COMPLETENESS OF HAMILTONIAN VECTOR FIELDS

DAVID G. EBIN

ABSTRACT. We prove that under certain conditions the flow of a Hamiltonian vector field on a possibly infinite-dimensional dynamical system exists for all time.

We shall prove that under certain natural conditions the Hamiltonian vector field of a (possibly infinite-dimensional) dynamical system has integral curves which extend for all time. W. Gordon [3] has proved the result in the case of Euclidean space. We are grateful to J. Marsden for suggesting the problem and to W. Meyer for useful conversations.

Let M be a smooth Hilbert manifold with a Riemannian structure, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, let $V_0: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and let $V = V_0 \circ \pi$ where $\pi: T(M) \rightarrow M$ is the projection map of the tangent bundle. Define $H: T(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $H = K + V$ where K , the kinetic energy, is defined by $K(V) = \frac{1}{2} \langle V, V \rangle$.

It is well known that T^*M (and by means of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, TM) has a natural symplectic two-form Ω , and the equation $i(Z)\Omega = dH$ can be used to define a vector field Z on TM [4, p. 110].

THEOREM. *If M is complete under the metric induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and if V is bounded below, then all integral curves of Z extend for all time. Equivalently the flow $F: TM \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow TM$ which satisfies $(\partial F / \partial t)(X, s) = Z_{F(X, s)}$ is defined on all of $TM \times \mathbb{R}$.*

To prove the theorem we must construct a Riemannian structure for TM and this we do by use of the affine connection.

It is well known that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ defines a unique connection and for each $p \in M$ and $X \in T_p M$, ∇ defines a splitting of $T_X TM$ into vertical and horizontal subspaces; i.e. $T_X TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, [2]. Also there are canonical linear isomorphisms $L: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow T_p M$ and $T\pi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T_p M$, $T\pi$ being the tangent map to π . We define an inner product, $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$, on $T_X TM$ by declaring $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ perpendicular and L and $T\pi$ isometries. This clearly defines a Riemannian structure for $T(M)$.

LEMMA. $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ induces a complete metric on TM .

Received by the editors February 23, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 3465, 3480; Secondary 7040.

Key words and phrases. Hamiltonian vector field, Hilbert manifold, Riemannian structure, symplectic two-form, vertical subspace, horizontal subspace, spray, complete metric.

PROOF. Let $\{X_i\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in TM and let $p_i = \pi(X_i)$. For any $q \in M$ and $y \in T_q M$, $T\pi: T_y TM \rightarrow T_q M$ is distance decreasing. Hence π decreases the length of curves, so $\{p_i\}$ is Cauchy in M .

Let $p = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{p_i\}$ and choose a closed neighborhood U about p and a chart $\varphi: U \rightarrow E$. (E is a Hilbert space on which M is modeled.) φ induces a chart $T\varphi: T(U) \rightarrow E \times E$ in a standard way [4, p. 40], and for i sufficiently large $X_i \in T(U)$. We can assume that $\varphi(U)$ is a closed ball in E in which case $T\varphi(T(U)) = \varphi(U) \times E$ is a closed subset of $E \times E$. $\varphi(U) \times E$ is therefore complete as a metric subspace of $E \times E$, so if we can show $\{T\varphi(X_i)\}$ is Cauchy in $\varphi(U) \times E$ then $\{T\varphi(X_i)\}$ will converge and so will $\{X_i\}$.

To show $\{T\varphi(X_i)\}$ Cauchy, we make $\varphi: U \rightarrow E$ a normal coordinate chart so that $TT\varphi: T_y TM \rightarrow U \times E \times E \times E$ is an isometry for all $y \in \pi^{-1}(p)$, and so that there exists a constant A such that for any $W \in TTU$, $\|TT\varphi W\| \leq A\|W\|$. The existence of such an A means that all curves in TU are stretched, under the map $T\varphi$, by at most a factor of A . Then, letting ρ be the metric on TM , we must show that for i, j sufficiently large and for any curve γ of length sufficiently close to $\rho(X_i, X_j)$, γ is contained in TU . If this is true, $T\varphi(\gamma)$ has length at most A times the length of γ and the distance between $T\varphi(X_i)$ and $T\varphi(X_j)$ is at most $A\rho(X_i, X_j)$.

To show curves such as γ are contained in $T(U)$ we assume U is a metric ball about p of radius 3ϵ . Then let $V \subseteq U$ be the ball of radius ϵ , and pick i, j sufficiently large so that $\rho(X_i, X_j) < \epsilon$ and $X_i, X_j \in T(V)$. Then if γ is a curve from X_i to X_j of length less than 2ϵ , $\gamma_0 = \pi \circ \gamma$ must also have length less than 2ϵ so $\gamma_0 \subseteq U$. Thus $\gamma \subseteq TU$, $T\varphi(\gamma)$ has length at most $2A\epsilon$, and the distance from $T\varphi(X_i)$ to $T\varphi(X_j)$ is therefore at most 2ϵ . Hence $\{T\varphi(X_i)\}$ is Cauchy and the lemma is proven.

PROOF OF THEOREM. Consider the equation $i(Z)\Omega = dH$ and let $Z = S + G$ where S satisfies $i(S)\Omega = dK$ and G satisfies $i(G)\Omega = dV$. Then S is the spray of the Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ [4, p. 110], and G is the vertical lift of $\text{grad } V$; i.e. $G_x = L^{-1}(\text{grad } V)$ where $L: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow T_{\pi(x)}M$. (See [1].)

Let $c: (a, b) \rightarrow TM$ be a maximal integral curve of Z . We wish to show that $a = -\infty$, $b = \infty$, and to do this, we first assume a (respectively b) finite and show $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \{c(t)\}$ (respectively $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} c(t)$) exists in TM . Then by the fundamental theorem of ordinary differential equations [4], the domain of c extends to $(a + \epsilon, b)$ (respectively $(a, b + \epsilon)$). This contradicts the maximality of (a, b) and proves the theorem.

The main fact needed to show $\lim_{t \rightarrow a} \{c(t)\}$ exists, is that H is constant along c . This is simply conservation of energy and is proven

in [1, p. 110]. Let N be the lower bound for V and let $N_1 = H(c)$. Then $K \upharpoonright c$ is bounded by $N_1 - N$.

Let $c_0 = \pi \circ c$, a curve in M . Then c'_0 , the tangent vector to c_0 satisfies $c'_0(t) = T\pi(c'(t)) = T\pi(Z_{c(t)})$. But $T\pi(Z_{c(t)}) = T\pi(S_{c(t)}) = c(t)$ since G is vertical and S is a spray. Therefore since K is bounded on c , the length of $c'_0(t)$ is bounded and, since M is complete, $\lim_{t \rightarrow b} \{c_0(t)\}$ exists. Let $c_0(b) = \lim_{t \rightarrow b} \{c_0(t)\}$.

Now we seek a limit of $c(t)$ in $\pi^{-1}(c_0(b))$. To show that it exists we must only show that $c'(t)$ is bounded in the metric on TM . $c'(t) = Z_{c(t)} = S_{c(t)} + G_{c(t)}$, and S and G are horizontal and vertical respectively. Also $G_{c(t)}$ depends only on $c_0(t)$ and since $c_0(t)$ extends continuously to $c_0(b)$, $G_{c(t)}$ remains bounded as $t \rightarrow b$. Also $\|S_{c(t)}\| = \|c(t)\|$, from the definition of the metric on TM . It follows that $\|c'(t)\| = \|S_{c(t)}\| + \|G_{c(t)}\|$ remains bounded as $t \rightarrow b$, so $c(t)$ converges. The same argument works as $t \rightarrow a$, and the theorem follows.

REMARK 1. If M is finite-dimensional, one can use local compactness of TM instead of a metric on TM , so the proof is much shorter.

REMARK 2. It is easy to show that the theorem is false if M is not complete or V is not bounded below. There are counterexamples even if M is one-dimensional.

REFERENCES

1. R. Abraham, *Foundations of mechanics*, Benjamin, New York, 1967. MR 36 #3527.
2. P. Dombrowski, *On the geometry of the tangent bundle*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 210 (1962), 73-88. MR 25 #4463.
3. W. Gordon, *On completeness of vector fields* (preprint).
4. S. Lang, *Introduction to differentiable manifolds*, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 27 #5192.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11970.