

## FIELDS WITH FEW EXTENSIONS

J. KNOPFMACHER AND A. M. SINCLAIR

ABSTRACT. We show that a valued field  $\Lambda$  with only a finite number of nonisomorphic valued extensions is equal to the complex field  $\mathbf{C}$  or is real closed with  $\mathbf{C} = \Lambda(\sqrt{-1})$ .

The Ostrowski (Gelfand-Mazur) Theorem [2, p. 131], [4, p. 260] implies that with any of the valuations  $v(x) = |x|^t$ , where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the usual modulus and  $0 < t \leq 1$ , the real field  $\mathbf{R}$  has essentially only one proper valued field extension, the complex field  $\mathbf{C}$ , and the complex field has no proper valued field extension. We investigate *which valued fields  $\Lambda$  have only a finite number of nonisomorphic valued field extensions*. We take all valuations to be subadditive maps into  $\mathbf{R}$ , and (unless specifically stated otherwise) all *isomorphisms* of [valued] extensions of a [valued] field  $\Lambda$  to be [isometric]  $\Lambda$ -algebra isomorphisms.

Before considering the case of valued fields, we consider a purely algebraic case by restricting to finite dimensional extensions. A field with no proper finite dimensional extensions is algebraically closed, since an extension of a field by a single algebraic element is a finite dimensional extension. On the other hand, it is well known that a real closed field has essentially just one proper finite extension, where a *real closed* field is a formally *real* field  $\Lambda$  (that is, no sum of squares of nonzero elements in  $\Lambda$  is zero) such that no proper algebraic extension of  $\Lambda$  is formally real [4], [5].

1 PROPOSITION. *A field  $\Lambda$  has only a finite number of finite dimensional nonisomorphic extensions if, and only if,  $\Lambda$  is algebraically closed or real closed.*

PROOF. Suppose that there are only a finite number of finite dimensional nonisomorphic extensions of  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Phi$  be an extension of maximal finite degree over  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Phi$  is not algebraically closed, then there is a prime polynomial  $p$  of degree greater than 1 over  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Phi' = \Phi[x]/(p)$  is a proper finite extension of  $\Phi$ , and hence a finite extension of  $\Lambda$  of degree greater than the degree of  $\Phi$ . Since this is impossible,  $\Phi$  must be algebraically closed. If  $\Phi \neq \Lambda$ , the Artin-Schreier The-

---

Received by the editors June 9, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1270; Secondary 1245.

Key words and phrases. Valuations, real closed fields, algebraically closed fields, real field, complex field.

orem [4, p. 316] implies that  $\Lambda$  is real closed (and  $\Phi = \Lambda(\sqrt{-1})$ ). The proposition follows.

Now recall that the only archimedean valuations on the complex field are of the form  $v_t(x) = |x|^t$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  where  $0 < t \leq 1$ .

**2 PROPOSITION.** *A valued field  $(\Lambda, v)$  has only a finite number of non-isomorphic valued field extensions if, and only if,  $(\Lambda, v) \cong (\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  or  $\Lambda$  is real closed with  $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}) \cong \mathbf{C}$  and  $v$  corresponds to a restriction of  $v_t$  for some  $t$  ( $0 < t \leq 1$ ).*

**PROOF.** Suppose that the second condition is satisfied: if  $(\Lambda, v) \cong (\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  or  $(\mathbf{R}, v_t)$ , then, by the Gelfand-Mazur Theorem [2, p. 127], the only valued extensions of  $\Lambda$  are essentially  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  or  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$ ,  $(\mathbf{R}, v_t)$ , respectively. If  $(\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}), v) \cong (\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  and  $(\Lambda, v) \not\cong (\mathbf{R}, v_t)$  or  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$ , let  $\Phi$  be a proper valued extension of  $\Lambda$ . Then, since the valuation on  $\Phi$  is archimedean, by Ostrowski's Theorem [2, p. 131], there is an isomorphism  $\theta$  from  $\Phi$  onto a dense subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $v = v_r \theta$  for some  $r$  ( $0 < r \leq 1$ ). Now  $\theta$  restricted to  $\Lambda$  induces an isometric isomorphism from a dense subfield of  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  (isomorphic to  $\Lambda$  and of codimension 2) into the dense subfield  $\theta(\Lambda)$  of  $(\mathbf{C}, v_r)$ . Thus  $r = t$  [2, p. 131]. Since  $(\mathbf{C}, v_r)$  is complete, this isomorphism has an isometric extension  $\psi$  from  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  onto  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  [4, p. 221]. Since  $\psi^{-1}\theta(\Lambda)$  has codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $\theta(\Lambda) \neq \theta(\Phi)$ , it follows that  $\theta(\Phi) = \mathbf{C}$ , that is  $(\Phi, v) \cong (\mathbf{C}, v_t)$ .

Conversely suppose that  $\Lambda$  has only a finite number of nonisomorphic valued field extensions. If the valuation  $v$  on  $\Lambda$  is nonarchimedean, it can be extended to any field extension of  $\Lambda$  [5, p. 299], and there are an infinite number of nonisomorphic field extensions of any field. Hence  $v$  is archimedean, so, by Ostrowski's Theorem [2, p. 131], there is an isomorphism  $\theta$  from  $\Lambda$  onto a dense subfield of  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , and a  $t$  ( $0 < t \leq 1$ ) such that  $v = v_t \theta$ . We identify  $\Lambda$  with the subfield  $\theta(\Lambda)$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  with valuation  $v_t$ .

If  $B$  is a transcendence basis of  $\mathbf{C}$  over  $\Lambda$ , then  $\Lambda(B)$ , the subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$  generated by  $\Lambda$  and  $B$ , has only a finite number of nonisomorphic valued extensions, and  $\mathbf{C}$  is algebraic over  $\Lambda(B)$ . Now a finite dimensional extension of a valued field has a valuation that extends the given valuation [5, p. 292], so that as an abstract field  $\Lambda(B)$  has only a finite number of nonisomorphic finite dimensional extensions. By Proposition 1,  $\Lambda(B)$  is algebraically closed or  $\Lambda(B)$  is real closed with  $\Lambda(B)(\sqrt{-1})$  algebraically closed; hence  $\Lambda(B) = \mathbf{C}$  or  $\Lambda(B)(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbf{C}$ . If  $B$  is empty the proposition is proved, so we assume that  $B$  is not empty. Let  $x$  be an element of  $B$ , and let  $\Phi$  be the subfield of

$\mathbf{C}$  generated by  $\Lambda$ ,  $B \setminus \{x\}$ , and  $\sqrt{-1}$  ( $\sqrt{-1}$  may already be in  $\Lambda$ ). Then  $\mathbf{C} = \Phi(x)$  is a simple transcendental extension of  $\Phi$ . Since  $\mathbf{C}$  is algebraically closed there is a  $\lambda = p(x)/q(x)$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are polynomials with coefficients in  $\Phi$ , such that  $\lambda^2 - x = 0$ . Hence  $p(x)^2 - xq(x)^2 = 0$ , so that, by the unique factorization of polynomials in a transcendental element,  $x$  is algebraic over  $\Phi$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

3 REMARKS. (i) There are archimedean real closed fields  $\Lambda$  contained in  $\mathbf{C}$  with  $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbf{C}$  and  $\Lambda \cong \mathbf{R}$  as a field but *not* as a valued field. For, it is well known that there are infinitely many field automorphisms  $\theta$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  not leaving  $\mathbf{R}$  invariant [4, p. 157, say], and  $\Lambda = \theta(\mathbf{R})$  is a real field with  $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbf{C}$ . If  $\Lambda \neq \mathbf{R}$  it cannot be topologically isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}$ , since the only closed topological subfields of  $\mathbf{C}$  are  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  [1, §3].

(ii) Since the only complete subfields of  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  are  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$ , Proposition 2 implies that the only *complete* valued fields with only a finite number of nonisomorphic valued field extensions are essentially  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  and  $(\mathbf{R}, v_t)$  ( $0 < t \leq 1$ ).

(iii) Another corollary is that a valued field has *no* proper valued field extension if, and only if, it is isomorphic to  $(\mathbf{C}, v_t)$  for some  $t$  ( $0 < t \leq 1$ ).

(iv) This last result shows that the only valued field over which the whole Gelfand theory of commutative Banach algebras can be developed is the complex field (see, for example, [6], [7]).

(v) By the Artin-Schreier Theorem [4, p. 316], every proper subfield  $\Lambda$  of finite codimension in  $\mathbf{C}$  is real closed with  $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbf{C}$ . With reference to remark (i) above, it would be interesting to know whether or not every subfield of codimension 2 in  $\mathbf{C}$  is field isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}$ .

(vi) In the second half of the proof of Proposition 2, after identifying  $\Lambda$  with a subfield of  $\mathbf{C}$ , one may show that the transcendency degree of  $\mathbf{C}$  over  $\Lambda$  is finite, and hence that  $\mathbf{C}$  is the extension of  $\Lambda$  by a finite number of elements. Then a result of E. Fried [3] implies that  $\Lambda = \mathbf{C}$  or  $\Lambda$  is real closed with  $\Lambda(\sqrt{-1}) = \mathbf{C}$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Baer and H. Hasse, *Zusammenhang und Dimension topologischer Körper-räume*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **167** (1932), 40-45.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*. Chaps. 5, 6, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1308, Hermann, Paris, 1964. MR **33** #2660.
3. E. Fried, *Algebraically closed fields as finite extensions*, Mat. Lapok **7** (1956), 47-60. MR **20** #6413.

4. N. Jacobson, *Lectures in abstract algebra*. Vol III. *Theory of fields and Galois theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1964. MR 30 #3087.
5. S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965. MR 33 #5416.
6. L. Narici, *On nonarchimedean Banach algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 19 (1968), 428–435. MR 38 #5006.
7. N. Shilkert, *Non-archimedean Gelfand theory*, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 541–550.

UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA