# SEMIGROUPS ON ACYCLIC PLANE CONTINUA 

B. E. WILDER


#### Abstract

It is shown that an acyclic irreducible plane continuum which admits the structure of a topological semigroup is an arc if it has an identity, and is either an arc, is trivial, or is decomposible into an arc if it satisfies $M^{2}=M$. This extends some.results of Friedberg and Mahavier concerning semigroups on chainable continua.


Let $M$ be a topological semigroup with minimal ideal $K$ whose underlying space is a nondegenerate compact metric continuum. If $M$ has an identity, $M$ is called a clan.

Under the assumption that $M$ is chainable, Friedberg and Mahavier [3] showed that if $M$ is a clan it is an arc, and if $M^{2}=M$ then either $M$ is trivial, $M$ is an arc, or $M \mid K$ is an arc and $M$ is irreducible from a one-sided identity to some point. In this note we extend these results (using essentially the same arguments) by replacing the condition that $M$ be chainable by the condition that $M$ be an acyclic (i.e., contains no simple closed curve) plane continuum which is irreducible between two points. (Every nondegenerate chainable continuum is homeomorphic to such a continuum.)

Theorem 1. If $M$ is an acyclic clan in the plane, then $M$ is arcwise connected.

Proof. Let $G$ be a closed subgroup of $M$ with identity $e$ and let $C(e)$ be the component of $G$ containing $e . C(e)$ is a subcontinuum of $M$ and is a group. Suppose $C(e)$ is nondegenerate. Then it is homogeneous and by [4] contains an arc; so by [1] it is a simple closed curve, contradicting the assumption that $M$ is acyclic. Thus $C(e)$ is degenerate and $G$ is totally disconnected. Then $M$ is arcwise connected by [6].

Corollary. If $M$ is an acyclic plane continuum which is irreducible between two of its points it is an arc.

Remark. The referee has observed that except for the existence of the one-sided identity, the conclusion of the next theorem follows from Hunter's argument in [5, Theorem 8], without the assumption

[^0]that $M$ be acyclic. Also, a simplification suggested by the referee has been employed in the next argument.

Theorem 2. If $M$ is an acyclic plane continuum which is irreducible between two of its points and $M^{2}=M$, then either
(1) $M=K$ and the multiplication on $K$ is trivial,
(2) $M$ is an arc, or
(3) $M$ has a one-sided identity $e, M \mid K$ is an arc, and $M$ is irreducible from e to some point.

Proof. Let $E$ denote the set of idempotent elements of $M$, and for $e$ in $E$, let $H_{e}$ be the maximal subgroup containing $e$. Since $M$ is acyclic, $K$ is not the cartesian product of two nondegenerate continua [ 5 , Lemma 2, p. 238]; so $K$ is a group or multiplication in $K$ is trivial [7, Corollary 1]. As in the proof of Theorem 1, if $K$ is a group it is degenerate. In either case multiplication in $K$ is trivial and $K$ is a subset of $E$.

Now assume that $M \neq K$ and $M$ is not an arc. Suppose $M$ has no one-sided identity. Since $M$ is irreducible between two points $a$ and $b$, there exist points $e$ and $f$ in $E \backslash K$ such that $a \in H_{e}, b \in H_{f}, H_{e}$ and $H_{f}$ are connected, and $M=(e M e) \cup(f M f)$ [7, Theorem 5]. But $H_{e}$ and $H_{f}$ are degenerate so $M$ is irreducible from $e$ to $f$. Since $e M e$ and $f M f$ are acyclic plane clans, they are arcwise connected by Theorem 1. Then $M$ is an arc from $e$ to $f$, a contradiction. Thus $M$ has a right (or left) identity $e$.

Then $M e=M$ and $e M=e M e$ is either degenerate or arcwise connected. If $e M$ is degenerate, $e \in K$ and $M e=M=K$, a contradiction. Hence $e M=e M e$ is a nondegenerate arcwise connected clan with $e$ as its identity. Let $T$ be an arc in $e M$ from $e$ to its minimal ideal $K^{\prime}$ such that $T \cap K^{\prime}$ is degenerate. Clearly $K^{\prime} \subseteq K$. Since each of $a T$ and $b T$ is a continuous image of $T$, each is either degenerate or arcwise connected, and there is an $\alpha$ and a $\beta$ such that each of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is an arc or degenerate, $\alpha \subseteq a T, \beta \subseteq b T, \alpha$ contains $a, \beta$ contains $b$ and each of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ intersects $K$ at only one point. Since $M$ is irreducible from $a$ to $b, M=\alpha \cup K \cup \beta$. If both $a$ and $b$ belong to $K, K=M$, so let $e \in \beta \backslash K$. If $e \neq b, e$ possesses a euclidean (1-dimensional) neighborhood and since $e$ is a right identity, $e \in K$, a contradiction [2, Lemma 4]. Hence $e=b$ and (3) holds.

Remark. An application of Theorem 1 to some nonchainable continua would be as follows: no continuum in the plane consisting of an infinite half-ray "spiraling down" upon a nondegenerate acyclic continuum admits the structure of a topological semigroup with identity.
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