

## SUMMING CLOSED $U$ -SETS FOR WALSH SERIES

WILLIAM R. WADE

ABSTRACT. The union of countably many closed sets of uniqueness for the Walsh series is again a set of uniqueness.

In a classic paper on Walsh series [1] Šneĭder proved the finite union of closed sets of uniqueness,  $E_i$ , for the Walsh series is again a set of uniqueness. In case there were countably many sets of uniqueness he needed to further assume that  $E_i \subset V_i$ , where  $V_1, \dots, V_r, \dots$ , formed a disjoint collection of open intervals. Combining recent developments in Walsh series [2] with a slight generalization of a classical lemma (see Lemma 3) we will show this further assumption is unnecessary. The proof is similar to the proof of the trigonometric analogue given in [3].

We briefly review the definition of the Walsh functions: let  $\phi_0(x) = 1$  if  $0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\phi_0(x) = -1$  if  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$ . Extend  $\phi_0$  by periodicity (of period 1) to the whole real line and define  $\phi_n(x) = \phi_0(2^n x)$ . Finally, the first Walsh function is  $\Psi_0(x) \equiv 1$ , and given any  $n > 0$ , then the  $(n+1)$ th Walsh function is  $\Psi_n(x) = \phi_{n_1}(x) \cdots \phi_{n_r}(x)$  where  $n = \sum_{i=1}^r 2^{n_i}$  uniquely determines the  $n_i$  by specifying  $n_{i+1} < n_i$ .

A set  $E \subseteq [0, 1]$  will be called a set of uniqueness for the Walsh series ( $U$ -set for brevity) if the only Walsh series  $S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \Psi_k(x)$ , satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \Psi_k(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \notin E$$

is the zero series.

The following lemma appeared as Theorem 4 in [1].

LEMMA 1. *If  $S$  is a Walsh series whose coefficients,  $a_k$ , tend to zero as  $k$  tends to  $\infty$  and  $I$  is an interval with dyadic rational endpoints then there is a Walsh series  $S^*$  which is equiconvergent with  $S$  on  $I$  and uniformly convergent to zero on  $[0, 1] \sim I$ .*

The following lemma is a corollary of Theorem 2 in [2].

LEMMA 2. *If  $S(x) = \sum a_k \Psi_k(x)$  is a Walsh series such that*

---

Received by the editors July 31, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4215; Secondary 3340.

Key words and phrases. Walsh series, set of uniqueness, of the second category on itself.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) = 0$  a.e.  $x \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (ii)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n(x)| < \infty$  except possibly on a countable subset of  $[0, 1]$ ;

then  $a_k = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

COROLLARY. Let  $E$  be a closed  $U$ -set contained in an open interval  $J$ . If  $S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \Psi_k(x)$  is a Walsh series such that

- (i)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n(x)| < \infty$  for  $x \in J \sim E$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) = 0$  a.e.  $x \in J$ ;

then  $S$  converges to zero for every  $x \in J$ .

PROOF. We first notice that hypothesis (ii) implies  $a_k \Psi_k(x) \rightarrow 0$  a.e.  $x \in J$ . But  $|\Psi_k(x)| = 1$  for any dyadic irrational  $x$  so we have  $a_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . (This holds for any series satisfying (ii).)

Now  $E$  is closed, so let  $J_1$  be any subinterval of  $J$  which is disjoint with  $E$ . Let  $I$  be a subinterval of  $J_1$  with dyadic rational endpoints. Use Lemma 1 to construct the series  $S^*$ .

Then since  $I \cap E = \emptyset$ , we apply Lemma 2 to  $S^*$  to conclude  $S^* \equiv 0$ .

But  $S$  and  $S^*$  are equiconvergent, so  $S$  converges to zero in  $I$ . Since  $I$  and  $J_1$  were arbitrary we conclude  $S$  converges to zero in  $J \sim E$ .

Finally, if  $I$  is any subinterval of  $J$  with dyadic rational endpoints then applying Lemma 1 again we conclude  $S^*$  converges to zero on  $[0, 1] \sim E$ . But  $E$  is a  $U$ -set so  $S^* \equiv 0$  and thus  $S$  converges to zero everywhere on  $I$ . But  $I$  was arbitrary, so  $S$  converges to zero on  $J$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $f_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) be a function which is continuous in  $[0, 1]$  except on a finite set  $Z_n$ . Then the set

$$N = \left\{ x : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x)| = \infty \right\}$$

is empty, countable, or of the second category on itself.

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \bigcap_k \{ x : |f_n(x)| > k \text{ for some } n \} \\ &\equiv \bigcap_k N_k. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis each  $N_k$  is the union of an open set  $V_k$  with a subset  $Z_k^* \subseteq Z_k$ . If  $\bigcap V_k$  is empty or countable then  $N \subseteq \bigcap V_k \cup \bigcap Z_k$  is empty or countable. If  $\bigcap V_k$  is uncountable then it is of the second category on itself [3, p. 349] and since it is a subset of  $N$ ,  $N$  is of the second category on itself.

**THEOREM.** *The countable union of closed  $U$ -sets is a  $U$ -set.*

**PROOF.** Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  be closed  $U$ -sets and suppose  $S$  is a Walsh series convergent to zero outside  $E \equiv \bigcup_i E_i$ . Šneider [1] proved all  $U$ -sets have measure zero, and thus  $E$  also has measure zero. In particular,  $S$  converges to zero a.e.

Let  $N = \{x : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n(x)| = \infty\}$ , and suppose  $N$  is of the second category on itself.

Then by defining  $N_i = N \cap E_i$  we conclude there is an open interval  $J$  and index  $i_0$  such that  $N_{i_0} \cap J$  is dense in  $N \cap J \neq \emptyset$ . But  $E_{i_0}$  is closed and  $N_{i_0} = N \cap E_{i_0}$  so  $N \cap J = E_{i_0} \cap N \cap J \subseteq E_{i_0} \cap J$ .

We may assume the endpoints of  $J$  are not in  $E_{i_0}$ , and thus that  $E_{i_0} \cap J$  is a closed  $U$ -set contained in  $J$ . Furthermore, if  $x \notin E_{i_0} \cap J$  then  $x \notin N \cap J$  so the partial sums of  $S$  are bounded in  $J$  outside  $E_{i_0} \cap J$ . Thus by the corollary  $S$  converges to zero everywhere in  $J$ . Thus  $J \cap N = \emptyset$  which contradicts the choice of  $J$ .

Thus  $N$  cannot be of the second category on itself. Lemma 3 forces  $N$  to be countable or empty which by Lemma 2 implies  $S \equiv 0$  as was to be shown.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Šneider, *On the uniqueness of expansions in Walsh functions*, Mat. Sb. **24** (66) (1949), 279–300. (Russian) MR 11, 352.
2. W. R. Wade, *A uniqueness theorem for Haar and Walsh series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (1969), 187–194. MR 39 #4587.
3. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*. Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959. MR 21 #6498.

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE 37916