i = \mu(G \times H).$$

By the definition of  $A_i$  and the independence of  $A$  we have  $\text{card } A_i = \text{card } A'_i$ ,  $A_i$  is an independent set in  $H$ . Let

$$x_i = \text{card } A_i \cdot 1/\mu(H).$$

We will show first that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P(G)$ . For simplicity of notation, we may assume without loss of generality, that  $C_j = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ . Since  $A$  is independent, and  $(g_i, g_j) \in E(G)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , we must have  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  and  $A_i \cup A_j$  is an independent set in  $H$ . By the same argument,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$  is an independent set in  $H$  and the union is disjoint. Hence

$$\mu(H) \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j x_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{card } A_i = \text{card } \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \leq \mu(H) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j x_i \leq 1.$$

Therefore  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P(G)$ . Hence we have

$$\alpha(G) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{1}{\mu(H)} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{card } A_i = \frac{1}{\mu(H)} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{card } A'_i = \frac{\mu(G \times H)}{\mu(H)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha(G) \cdot \mu(H) \geq \mu(G \times H).$$

Since  $\alpha(G) \geq \mu(G)$  [4], by induction we obtain

$$\mu(G^n) \leq \mu(G^{n-1})\alpha(G) \leq \alpha^n(G) \Rightarrow \theta(G) \leq \alpha(G).$$

**REMARK.** In [4], it was shown that  $\mu(G \times H) = \mu(G) \cdot \mu(H)$  for all graphs  $H$  iff  $\alpha(G) = \mu(G)$ . Hence for such graphs we have  $\theta(G) = \alpha(G) = \mu(G)$ . Since the capacity of no other graphs is known nothing else can be said about the upper bound established above.

**THEOREM 2.** *For every  $k > 0$ , there exists a graph  $G_k$  such that  $\theta(G_k) \geq k\mu(G_k)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $G_0$  be a self-complemented graph with  $n$  vertices such that  $\mu(G_0) \cdot \mu(\overline{G_0}) < n$  (e.g. a pentagon). Let  $p$  be a positive integer such that  $n^p \leq k^2 \mu^{2p}(G_0)$ . Obviously, such a number exists since by our assumptions  $\mu^2(G_0) = \mu(G_0) \cdot \mu(\overline{G_0}) < n$ . Let  $G_k = G_0^{[p]}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mu(G[H]) = \mu(G) \cdot \mu(H)$ , hence  $\mu(G_k) = \mu^p(G_0)$ . If  $G$  and  $H$  are self-complemented, it was shown by Sabidussi that  $G[H]$  is self-complemented, hence  $G_k$  is self-complemented. Consider the set  $A = \{(g, g) \mid g \in V(G_k)\}$  as a subset of  $V(G_k \times \overline{G_k})$ . Since  $(g, g') \in E(G_k) \Rightarrow (g, g') \notin E(\overline{G_k})$  it follows that  $A$  is an independent set of vertices in  $G_k \times \overline{G_k}$ . Since  $\text{card } A = n^p$  we get

$$(*) \quad \mu(G_k^2) = \mu(G_k \times \overline{G_k}) \geq n^p.$$

Ljubič [3] has shown that  $\theta(G) = \lim_n (\mu(G^n))^{1/n}$  therefore we have in general

$$\theta(G) = \lim_n (\mu(G^{2n}))^{1/2n} = \left( \lim_n \mu(G^{2n})^{1/n} \right)^{1/2} = \theta^{1/2}(G^2).$$

By using the lower bound for the capacity of a graph and (\*) we obtain

$$\theta(G_k) = \theta^{1/2}(G_k^2) \geq n^{p/2} \geq k \mu^p(G_0) = k \mu(G^{[p]}) = k \cdot \mu(G_k).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Harary, *On the group of the composition of two graphs*, Duke Math. J. 26 (1959), 29–34. MR 22 #1523.
2. ———, *Graph theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
3. A. Ljubič, *A remark on a problem of C. Berge*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. 5 (1964), 961–962. (Russian) MR 29 #5238.
4. M. Rosenfeld, *On a problem of C. E. Shannon in graph theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 315–319. MR 34 #7405.
5. C. E. Shannon, *The zero error capacity of a noisy channel*, IRE Trans. Information Theory IT-2 (1956), 8–19. MR 19, 623.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803