A PRODUCT INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION FOR AN EVOLUTION SYSTEM

J. V. HEROD

ABSTRACT. This paper provides a product integral representation for a nonlinear evolution system. The representation is valid for expansive systems and provides an analysis in the nonexpansive case which is different from ones previously discovered.

In [7], D. Rutledge obtains a product integral representation for a nonexpansive, nonlinear semigroup. In [6], Neuberger gets such a representation for expansive semigroups by first considering non-expansive evolution systems. This paper obtains a product integral representation for an expansive evolution system M. In this development, it is not required that $\lim_{h\to 0} h^{-1}[M(h, 0)-1]P$ exist. As a corollary to Theorem 3, a statement equivalent to the statement that M is nonexpansive is found.

Suppose that $\{G, +, |\cdot|\}$ is a complete, normed, Abelian group and that S is the set of real numbers. If f is a function from S to G and a > b, then denote the range of the restriction of f to [b, a] by f([b, a]). Also, the statement that $\{s_p\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $\{a, b\}$ means that s is a decreasing sequence with s(0) = a and s(n) = b. The statement that t is a refinement of the subdivision s means that t is a subdivision of $\{a, b\}$ and that there is an increasing sequence u so that s(p) = t(u(p)) for $1 \le p \le n$. Finally, if $\{f_p\}_1^n$ is a sequence of functions from G to G and g is in G, then

$$\left[\prod_{p=1}^n f_p\right](g) = f_1(f_2(\cdots f_n(g))).$$

An evolution system on G is a function M with domain contained in $S \times S$ so that if $x \ge y$ then M(x, y) is a function from G to G having the following properties:

- (1) if $x \ge y \ge z$ then M(x, y) M(y, z) = M(x, z) and M(x, x) = 1, the identity function on G, and
- (2) if t is a number and P is in G then the function g given by g(x) = M(x, t)P, for all $x \ge t$, is continuous.

Presented to the Society, January 23, 1970 under the title A product integral representation for an expansive evolution system; received by the editors April 21, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4750; Secondary 3495.

Key words and phrases. Product integral, evolution system, semigroups of operators.

In order to obtain a product integral representation for the evolution system M, two additional conditions are used:

- (3) there is an increasing, continuous function β and a subset D of G so that
 - (a) if P is in D and x > y then M(x, y)P is in D, and
- (b) if P is in D, $\epsilon > 0$, a > b, and Q is in M([b, a], b)P then there is a positive number δ so that if R is in M([b, a], b)P, $|Q-R| < \delta$, and $a \ge x \ge y \ge b$, then

$$\left| \left[M(x, y) - 1 \right] R - \left[M(x, y) - 1 \right] Q \right| \le \left[\exp(\beta(x) - \beta(y)) - 1 \right] \cdot \epsilon,$$
and

(4) there is a nondecreasing, continuous function α so that if x>y and $\exp(\alpha(x)-\alpha(y))<2$, then 2-M(x,y) has range all of G and, if P and Q are in G, then

$$[2 - \exp(\alpha(x) - \alpha(y))] \cdot |P - Q|$$

$$\leq |[2 - M(x, y)]P - [2 - M(x, y)]Q|.$$

REMARK. It follows from condition (4) that if $\exp(\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)) < 2$, then $[2 - M(x, y)]^{-1}$ has domain all of G, and if P and Q are in G then

$$|[2 - M(x, y)]^{-1}P - [2 - M(x, y)]^{-1}Q|$$

$$\leq [2 - \exp(\alpha(x) - \alpha(y))]^{-1}|P - Q|.$$

In this paper, the following three theorems are proved.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that P is in D, a > b, and M satisfies conditions (1)–(4). It follows that $M(a, b)P = \prod_{a} b[2-M]^{-1}P$ —in the sense that if $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a subdivision s of $\{a, b\}$ so that if $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a refinement of s then

$$\left| M(a, b)P - \prod_{p=1}^{n} [2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_p)]^{-1}P \right| < \epsilon.$$

THEOREM 2. Suppose that M satisfies conditions (1)-(4), if x>y then M(x, y) is continuous from G to G, D is dense in G, a>b, and P is in G, it follows that $M(a, b)P={}_a\prod{}^b[2-M]^{-1}P$.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that G is a Banach space, M satisfies conditions (1)–(3). If x>y then M(x, y) is continuous from G to G, D is dense in G, and ρ is a continuous, real valued function which is of bounded variation on each interval. These are equivalent:

(a) If x > y and P and Q are in G then

$$|M(x, y)P - M(x, y)Q| \le \exp(\rho(x) - \rho(y)) \cdot |P - Q|.$$

(b) If x > y and $\exp(\rho(x) - \rho(y)) < 2$, then 2 - M(x, y) has range all of G and, if P and Q are in G, then

$$[2 - \exp(\rho(x) - \rho(y))] \cdot |P - Q|$$

$$\leq |[2 - M(x, y)]P - [2 - M(x, y)]Q|.$$

INDICATION OF PROOFS. The following inequality is important in what follows; it may be established after considering the polynomial $P(z) = 1 - 2z^2 + z^3$. It is labeled Lemma 1 for later reference.

LEMMA 1. If x is a number and
$$1 \le x \le (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$$
 then $[2-x]^{-1} \le x^2$.

In the definitions and lemmas which follow, suppose that M satisfies conditions (1)-(4), a > b, and $\epsilon > 0$.

DEFINITION. Define functions δ and B as follows: if P is in D and $a \ge z \ge b$ then $\delta(z, P)$ is the largest number d not exceeding 1 so that if Q is in M([z, a], z) P, |Q - R| < d, and $a \ge x \ge y \ge z$ then

$$\left| [M(x, y) - 1]Q - [M(x, y) - 1]P \right| \le \left[\exp \left(\beta(x) - \beta(y)\right) - 1 \right] \cdot \epsilon.$$

Also, B(z, P) is the largest number u not exceeding a so that if u > v > z then $|M(v, z)P - P| < \delta(z, P)$.

REMARK. Note that the existence of δ follows from condition (3) and of B follows from condition (2).

LEMMA 2. Suppose that P is in D. If $a \ge x \ge b$, $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $\{B(x, P), x\}$, and j is an integer in [1, n], then

$$\left| \left[M(t_{j-1}, t_j) - 1 \right] M(t_j, t_n) P - \left[M(t_{j-1}, t_j) - 1 \right] P \right|$$

$$\leq \left[\exp(\beta(t_{j-1}) - \beta(t_j)) - 1 \right] \cdot \epsilon.$$

INDICATION OF PROOF. If $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $\{B(x, P), x\}$ and j is an integer in [1, n] then $x \leq t_j < B(x, P)$. Thus $|M(t_j, x)P - P| < \delta(x, P)$. Now, $M(t_j, x)P$ is in M([x, a], x)P, so if $a \geq u \geq v \geq x$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[M(u, v) - 1 \right] M(t_j, x) P - \left[M(u, v) - 1 \right] P \right| \\ & \leq \left[\exp(\beta(u) - \beta(v)) - 1 \right] \cdot \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. Suppose that P is in D, $\{t_p\}_0^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence with values in [b, a] and limit z. There is a positive integer N so that if n > N then $B(t_n, M(t_n, b)P) \ge z$.

INDICATION OF PROOF. Suppose that P is in D and t is an infinite increasing sequence with values in [b, a] and limit z. The fact that $\{M(t_p, b)P\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ converges in G and has limit M(z, b)P follows from

condition (2). Let Q be M(z, b)P. Since Q is in M([b, a], b)P, there is a number d so that 0 < d < 1 and, if |R - Q| < d and R is in M([b, a], b)P and $a \ge x \ge y \ge b$, then

$$|[M(x, y)-1]Q-[M(x, y)-1]R| \le [\exp(\beta(x)-\beta(y))-1]\cdot\epsilon/2.$$

Let w be so that if $z \ge u \ge w$ then |Q-M(u, b)P| < d/4. Let n be so that $t_n > w$. First, $\delta(t_n, M(t_n, b)P) \ge d/2$ because: suppose R is in $M([t_n, a], b)P$ and $|R-M(t_n, b)P| < d/2$. Then |R-Q| < d so that if $a \ge x \ge y \ge b$ then

$$|[M(x, y) - 1]M(t_n, b)P - [M(x, y) - 1]R|$$

$$\leq [\exp(\beta(x) - \beta(y)) - 1] \cdot [\epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2].$$

Finally, $B(t_n, M(t_n, b)P) \ge z$ because: suppose that $t_n \le v \le z$. Then

$$| M(v, t_n)M(t_n, b)P - M(t_n, b)P | \leq | M(v, t_n)M(t_n, b)P - Q | + | Q - M(t_n, b)P | \leq d/4 + d/4 \leq \delta(t_n, M(t_n, b)P).$$

LEMMA 4. Suppose that P is in D. There is a subdivision u of $\{a, b\}$ so that if $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a refinement of u and p is an integer in [1, n] then

$$\left| \left[M(t_{p-1}, t_p) - 1 \right] M(t_{p-1}, b) P - \left[M(t_{p-1}, t_p) - 1 \right] M(t_p, b) P \right| \\
\leq \left[\exp(\beta(t_{p-1}) - \beta(t_p)) - 1 \right] \cdot 2\epsilon.$$

INDICATION OF PROOF. Suppose that P is in D. By the previous lemma, there is a subdivision $\{u_q\}_0^m$ of $\{a,b\}$ so that if q is an integer in [1,m] then $u_{q-1}=B(u_q,M(u_q,b)P)$. Let $\{t_p\}_0^n$ be a refinement of u and p be an integer in [1,n]. Let q be an integer in [1,m] so that $u_{q-1} \ge t_{p-1} > t_p \ge u_q$. Then $|M(t_{p-1},b)P - M(u_q,b)P| < \delta(u_q,M(u_q,b)P)$ and $|M(t_p,b)P - M(u_q,b)P| < \delta(u_q,M(u_q,b)P)$. Hence, if $a \ge x \ge y \ge u_q$, then

$$|[M(x, y) - 1]M(t_{p-1}, b)P - [M(x, y) - 1]M(t_p, b)P|$$

$$\leq [\exp(\beta(x) - \beta(y)) - 1] \cdot 2\epsilon.$$

INDICATION OF PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Suppose that P is in D. Let u be a subdivision of $\{a, b\}$ as indicated in Lemma 4, $\{s_p\}_0^m$ be a refinement of u so that if p is an integer in [1, m] then $\exp(\alpha(s_{p-1}) - \alpha(s_p)) < (1+\sqrt{5})/2$, and $\{t_p\}_0^n$ be a refinement of s. By Lemma 1, if p is an integer in [1, n] and P and Q are in G, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_p) \right]^{-1} P - \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_p) \right]^{-1} Q \right| \\ &\leq \exp(2 \left[\alpha(t_{p-1}) - \alpha(t_p) \right]) \cdot \left| P - Q \right|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left| \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} P - M(a, b) P \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ \prod_{p=1}^{n+1-j} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} M(t_{n+1-j}, b) P - \prod_{p=1}^{n-j} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} M(t_{n-j}, b) P \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp(2 \left[\alpha(a) - \alpha(t_{n+1-j}) \right])$$

$$\cdot \left| M(t_{n+1-j}, b) P - \left[2 - M(t_{n-j}, t_{n+1-j}) \right] M(t_{n-j}, b) P \right|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp(2 \left[\alpha(a) - \alpha(t_{n+1-j}) \right])$$

$$\cdot \left| \left[M(t_{n-j}, t_{n+1-j}) - 1 \right] M(t_{n-j}, b) P - \left[M(t_{n-j}, t_{n+1-j}) - 1 \right] M(t_{n+1-j}, b) P \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\exp(2 \left[\alpha(a) - \alpha(t_{n+1-j}) \right] \cdot \left[\exp(\beta(t_{n-j}) - \beta(t_{n+1-j})) - 1 \right] \cdot 2\epsilon$$

$$\leq \exp(2 \left[\alpha(a) - \alpha(b) \right]) \cdot \left[\exp(\beta(a) - \beta(b)) - 1 \right] \cdot 2\epsilon.$$

To see this last inequality, one should note Lemma 2.2 of [4].

INDICATION OF PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Suppose that P and Q are in G, a > b, and $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $\{a, b\}$ so that, if p is an integer in [1, n], then $[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_p)]^{-1}$ has domain all of G.

$$\left| M(a, b)P - \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} P \right| \leq \left| M(a, b)P - M(a, b)Q \right|$$

$$+ \left| \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} Q - \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} P \right|$$

$$+ \left| \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - M(t_{p-1}, t_{p}) \right]^{-1} Q - M(a, b)Q \right|.$$

Thus, if D is dense in G and M(a, b) is continuous from G to G, it follows from Lemma 1 that $M(a, b)P = \prod_{a} \prod_{b} [2-M]^{-1}P$.

LEMMA 5. If ρ is a continuous function from S to S and is of bounded variation on each interval of S, a > b, and $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a subdivision s of $\{a, b\}$ so that if $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a refinement of s then

$$\left| \exp(\rho(a) - \rho(b)) - \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - \exp(\rho(t_{p-1}) - \rho(t_{p})) \right]^{-1} \right| < \epsilon.$$

INDICATION OF PROOF. Notice that if ρ is continuous and of bounded variation on each interval of S, a > b, and $\{t_p\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of $\{a, b\}$ so that, if p is an integer in [1, n], then $\exp(\rho(t_{p-1}) - \rho(t_p)) < 2$ then

$$\prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - \exp(\rho(t_{p-1}) - \rho(t_p)) \right]^{-1} \le \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - \exp\left(\int_{t_p}^{t_{p-1}} |d\rho| \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

With techniques similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 1, it can be shown that, if

$$\exp\left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{p-1}} |d\rho|\right) < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \quad \text{for } p=1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

then

$$\left| \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left[2 - \exp(\rho(t_{p-1}) - \rho(t_p)) \right]^{-1} - \exp(\rho(a) - \rho(b)) \right|$$

$$\leq \exp\left(3 \int_{a}^{b} |d\rho| \right) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\left[\exp(\rho(t_{n-j}) - \rho(t_{n+1-j})) - 1 \right]^{2} |.$$

The conclusion of the lemma follows.

Indication of Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that G is a Banach space and that ρ is a function from S to S which is continuous and of bounded variation on each interval of S. Suppose also that x>y and that M(x, y) is a function from G to G having the property that if P and Q are in G then $|M(x, y)P-M(x, y)Q| \leq \exp(\rho(x)-\rho(y))|P-Q| < 2|P-Q|$. As in Lemma 1 of [5], let X be in G and K(Z) be .5[X+M(x, y)Z] for each Z in G. Then K is a contraction mapping and there is only one member Z of G so that 2Z-M(x, y)Z=X. Furthermore, if P and Q are in G, then

$$|Q - P| \le .5 | [2 - M(x, y)]Q - [2 - M(x, y)]P |$$

+ .5 $\exp(\rho(x) - \rho(y)) | P - Q |$.

Consequently, in Theorem 3, statement (a) implies statement (b). Finally, with G and ρ as supposed above, if M satisfies conditions (1)-(3), D is dense in G, statement (b) of Theorem 3 holds, and x>y, then, by Theorem 2, $M(x, y)P=_x\prod^y [2-M]^{-1}P$ for each P in G and, by Lemma 5,

$$\left| {}_{x} \prod^{y} [2-M]^{-1} P - {}_{z} \prod^{y} [2-M]^{-1} Q \right| \leq \exp(\rho(x) - \rho(y)) \left| P - Q \right|.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Examples.

EXAMPLE 1. Let G be a Banach space and T be a one-parameter semigroup of nonlinear transformations on G. That is, T is a function from $[0, \infty)$ to the set of continuous transformations from G to G which satisfies

- (1) $T(x)T(y) = T(x+y) \text{ if } x, y \ge 0,$
- (2) if P is in G and $g_p(x) = T(x)P$ for all x in $[0, \infty)$ then g_p is continuous and $\lim_{x\to 0^+} g_p(x) = P$,
 - (3) $|T(x)P-T(x)Q| \le |P-Q|$ if $x \ge 0$ and P and Q are in G, and
- (4) there is a dense subset D of G such that if P is in D then g'_p is continuous with domain $[0, \infty)$. By Theorem 2, if P is in D and x > 0, then $T(x)P = \prod_{x} \prod_{y \in A} [2 T(-dI)]^{-1}P$. Compare [5] and Theorem 2 of [7].

EXAMPLE 2. Let f be an increasing function from the real numbers onto the real numbers so that f' is continuous and nonincreasing. Suppose also that g is increasing and continuous, and that, for x > y and P a real number,

$$M(x, y)P = f(g(x) - g(y) + f^{-1}(P)).$$

M satisfies (1)-(4) but $\lim_{h\to 0^+} h^{-1}[M(h, 0)-1]P$ may not exist. Compare Example 2 of [8], Example 3.4 of [1], and Theorem A of [6].

EXAMPLE 3. In case M satisfies conditions (1) and (2) and if P and Q are in G and x>y, then $|[M(x, y)-1]P-[M(x, y)-1]Q| \le [\exp(\beta(x)-\beta(y))-1]|P-Q|$, then, according to [2] and [3], each value of M has range all of G and is invertible. This paper provides an alternate method for obtaining $M(x, y)^{-1}$.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. R. Dorroh, Some classes of semi-groups of nonlinear transformations and their generators, J. Math. Soc. Japan 20 (1968), 437-455. MR 37 #6796.
- 2. J. V. Herod, Multiplicative inverses of solutions for Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (1969), 650-656.
- 3. ——, Coalescence of solutions for nonlinear Stieltjes equations, J. Reine Angew Math. (to appear)
- 4. J. S. MacNerney, Integral equations and semigroups, Illinois J. Math. 7 (1963), 148-173. MR 26 #1726.
- 5. J. W. Neuberger, An exponential formula for one-parameter semi-groups of non-linear transformations, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 154-157. MR 34 #622.

J. V. HEROD

- 6. ——, Product integral formulae for nonlinear expansive semigroups and non-expansive evolution systems, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1969), 403-409.
- 7. Dorothy Rutledge, A generator for a semigroup of nonlinear transformations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969), 491-498.
- 8. G. F. Webb, Nonlinear evolution equations and product integration in Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 148 (1970), 273-282.

GEORGIA INSTITUE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332